

FEDERAL REGISTER

THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES
OF THE UNITED STATES

1934

VOLUME 28

NUMBER 82

Washington, Friday, April 26, 1963

Contents

Agricultural Marketing Service

NOTICES:

Aliceville Sales Barn et al.; notice of changes in names of posted stockyards..... 4145

PROPOSED RULE MAKING:

Fruit; import regulations..... 4138

RULES AND REGULATIONS:

Packer scales; miscellaneous amendments..... 4124

Agricultural Research Service

NOTICES:

Clarinda Auction Co. et al.; notice of specific approval and of withdrawal of specific approval..... 4146

RULES AND REGULATIONS:

Administrative instructions relating to movement of specified articles from Hawaii and Guam..... 4123

Agriculture Department

See Agricultural Marketing Service; Agricultural Research Service; Commodity Credit Corporation.

Air Force Department

RULES AND REGULATIONS:

Miscellaneous amendments to subchapter..... 4128

Bonneville Power Administration

NOTICES:

Assistant Administrator; redelegations of authority..... 4144

Civil Aeronautics Board

NOTICES:

American Airlines, Inc., and Trans World Airlines, Inc.; order of suspension and investigation..... 4152

Traffic Conference 1 and Joint Conference 1-2-3 of International Air Transport Association; order relating to specific commodity rates..... 4153

Winona enforcement case; hearing..... 4152

Commerce Department

See Maritime Administration.

Commodity Credit Corporation

RULES AND REGULATIONS:

Cotton; 1962-63 export program—payment-in-kind regulations; exports under barter and credit sales..... 4123

Comptroller of the Currency

NOTICES:

Decision granting applications to merge:

Farmers and Mechanics-Citizens National Bank of Frederick and Walkersville Bank..... 4144

First National Bank of Portland and Community Trust Co..... 4144

St. Lawrence County National Bank and First National Bank of Heuvelton..... 4144

Defense Department

See Air Force Department.

Federal Aviation Agency

PROPOSED RULE MAKING:

Control zone, alteration; transition area, designation..... 4138

Control zones, alteration and designation; transition areas, designation..... 4141

Control zone, alteration; transition area, designation; control area extension, revocation (2 documents)..... 4139, 4140

Lockheed; airworthiness directives..... 4142

RULES AND REGULATIONS:

Control zones; alterations (3 documents)..... 4124, 4125

Control zone and control area extension; alteration..... 4125

Control zone; designation..... 4126

Control zone and transition area, designation; federal airway and associated control areas, alteration..... 4126

Douglas Model DC-8 Series aircraft; airworthiness directives..... 4127

Federal airways and associated control areas; alteration (2 documents)..... 4125

Federal airways, alteration; reporting points, alteration, revocation and designation..... 4126

Transition area; revocation..... 4127

Federal Communications Commission

NOTICES:

Charles County Broadcasting Co., Inc., and Dorlen Broadcasters, Inc.; order scheduling prehearing conference..... 4153

Federal Power Commission

NOTICES:

Hearings, etc.:

Atlantic Refining Co. et al..... 4149

Belco Petroleum Corp. et al..... 4147

Federal Trade Commission

RULES AND REGULATIONS:

National Bakers Services, Inc.; prohibited trade practices..... 4123

Fish and Wildlife Service

RULES AND REGULATIONS:

Fishing; Sabine National Wildlife Refuge..... 4137

Hunting; Kentucky Woodlands National Wildlife Refuge..... 4137

Food and Drug Administration

RULES AND REGULATIONS:

Food additives; further extension of effective date; correction..... 4127

General Services Administration

NOTICES:

Delaware and Philadelphia Counties, Pa.; transfer of property to Department of the Interior..... 4154

Health, Education, and Welfare Department

See Food and Drug Administration.

(Continued on next page)

Interior Department

See Bonneville Power Administration; Fish and Wildlife Service; Land Management Bureau; National Park Service.

Interstate Commerce Commission

NOTICES:
Fourth section applications for relief..... 4157
Motor carrier transfer proceedings..... 4156

Labor Department

See Wage and Hour Division; Welfare and Pension Plans Office.

Land Management Bureau

NOTICES:
California:
Amendment and partial termination of proposed withdrawal and reservation of lands..... 4144
Small tract classification..... 4144
Michigan; proposed withdrawal and reservation of land..... 4145

Maritime Administration

NOTICES:
List of Free World and Polish Flag vessels arriving in Cuba since Jan. 1, 1963..... 4147

National Park Service

NOTICES:
Delegations of authority regarding execution of contracts for supplies, equipment or services:
Administrative Assistant; Arches National Monument..... 4145
Administrative Assistant; Glen Canyon National Recreation Area..... 4145
Procurement and Property Management Officer et al.; Grand Canyon National Park..... 4145

Securities and Exchange Commission

NOTICES:
Hearings, etc.:
Mayflower Fund, Inc..... 4154
Pacific Mines, Inc..... 4154
Tripoli Co., Inc..... 4155

Small Business Administration

NOTICES:
Manager of Disaster Field Office, Norton, Va.; delegation regarding financial assistance functions; correction..... 4155

Treasury Department

See also Comptroller of the Currency.

NOTICES:
Commandant, U.S. Coast Guard; delegation of functions..... 4144

Wage and Hour Division

NOTICES:
Certificates authorizing employment of learners at special minimum rates..... 4155

Welfare and Pension Plans Office

RULES AND REGULATIONS:
Certain employee benefit plans utilizing Blue Cross and Blue Shield organizations; variation from publication requirement... 4127

Codification Guide

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, appears at the end of each issue beginning with the second issue of the month.

Monthly, quarterly, and annual cumulative guides, published separately from the daily issues, include the section numbers as well as the part numbers affected.

7 CFR

318..... 4123
1482..... 4123
PROPOSED RULES:
944..... 4138

9 CFR

201..... 4124

14 CFR

71 [New] (10 documents)..... 4124-4127
507..... 4127
PROPOSED RULES:
71 [New] (4 documents)..... 4138-4141
507..... 4142

16 CFR

13..... 4123

21 CFR

121..... 4127

29 CFR

1320..... 4127

32 CFR

1005..... 4128
1008..... 4129
1012..... 4129

50 CFR

32..... 4137
33..... 4137

Now Available**CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS**

(As of January 1, 1963)

The following Pocket Supplements are now available:

Title 32 (Parts 1-39)..... \$1.00
Title 32 (Parts 40-399)..... .55
Title 32A..... .65

A cumulative checklist of CFR issuances for 1963 appears in the first issue of each month under Title 1.

Order from Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.



Telephone

WOrth 3-3261

prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President. Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$1.50 per month or \$15.00 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies (minimum 15 cents) varies in proportion to the size of the issue. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, directly to the Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended August 5, 1953. The CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of books and pocket supplements vary.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER, or the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS.

Rules and Regulations

Title 16—COMMERCIAL PRACTICES

Chapter I—Federal Trade Commission [Docket No. 7480 o.]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

National Bakers Services, Inc.

Subpart—Advertising falsely or misleadingly: § 13.20 *Comparative data or merits*; § 13.170 *Qualities or properties of product or service*; § 13.170-74 *Reducing, nonfattening, low-calorie, etc.*

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 45. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45) [Cease and desist order, National Bakers Services, Inc., Chicago, Ill., Docket 7480, Apr. 10, 1963]

Order requiring a Chicago corporation, engaged in licensing some 182 bakeries throughout the United States to produce a bread from its special formula and to market the bread under its exclusive trademark "Hollywood",—in such connection making available to its licensees a "special mix" and providing and paying for all advertising matter and services—to cease representing falsely in such advertising—in newspapers, by radio and television, etc.—that its "Hollywood Bread" contained fewer calories than other foods and that consumption of the bread would cause a loss in weight or prevent a weight gain.

The order to cease and desist is as follows:

It is ordered, That respondent, National Bakers Services, Inc., a corporation, and its officers, agents, representatives and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the offering for sale, sale and distribution of the food product designated as "Hollywood Bread" or "Hollywood Special Formula Bread," or any other products of substantially similar composition, whether sold under the same name or under any other name or names, do forthwith cease and desist from:

1. Disseminating or causing to be disseminated any advertisement by means of the United States mails, or by any means in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, which advertisement represents, directly or by implication, that:

(a) "Hollywood Bread" contains fewer calories than other commercial breads;

(b) Substituting "Hollywood Bread" for other commercial breads in the normal diet will cause a loss of weight or prevent a gain in weight, or that "Hollywood Bread" is useful in a reducing or weight control diet, unless it is clearly and affirmatively disclosed in immediate conjunction therewith that "Hollywood

Bread" has no less calories than other commercial breads and its only usefulness in a reducing or weight control diet derives from the fact that its thinner slices enable the consumer to conveniently serve and consume smaller individual portions.

2. Disseminating or causing to be disseminated any advertisement, by any means, for the purpose of inducing, or which is likely to induce, directly or indirectly, the purchase, in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, of any such food product, which advertisement contains any of the representations prohibited in paragraph 1 hereof.

By "Final Order", report of compliance was required as follows:

It is further ordered, That respondent National Bakers Services, Inc., shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon it of this order, file with the Commission a report, in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which it has complied with the order set forth herein.

By the Commission, Commissioner Anderson not participating for the reason that he did not hear oral argument, and Commissioner Higginbotham not participating by reason of the fact that this matter was argued before the Commission prior to the time when he was sworn into office.

Issued: April 10, 1963.

[SEAL]

JOSEPH W. SHEA,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4440; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963; 8:47 a.m.]

Title 7—AGRICULTURE

Chapter III—Agricultural Research Service, Department of Agriculture

PART 318—HAWAIIAN AND TERRITORIAL QUARANTINE NOTICES

Subpart—Hawaiian Fruits and Vegetables

ADMINISTRATIVE INSTRUCTIONS RELATING TO MOVEMENT FROM HAWAII TO GUAM OF SPECIFIED ARTICLES

Pursuant to the authority conferred upon the Director of the Plant Quarantine Division by the proviso in the Hawaiian Fruit and Vegetable Notice of Quarantine (7 CFR 318.13), and by other delegation of authority (22 F.R. 2679), under sections 8 and 9 of the Plant Quarantine Act of 1912, as amended (7 U.S.C. 161, 162), § 318.13a(a) (4) of administrative instructions (7 CFR 318.13a(a) (4)), effective August 21, 1959, is hereby amended to read as follows:

§ 318.13a Administrative instructions relating to the movement from Hawaii to Guam of specified articles.

(a) * * *

(4) Bitter melons, Cavendish bananas, Bluefield bananas (Gros Michel), and zucchini squash.

(Sec. 9, 37 Stat. 318; 7 U.S.C. 162. Interprets or applies sec. 8, 37 Stat. 318, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 161)

This amendment shall be effective April 26, 1963.

Studies conducted in Hawaii and a review of the literature on fruit flies have shown that Bluefield bananas (Gros Michel), as commercially handled and shipped, are not subject to infestation by fruit flies in Hawaii. Consequently, this species of bananas may be safely added to the list of items that may be moved from Hawaii to Guam without certification or other restriction under the Hawaiian Fruit and Vegetable Quarantine. The amendment adds such species of bananas to this list thereby relieving restrictions.

The amendment should be made effective as soon as possible in order to be of maximum benefit to affected shippers. Therefore, under section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 1003), it is found upon good cause that notice and other public procedure with respect to the amendment are impracticable and contrary to the public interest, and the amendment may be made effective less than 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 22d day of April 1963.

[SEAL]

E. P. REAGAN,
Director, Plant Quarantine Division.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4473; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963; 8:48 a.m.]

Chapter XIV—Commodity Credit Corporation, Department of Agriculture

SUBCHAPTER C—EXPORT PROGRAMS

[Announcement CN-EX-15, Amdt. 2]

PART 1482—COTTON

Subpart—1962-63 Cotton Export Program—Payment-in-Kind Regulations

GENERAL CONDITIONS OF ELIGIBILITY

In order to provide for payments under this subpart on cotton exported pursuant to Announcement CN-EX-17, Acquisition of Upland Cotton for Export under Barter and Export Credit Sales Programs, paragraph (f) of Section 1482.503 of the 1962-63 Cotton Export Program—Payment-In-Kind Regulations (Announcement CN-EX-15) dated April 4, 1962 (27 F.R. 3309) as amended, is hereby further amended effective as to all export sales registered under this

subpart on or after March 25, 1963, to read as follows:

§ 1482.503 General conditions of eligibility.

(f) Cotton exported pursuant to any program wherein the CCC sales price reflects an export allowance (unless the program announcement specifically provides that such cotton shall be eligible for a payment under this subpart), cotton which is sold by CCC under conditions specifically excluding such cotton from exportation under this subpart, cotton exported pursuant to a CCC barter contract (unless the applicable cotton sales announcement specifically provides that such cotton shall be eligible for a payment under this subpart), cotton exported under an export sale financed under Title I or IV of Public Law 480, 83d Congress (unless the applicable purchase authorization specifically provides that such cotton shall be eligible for a payment under this subpart), and cotton shipped as offset cotton in connection with Proclamation 2544 of the President of the United States shall not be eligible for a payment under this subpart.

(Secs. 4, 5, 62 Stat. 1070, as amended; sec. 203, 70 Stat. 188; 15 U.S.C. 714b, 714c, 7 U.S.C. 1853)

Signed at Washington, D.C., on April 22, 1963.

RAYMOND A. IOANES,
Vice President, *Commodity Credit Corporation, Administrator, Foreign Agricultural Service.*

[F.R. Doc. 63-4476; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963; 8:49 a.m.]

Title 9—ANIMALS AND ANIMAL PRODUCTS

Chapter II—Agricultural Market Service, Department of Agriculture (Packers and Stockyards Division)

PART 201—REGULATIONS UNDER THE PACKERS AND STOCKYARDS ACT

Packer Scales

On January 1, 1963, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (28 F.R. 39) regarding the amendment of § 201.78 of the regulations (9 CFR 201.78) under the Packers and Stockyards Act, 1921, as amended (7 U.S.C. 181 et seq.), by deleting from the sixth sentence of said section the words " * * * for a period of not less than six months." Interested persons were given 30 days in which to submit written data, views, or arguments with respect to the proposed amendment. No data, views, or arguments have been received.

Accordingly, § 201.78, Part 201, Chapter II, Title 9 of the Code of Federal Regulations, is amended by deleting from the sixth sentence of the section the words " * * * for a period of not less than six months." so that such sentence will read as follows: "Scale tickets shall

be executed at least in duplicate, one copy being supplied the seller and one copy being retained by the packer."

The Agricultural Marketing Service has promulgated for the guidance of packers a statement with respect to the periods after which certain of their records, including copies of scale tickets, may be disposed of (9 CFR 203.4; 27 F.R. 11757). Therefore, the language specifying a period of not less than six months with reference to scale tickets is being deleted from § 201.78 of the regulations.

This amendment shall become effective on June 1, 1963.

(Sec. 407(a); 42 Stat. 169, 72 Stat. 1750; 7 U.S.C. 228(a))

Done at Washington, D.C., this 19th day of April 1963.

S. R. SMITH,
Administrator,
Agricultural Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4453; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963; 8:47 a.m.]

Title 14—AERONAUTICS AND SPACE

Chapter I—Federal Aviation Agency

SUBCHAPTER E—AIRSPACE [NEW]

[Airspace Docket No. 63-SW-24]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS [NEW]

Alteration of Control Zone

The purpose of this amendment to § 71.171 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to alter the description of the Fort Worth, Tex., (Greater Fort Worth International Airport/Amon Carter Field), control zone.

The Federal Aviation Agency has scheduled the conversion of the Fort Worth radio range to a radio beacon. The actions taken herein reflect the conversion of this facility and other minor editorial changes. Controlled airspace requirements for this area will be reviewed at a later date under the CAR Amendments 60-21/60-29 implementation program.

Since the changes effected by this amendment are editorial in nature, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary and it may be made effective upon the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

In consideration of the foregoing, the following action is taken:

Section 71.171 (27 F.R. 220-91, November 10, 1962), the Fort Worth, Tex., (Greater Fort Worth International Airport/Amon Carter Field), control zone is amended to read:

Fort Worth, Tex. (Greater Southwest International Airport, Dallas-Fort Worth Field)

Within a 5-mile radius of Meacham Field, Fort Worth, Tex., (latitude 32°49'00" N., longitude 97°21'35" W.); within 2 miles each side of the 183° bearing from the Fort Worth RBN extending from the 5-mile radius zone to the INT of the 255° bearing from the Dallas, Tex., RBN; the airspace bounded on

the E by a line 2 miles E of and parallel to a line extending from the center of Meacham Field through latitude 32°58'24" N., longitude 97°19'42" W., on the N by latitude 32°59'45" N. and on the W by the Fort Worth (Carswell AFB) control zone; within 3 miles each side of a line extending from the center of Dallas-Fort Worth Field (latitude 32°49'35" N., longitude 97°02'45" W.) to the center of Meacham Field and the airspace bounded by a line extending from latitude 32°52'07" N., longitude 97°08'50" W., to latitude 32°56'30" N., longitude 97°03'10" W., to latitude 32°49'40" N., longitude 96°55'45" W., thence extending along and bounded on the E by the W boundary of the Dallas, Tex. (Love Field), control zone to latitude 32°39'35" N., longitude 96°54'15" W., to latitude 32°39'00" N., longitude 96°54'20" W., to latitude 32°39'00" N., longitude 97°02'10" W., to latitude 32°41'00" N., longitude 97°08'30" W., to latitude 32°46'20" N., longitude 97°06'05" W., thence clockwise along a 5-mile radius circle centered at Dallas-Fort Worth Field to latitude 32°47'04" N., longitude 97°06'58" W.

This amendment shall become effective upon the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 19, 1963.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

W. THOMAS DEASON,
Assistant Chief,
Airspace Utilization Division.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4426; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963; 8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 63-WE-14]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS [NEW]

Alteration of Control Zones

The purpose of these amendments to Part 71 [New] of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to alter the Ontario, Calif., control zone and the San Bernardino, Calif., control zone.

The Ontario control zone and the San Bernardino control zone are presently designated, in part, with reference to the Riverside, Calif., radio range. This facility is being converted to a nondirectional radio beacon on or about May 2, 1963. The Federal Aviation Agency (FAA) in examining these control zone descriptions to measure the effect such conversion would have on the respective control zone extensions has determined that portions of the extensions are no longer required for air traffic control purposes and may be revoked. The FAA has also determined that realignment of the remaining portion of each control zone extension is desirable to relate the extension dimensions to the navigation aids upon which the instrument approaches are being conducted. This represents a southward shift of the control zone extensions of less than one-half mile. In addition, the FAA has determined that no adverse effect to instrument flight operations within these control zones would result from excluding the area within a one-mile radius of the Chino Airport from the Ontario control zone and the area within a one-mile radius of the Redlands Airport from the San Bernardino control zone.

The actions taken herein reflect these changes. Controlled airspace requirements in the area adjacent to these control zones will be reviewed at a later date under the CAR Amendments 60-21/60-29 implementation program.

Since the changes effected by these amendments are less restrictive in nature than present requirements, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary.

In consideration of the foregoing, the following actions are taken:

In § 71.171 (27 F.R. 220-91, November 10, 1962) the Ontario, Calif., control zone is amended to read:

Ontario, Calif.

Within a 5-mile radius of Ontario International Airport (latitude 34°03'25" N., longitude 117°36'30" W.), and within 2 miles on each side of the ILS localizer E course extending from the 5-mile radius zone to the OM, excluding the portion within a 1-mile radius of the Chino, Calif., Airport (latitude 33°58'30" N., longitude 117°38'10" W.).

In § 71.171 (27 F.R. 220-91, November 10, 1962) the San Bernardino, Calif., control zone is amended to read:

San Bernardino, Calif. (Norton AFB)

Within a 5-mile radius of the Norton AFB (latitude 34°05'45" N., longitude 117°14'05" W.), and within 2 miles N and 2.5 miles S of the ILS localizer SW course extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 2 miles NE of the OM, excluding the portion within a 1-mile radius of the Redland, Calif., Municipal Airport (latitude 34°05'05" N., longitude 117°08'35" W.).

These amendments shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. May 2, 1963.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 19, 1963.

W. THOMAS DEASON,
Assistant Chief,
Airspace Utilization Division.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4427; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963; 8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 62-WE-108]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS [NEW]

Alteration of Control Zone

On January 19, 1963, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (28 F.R. 526) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to alter the control zone at NAS Whidbey Island, Wash.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rule herein adopted, and no adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendment.

The substance of the proposed amendment having been published and for the reasons stated in the notice, the following action is taken:

In § 71.171 (27 F.R. 220-91, November 10, 1962) the Whidbey Island, Wash., control zone is amended to read:

Whidbey Island, Wash.

Within a 5-mile radius of Ault Field, Whidbey Island, Wash. (latitude 48°21'05" N., longitude 122°39'20" W.); within a 5-mile radius of Oak Harbor Seaplane Base, Whidbey Island, Wash. (latitude 48°14'55" N., longitude 122°35'15" W.) and within a 1-mile radius of OLF Coupeville Airport, Coupeville, Wash. (latitude 48°11'20" N., longitude 122°37'50" W.).

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. June 27, 1963.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 19, 1963.

W. THOMAS DEASON,
Assistant Chief,
Airspace Utilization Division.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4428; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963; 8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 63-WA-29]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS [NEW]

Alteration of Control Zone and Control Area Extension

The purpose of these amendments to Part 71 [New] of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to alter the description of the Prescott, Ariz., control zone and control area extension.

The Federal Aviation Agency has scheduled the conversion of the Prescott radio range to a radio beacon on or about June 27, 1963. The action taken herein reflects the conversion of this facility. Controlled airspace requirements for this area will be processed at a later date under the CAR Amendments 60-21/60-29 implementation program.

Since the changes effected by these amendments are editorial in nature, and impose no additional burden on any person, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary and it may be made effective June 27, 1963.

In consideration of the foregoing, the following actions are taken:

1. Section 71.171 (27 F.R. 220-91, November 10, 1962) is amended as follows:

In the Prescott control zone, "within 2 miles either side of the Prescott RR SE course extending from the 5-mile radius zone to and including the area within a 2-mile radius of Prescott RR." is deleted and "within 2 miles each side of the 157° bearing from the Prescott RBN extending from the 5-mile radius zone to and including the area within a 2-mile radius of the Prescott RBN." is substituted therefor.

2. Section 71.165 (27 F.R. 220-59, November 10, 1962) is amended as follows:

In the Prescott control area extension, "within 5 miles either side of the Prescott RR NW course extending from the RR to 25 miles NW;" is deleted and "within 5 miles each side of the 337° bearing from the Prescott RBN extending from the RBN to 25 miles NW of the RBN;" is substituted therefor.

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. June 27, 1963.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 19, 1963.

W. THOMAS DEASON,
Assistant Chief,
Airspace Utilization Division.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4429; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963; 8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 62-EA-35]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS [NEW]

Alteration of Federal Airway and Associated Control Areas

On January 23, 1963, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (28 F.R. 596) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to alter VOR Federal airway No. 141 by designating an east alternate between Concord, N.H., and Lebanon, N.H., via the intersection of Concord VOR 011° and the Kennebunk, Maine, VORTAC 281° True radials.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rule herein adopted. The Air Transport Association of America concurred in the proposed amendment and no other comments were received.

The substance of the proposed amendment having been published, therefore, and for the reasons stated in the notice, the following action is taken:

In § 71.123 (27 F.R. 220-6, November 10, 1962) V-141 "Lebanon, N.H.;" is deleted and "Lebanon, N.H., including an E alternate via INT of Concord 011° and Kennebunk, Maine, 281° radials;" is substituted therefor.

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. June 27, 1963.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 19, 1963.

W. THOMAS DEASON,
Assistant Chief,
Airspace Utilization Division.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4430; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963; 8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 62-SW-48]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS [NEW]

Alteration of Federal Airway and Associated Control Areas

On January 30, 1963, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (28 F.R. 869) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to revoke the segment of VOR Federal airway No. 60 that extends from Las Vegas, N. Mex., via Tucumcari, N. Mex., to Texico, N. Mex.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rule herein adopted. The Air Transport Association of America concurred in the proposed action and no other comments were received.

The substance of the proposed amendment having been published, therefore, and for the reasons stated in the notice, the following action is taken:

In § 71.123 (27 F.R. 220-6, November 10, 1962) V-60 "Las Vegas, N. Mex.; Tucumcari, N. Mex.; Texico, N. Mex.; INT" is deleted and "to Las Vegas, N. Mex. From Texico, N. Mex., via INT" is substituted therefor.

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. June 27, 1963.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 19, 1963.

W. THOMAS DEASON,
Assistant Chief,
Airspace Utilization Division.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4431; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963;
8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 63-WA-26]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS [NEW]

Alteration of Federal Airways, and Alteration, Designation and Revocation of Reporting Points

The purpose of these amendments to Part 71 [New] of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to alter VOR Federal airway Nos. 5, 20, 51, 819 and 837 and to alter, revoke, and designate reporting points in the vicinity of Atlanta, Ga.

An east alternate of Victor 5 extends from McDonough, Ga., to Chattanooga, Tenn., via the intersection of the McDonough 345° and the Chattanooga 118° True radials. Victors 20 and 837 extend in part from Atlanta to Royston, Ga. Victors 51 and 819 extend in part from McDonough to Crossville, Tenn., with an east alternate of Victor 51 extending from the intersection of the McDonough 345° and Atlanta 007° True radials to Crossville via the Murphy Intersection. The Ben Hill, Ga., reporting point is designated as the intersection of the Atlanta 007° True radial and the Atlanta ILS localizer west course. The Lithonia, Ga., reporting point is designated as the intersection of the McDonough 345° and the Atlanta 053° True radials.

The Federal Aviation Agency is installing a VOR at Rex, Ga., latitude 33°38'42" N., longitude 84°15'42" W., on or about May 1, 1963. The Agency is taking action herein to redesignate Victor 5 East from McDonough via the Rex VOR, and the intersection of the Rex 345° and the Chattanooga 118° True radials to Chattanooga; to redesignate Victors 20 and 837 from Atlanta via Rex to Royston; to redesignate Victors 51 and 819 from McDonough via Rex to Crossville; to redesignate Victor 51 East from the intersection of the Rex 345° and the Atlanta 007° True radials via the Murphy Intersection to Crossville; to redesignate the Ben Hill reporting point as the intersection of the Atlanta 007° and the Rex 270° True radials; to revoke the Lithonia reporting point and designate the Rex VOR as a reporting point.

The actions delineated above are to incorporate the Rex facility into the airway structure in this vicinity. Victors 5 East, 20, 51, 819 and 837 overlie this new VOR. Victor 51 East is being redesignated from an intersection of the Rex 345° True radial in lieu of the McDonough 345° radial. The Ben Hill reporting point is redescribed with the Rex 270° True radial in lieu of the Atlanta ILS localizer west course. The Rex VOR replaces Lithonia as a reporting point.

Since these amendments are minor in nature and impose no additional burden on any person, compliance with section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act is unnecessary. However, since it is necessary that sufficient time be allowed to permit appropriate changes to be made on aeronautical charts, these amendments shall become effective more than 30 days after publication.

In consideration of the foregoing, the following actions are taken:

1. In § 71.123 (27 F.R. 220-6, November 10, 1963) the following changes are made:

a. In V-5 "including an E alternate via INT of McDonough 345° and Chattanooga 118° radials;" is deleted and "including an E alternate from McDonough to Chattanooga via Rex, Ga., and INT of Rex 345° and Chattanooga 118° radials;" is substituted therefor.

b. In V-20 "Royston, Ga.;" is deleted and "Rex, Ga.; Royston, Ga.;" is substituted therefor.

c. In V-51 "Crossville, Tenn., including an E alternate from INT of McDonough 345°" is deleted and "Rex, Ga.; Crossville, Tenn., including an E alternate from INT of Rex 345°" is substituted therefor.

d. In V-819 "Crossville, Tenn.;" is deleted and "Rex, Ga.; Crossville, Tenn.;" is substituted therefor.

e. In V-837 "Royston, Ga.;" is deleted and "Rex, Ga.; Royston, Ga.;" is substituted therefor.

2. In § 71.203 (27 F.R. 220-157, November 10, 1962), the following changes are made:

a. Ben Hill INT: "Atlanta ILS localizer W course" is deleted and "Rex, Ga., 270° radial" is substituted therefor.

b. "Lithonia INT" is deleted.

c. "Rex, Ga." is added.

These amendments shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. June 27, 1963.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 19, 1963.

W. THOMAS DEASON,
Assistant Chief,
Airspace Utilization Division.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4432; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963;
8:46 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 62-WE-109]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS [NEW]

Designation of Control Zone

On January 19, 1963, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (28 F.R. 526) stating

that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to designate a part-time control zone at Pasco, Wash.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rule herein adopted, and no adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendment.

As stated in the notice, the effective hours of operation of the proposed Pasco control zone were to be from 0700 to 1900 hours, local time, daily. West Coast Airlines has advised the FAA that weather reporting service is now available from 0700 to 2100 hours, local time daily. Therefore action is taken herein to designate the Pasco control zone from 0700 to 2100 hours, local time, daily.

The substance of the proposed amendment having been published and for the reasons stated herein and in the notice, the following action is taken:

Section 71.171 (27 F.R. 220-91, November 10, 1962) is amended by adding the following:

Pasco, Wash.

Within a 5-mile radius of Pasco Airport (latitude 46°15'50" N., longitude 119°06'55" W.); within 2 miles on each side of the Pasco VOR 036° radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 8 miles NE of the VOR and within 2 miles on each side of the Pasco VOR 132° radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 8 miles SE of the VOR, excluding the portion within a 1-mile radius of Vista Airport, Kennewick, Wash. (latitude 46°13'10" N., longitude 119°12'55" W.). This control zone is effective from 0700 to 2100 hours, local time, daily.

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. June 27, 1963.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 19, 1963.

W. THOMAS DEASON,
Assistant Chief,
Airspace Utilization Division.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4433; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963;
8:46 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 62-WE-106]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS [NEW]

Designation of Control Zone and Transition Area; Alteration of Federal Airway and Associated Control Areas

On January 19, 1963, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (28 F.R. 527) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to designate a control zone and transition area at Cedar City, Utah, designate a north alternate to V-8 from Bryce Canyon, Utah, to Cedar City and to redesignate V-21E, in part, from Mormon Mesa, Nev., to Milford, Utah.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rules herein adopted, and no adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendments.

The substance of the proposed amendments having been published, and for the reasons stated in the notice, the following actions are taken.

1. Section 71.171 (27 F.R. 220-91, November 10, 1962) is amended by adding the following:

Cedar City, Utah

Within a 5-mile radius of Cedar City Municipal Airport (latitude 37°42'05" N., longitude 113°05'52" W.) and within 2 miles on each side of the Cedar City VOR 195° radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to the VOR.

2. Section 71.181 (27 F.R. 220-139, November 10, 1962) is amended by adding the following:

Cedar City, Utah

That airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within 6 miles E and 10 miles W of the Cedar City VOR 184° and 004° radials extending from 8 miles S to 20 miles N of the VOR.

3. Section 71.123 (27 F.R. 220-6, November 10, 1962, 28 F.R. 178, 722, 967, 1535) is amended as follows:

a. In V-21 "Milford, Utah, including a W alternate and also an E alternate via INT of Mormon Mesa 059° and Milford 191° radials;" is deleted and "Milford, Utah, including a W alternate and also an E alternate from Mormon Mesa via INT Mormon Mesa 059° and Cedar City, Utah, 197° radials, and Cedar City;" is substituted therefor.

b. In V-8 "Bryce Canyon, Utah;" is deleted and "Bryce Canyon, Utah, including a N alternate from INT Mormon Mesa 059° and Cedar City, Utah, 197° radials to Bryce Canyon, Utah, via Cedar City and INT Cedar City 004° and Bryce Canyon 292° radials (the airspace between the main and this alternate airway is excluded);" is substituted therefor.

These amendments shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. June 27, 1963.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 19, 1963.

W. THOMAS DEASON,
Assistant Chief,
Airspace Utilization Division.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4434; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963; 8:46 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 63-WE-25]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS [NEW]

Revocation of Transition Area

The purpose of this amendment to § 71.181 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to revoke the Rock River, Wyo., transition area.

The Federal Aviation Agency has determined that the Rock River transition area is no longer required for air traffic control purposes and therefore is no longer justified as an assignment of controlled airspace. Action is taken herein to revoke the Rock River transition area.

Since the change effected by this amendment is less restrictive in nature than the present requirements, and imposes no additional burden on any person, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary and it may be made effective immediately.

In consideration of the foregoing, § 71.181 (27 F.R. 220-139, November 10, 1962) is amended by revoking the following transition area: Rock River, Wyo.

This amendment shall become effective upon the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 19, 1963.

W. THOMAS DEASON,
Assistant Chief,
Airspace Utilization Division.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4435; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963; 8:46 a.m.]

Chapter III—Federal Aviation Agency

SUBCHAPTER C—AIRCRAFT REGULATIONS [Regulatory Docket No. 1716; Amdt. 559]

PART 507—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

Douglas Model DC-8 Series Aircraft

Amendment 484, 27 F.R. 9212 (AD 62-20-1), as amended by Amendment 490, 27 F.R. 9697, which requires replacement of the wing flap actuating cylinder hoses on Douglas Model DC-8 Series aircraft specifies certain acceptable replacement hoses. Because of unsatisfactory service experience with one of the specified hoses, such hose is no longer an acceptable replacement. Therefore paragraph (c) of the AD which sets forth certain replacement hoses is being amended to delete reference to the unsatisfactory hose. The Agency is aware of the fact that none of the unsatisfactory hoses are presently installed on DC-8 aircraft as a result of compliance by operators with a revision to Douglas Service Bulletin 27-113. The parenthetical reference has been changed to refer to the revised Service Bulletin.

As a situation exists which demands immediate action in the interest of safety, it is found that notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable and good cause exists for making this amendment effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 6489), § 507.10(a) of Part 507 (14 CFR Part 507), is amended as follows:

Amendment 484, 27 F.R. 9212, as amended by Amendment 490, 27 F.R. 9697, Douglas Model DC-8 Series aircraft, is further amended by:

1. Changing the third sentence in paragraph (c) to read: "When replacements are made with hoses identified as Douglas basic P/N S5776432-4 /same length code/, Aeroquip basic P/N 677219-4 /same length code/, or another hose approved by the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, FAA Western Region, the special inspection and/or replacement provisions of this AD will not apply to such replacement hoses"

2. Changing the parenthetical reference statement to read:

(Douglas DC-8 Service Bulletin No. 27-113, Reissue No. 1 dated November 14, 1962, pertains to this same subject.)

This amendment shall become effective April 26, 1963.

(Secs. 313(a), 601, 603; 72 Stat. 752, 775, 776; 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 19, 1963.

G. S. MOORE,
Director,
Flight Standards Service.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4425; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963; 8:45 a.m.]

Title 21—FOOD AND DRUGS

Chapter I—Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

SUBCHAPTER B—FOOD AND FOOD PRODUCTS

PART 121—FOOD ADDITIVES

Subpart A—Definitions and Procedural and Interpretative Regulations

FURTHER EXTENSION OF EFFECTIVE DATE OF STATUTE FOR CERTAIN SPECIFIED FOOD ADDITIVES

Correction

In F.R. Doc. 63-4184, appearing at page 3970 of the issue for Tuesday, April 23, 1963, the following corrections are made in the table in § 121.91:

1. In the "Product" column, the entry "Do" following the entry "Diethylene glycol monobutyl ether", should read "Diethylene glycol monoethyl ether".

2. The "Effective date of statute extended to" entry for "Sodium xylene sulfonate" should read "July 1, 1963".

3. The entry immediately following the entry "Sodium xylene sulfonate" should be deleted in all three columns of the table.

Title 29—LABOR

Chapter XI—Office of Welfare and Pension Plans, Department of Labor

PART 1320—VARIATION FROM PUBLICATION REQUIREMENT—CERTAIN EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS UTILIZING BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD ORGANIZATIONS

On March 15, 1963, notice of a proposed rule granting to the Blue Cross Association, Incorporated, 840 North Shore Drive, Chicago 11, Illinois, and the National Association of Blue Shield Plans, 425 North Michigan Avenue, Chicago 11, Illinois, on behalf of their participating member organizations and certain employee benefit plans which utilize Blue Cross and Blue Shield for the purpose of providing employee benefits, a variation with respect to the manner of publishing certain information required of such plans by section 7(d) (2) (A) of the Welfare and Pension Plans Disclosure Act (72 Stat. 1000, as amended, 29 U.S.C. 306), was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (28 F.R. 2546). Interested parties were given 15 days from date of such publication to file objections to the proposed rule and request

a hearing. No request for a hearing or substantial objection has been received. The period for comment having elapsed, after review of the petition for variation and materials submitted in response to the notice for comment, I find that submission of financial reports of Blue Cross and Blue Shield organizations to the Secretary of Labor by each employee benefit plan which utilizes such organizations would be duplicative as that term is used in section 5(a) of the Act. Therefore, pursuant to authority contained in section 5(a) of the Welfare and Pension Plans Disclosure Act (72 Stat. 999, as amended, 29 U.S.C. 304), Secretary's Order No. 15-62 (27 F.R. 4977), and 29 CFR Part 1303, the proposed rule granting the variation requested is hereby adopted. Title 29 CFR Chapter XI is hereby amended by adding a new Part 1320 to read as follows:

Sec.

1320.1 Rule of variation.

1320.2 Conditions of variation.

AUTHORITY: §§ 1320.1 through 1320.2 issued under sec. 5, as amended, 72 Stat. 999, 29 U.S.C. 304, and 29 CFR Part 1303.

§ 1320.1 Rule of variation.

All employee benefit plans, which utilize Blue Cross and Blue Shield member organizations for the purpose of providing benefits, which are presently required under section 7(d)(2)(A) of the Act to attach to their annual reports a copy of the financial report of the Blue Cross or Blue Shield member organization utilized, will no longer be required to attach a copy of such financial report to their annual report, required to be filed with the Secretary of Labor under section 8(b) of the Act, subject to the following conditions.

§ 1320.2 Conditions of variation.

(a) Each member Blue Cross and Blue Shield organization providing benefits for plans to which this variation applies shall:

(1) Submit to the Office of Welfare and Pension Plans, within 120 days after the end of its fiscal year, 10 copies of its latest financial report. In addition to the financial information contained therein, each such member Blue Cross or Blue Shield organization shall provide in such report or in an attachment thereto, its name, address, and code number;

(2) Thereafter make timely written notification to each plan administrator of a participating employee benefit plan required to submit a copy of the financial report of such organization under section 7(d)(2)(A) of the Act that such member Blue Cross or Blue Shield organization has submitted its latest financial report to the Office of Welfare and Pension Plans.

(b) In lieu of submitting to the Secretary of Labor the financial report of such Blue Cross or Blue Shield organization, each plan administrator of an employee benefit plan to which this variation applies shall report in Item (1) of Exhibit A-3 of Department of Labor Annual Report Form D-2, or in an attachment thereto, the name, address and

code number of each Blue Cross or Blue Shield organization which the plan utilizes and shall indicate that the financial report of each such Blue Cross or Blue Shield organization is on file with the Office of Welfare and Pension Plans.

(c) Blue Cross and Blue Shield organizations and employee benefit plans utilizing their services are cautioned that:

(1) This variation does not apply to any employee benefit plan for which the Blue Cross or Blue Shield organization maintains separate experience records for such plan, since such plan is not required to file a financial report of the carrier or other organization under section 7(d)(2).

(2) This variation does not affect the responsibilities of each member Blue Cross and Blue Shield organization involved, to comply with the certification requirements of section 7(g) of the Act (29 U.S.C. 306(g)) and 29 CFR Part 1302.

This rule of variation shall take effect immediately.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 19th day of April 1963.

JAMES J. REYNOLDS,
Assistant Secretary of Labor.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4449; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963; 8:47 a.m.]

Title 32—NATIONAL DEFENSE

Chapter VII—Department of the Air Force

SUBCHAPTER W—AIR FORCE PROCUREMENT INSTRUCTION

MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS TO SUBCHAPTER

Subchapter W of title 32 is amended as follows:

PART 1005—INTERDEPARTMENTAL PROCUREMENT

Subpart F is revised to read as follows:

Subpart F—Procurement of Printing and Related Supplies

- Sec.
1005.650 Regulations governing printing, binding, duplicating and related equipment and supplies.
1005.650-1 Procurement of printed matter and paper for printing.
1005.650-3 Books, blank books, loose-leaf binding devices, paper and similar items.
1005.650-4 Procurement of printing and binding equipment.

AUTHORITY: §§ 1005.650 to 1005.650-4 issued under sec. 8012, 70A Stat. 488; 10 U.S.C. 8012. Interpret or apply secs. 2301-2314, 70A Stat. 127-133; 10 U.S.C. 2301-2314.

§ 1005.650 Regulations governing printing, binding, duplicating and related equipment and supplies.

All printing and duplicating, paper for printing, binding, and duplicating, printing plants and duplicating activities and related equipment are controlled by Title 44, U.S. Code, regulations of the Congressional Joint Committee on Printing (JCP), Government Paper Specifica-

tion Standards (JCP), and AFR 6-1 (Policies and Procedures Governing AF Printing and Duplicating). All printing is either departmental printing or field printing. The explanation of terms contained in AFR 6-1 apply to all printing and duplicating produced by the Air Force and to all Air Force printing plants and duplicating activities.

§ 1005.650-1 Procurement of printed matter and paper for printing.

(a) All departmental printing will be procured from the Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. except printing for which a waiver is granted by that office or for which the Congressional Joint Committee on Printing has granted authority to have done elsewhere.

(b) Procurement and/or use of paper for printing, binding, and duplicating: Government Paper Specification Standards are established by the Joint Committee on Printing. Unless otherwise authorized by JCP, these specifications and standards are mandatory for use by the departments and their field activities in the preparation of procurement documents for paper stocks and in specifying paper stocks to be used in printing, binding, and duplicating. The procurement or use of other types, grades or weights of paper is not authorized. "Government Paper Specification Standards" are distributed by the Air Force Logistics Command (See AFR 81-1 (Policies and Procedures Governing Specifications and Standards)).

(c) Mandatory Government Printing Office (GPO) contracts: (1) GPO Term Contracts contained in the current GPO Form 1047, "Term Contract for Tabulating Cards", and GPO Form 1056, "Term Contract for Aperture (Tabulating) Cards", are mandatory for use within the Air Force. All tabulating cards are items of printing. Procurement of commercial stock cards is not authorized. General Purpose cards are the "stock cards" of the Air Force and are prescribed by AFM 171-10 (General Data Processing and Reporting Instructions). They will be requisitioned through publications distribution channels in accordance with AFM 5-4 (Distribution of Air Force Publications and Forms). All command or local tabulating cards are chargeable to Contract Field Printing (434.2) funds.

(2) GPO Term Contracts contained in the current GPO Form 1026 for procurement of marginally punched continuous forms, blank or printed, are mandatory for use within the Air Force. All marginally punched continuous forms custom-made to fit them to the particular needs of the Air Force are items of printing. "Stock" tabulating forms and "Stock" teletype forms covered in these contracts are items of supply.

(3) Term Contracts are distributed by the Publishing Division, Directorate of Administrative Services, Hq USAF, to major air command headquarters. Subordinate activities will submit requirements for copies of GPO Term Contracts to their major air command headquarters. Requests will not be submitted to GPO. Direct liaison with that office is not authorized.

§ 1005.650-3 Books, blank books, loose-leaf binding devices, paper and similar items.

(a) Books, blank books, stenographers notebooks and other printed items regularly carried in stock by the Superintendent of Documents or commercial dealers, and on sale to the public generally, which require no additional printing or binding operations to fit them to the needs of the Air Force will be procured as items of supply. (See AFR 6-1.) The placing of lettering on loose leaf binding devices, such as ring binders, does not change the character of such supplies to printing or require them to be procured from the GPO. Direct image offset masters with or without marginal indicia are items of supply. GSA stocks masters without marginal indicia and masters with marginal indicia may be obtained under federal supply contracts. Direct image offset masters which require special printing to fit them to the needs of the Air Force are items of printing.

(b) All blank or printed envelopes, blank paper, ink, glues, and other supplies manufactured or regularly carried in stock by the GPO and which are used within the District of Columbia, will be procured from the GPO, or under FSS contracts as items of supply. Purchases for field use may be made otherwise if they can be procured cheaper for delivery in the field than from the GPO, taking into consideration the cost of packing and transportation. (See A-22657, 7 Comp. Gen. 712.)

§ 1005.650-4 Procurement of printing and binding equipment.

(a) Printing, binding, and related or auxiliary equipment are controlled by the Congressional Joint Committee on Printing. Prior authority is required by AF activities to purchase, issue, rent, exchange or transfer this equipment. AFR 6-1 prescribes the procedures for obtaining this authority. A list of the equipment controlled by JCP is contained in attachment 1, AFR 6-1. This list is not all inclusive. If there is any doubt as to whether an item of equipment requires JCP approval, preprocurement clarification should be requested through command channels from the Director of Administrative Services (AFCAS-50), Hq USAF, Washington 25, D.C.

(b) The above does not apply to contractor acquired equipment used indirectly in the performance of an AF contract, except as provided in paragraph 22, AFR 6-1.

PART 1008—TERMINATION OF CONTRACTS

Subpart E—Disposition of Termination Inventory

In § 1008.507-5, add new paragraph (d) as follows:

§ 1008.507-5 Applicability of antitrust laws.

* * * * *

(d) Inclusion of question in submission of required information to ASXKKI is unnecessary; however, answers to

questions will be identified by subparagraph designation (i.e. (i), (ii), (iii), etc.) and in the same sequence as in subparagraph (1) of this paragraph. The sales contract will not be completed until advice is received that the proposed sale will not tend to create or maintain a situation inconsistent with antitrust laws.

(1) With respect to the purchaser or recipient:

(i) Full name and address of proposed purchaser, including principal place of business.

(ii) If a corporation, give state and date of incorporation.

(iii) If a partnership, give names and home addresses of partners and date when organized.

(iv) Names and addresses of affiliates, if any.

(v) Nature of business (products produced or handled).

(vi) Geographical area of operations, name state or states (USA) or foreign countries where business is conducted.

(vii) U.S. dollar sales volume for latest calendar or fiscal year.

(viii) Net worth.

(ix) Intended use of property.

(2) Other data:

(i) Location and brief description of the property covered by the proposed award.

(ii) Acquisition cost to the Government of property other than patents, processes, techniques and inventions.

(iii) If the property is scrap, the tonnage.

(iv) If the proposed sale is by competitive bid, the IFB number of bidders solicited, the number of bids received, and the amount to be paid by the proposed purchaser.

(v) If the proposed sale is by negotiation, the extent of the competition obtained, and the amount to be paid by, and other consideration to be received from the proposed purchaser for the property.

Part 1012 is revised to read as follows:

PART 1012—LABOR

Subpart A—Basic Labor Policies

Sec.	
1012.102	Overtime, extra pay shifts, and multi-shift work.
1012.102-1	Definitions.
1012.102-2	Policy.
1012.102-3	Procedures.
1012.102-4	Approvals.
1012.102-5	Exceptions.
1012.103	Federal and State labor requirements.
1012.103-50	State labor standards.
1012.104	Meeting manpower requirements.

Subpart B—C—[Reserved]

Subpart D—Labor Standards in Construction Contracts

1012.401	Statutes and regulations.
1012.401-50	Definitions.
1012.402	Applicability.
1012.404	Administration and enforcement.
1012.404-1	General.
1012.404-2	Wage determinations.
1012.404-3	Additional classifications.
1012.404-5	Subcontracts.
1012.404-7	Investigations.

Sec.	
1012.404-9	Suspensions and deductions of contract payments.
1012.404-10	Restitution.
1012.404-51	Air Force Liaison Offices, Department of Labor Regional Attorneys and areas of jurisdiction.

Subpart E—F—[Reserved]

Subpart G—Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938

1012.702	Suits against Government contractors.
----------	---------------------------------------

Subpart H—Nondiscrimination in Employment

1012.804	Requests for exemptions.
1012.806	Administration.
1012.806-1	General.
1012.806-4	Compliance reports.
1012.806-5	Compliance reviews.
1012.806-6	Complaints.

AUTHORITY: §§ 1012.102 to 1012.806-6 issued under sec. 8012, 70A Stat. 488; 10 U.S.C. 8012. Interpret or apply secs. 2301-2314, 70A Stat. 127-133; 10 U.S.C. 2301-2314.

Subpart A—Basic Labor Policies

§ 1012.102 Overtime, extra pay shifts, and multi-shift work.

§ 1012.102-1 Definitions.

No implementation.

§ 1012.102-2 Policy.

Overtime will be kept to the minimum possible so as to avoid reduced efficiency, unreasonable costs, and unreasonable restriction of employment opportunities. Overtime deals with hours an individual works rather than the hours the facility is in operation.

§ 1012.102-3 Procedures.

(a) Contract clause for approved overtime: In addition to the clause specified in § 12.102-3(a) of this title, the following clause will be incorporated in all contracts for performance of which a specified amount of overtime premium or shift premium has been authorized.

APPROVAL OF OVERTIME AND EXTRA-PAY SHIFTS (MAR. 1962)

The Contractor is authorized to perform ¹ ----- overtime hours and ¹ ----- extra pay shift hours in addition to any over-

¹ The overtime hours and extra-pay shift hours to be inserted separately in the appropriate blank will have the prior approval of the Director of Procurement Management, Hq USAF, or his designee (§ 1012.102-4(b)). In the administration of this blanket authorization, the ACO will, in conjunction with the procuring contracting officer, other AFCEMRs/AFCEMDs/AFPROs and other departments and agencies having a mutual interest in the plant, review periodically (at least once every 60 days) the criteria under which the overtime and/or extra-pay shift hours are being worked to insure that the reasons supporting the original authorization remain valid. This review should consider the possibility of increasing the straight time/multi-shift work force before determining that overtime is necessary, taking into consideration any unusual circumstances where it would be expedient and to the Government's best interests to use overtime even though it is conclusively shown that such overtime is costlier. The review should identify office, departments, sections, etc., found to be habitual users of overtime and set them aside for closer examinations. Suspect areas set aside should then be carefully analyzed as to management practices,

time or extra pay shift work for the purposes set forth in the clause of this contract entitled "Payment for Overtime and Shift Premiums (Feb. 1962)," provided, however that the Administrative Contracting Officer may by written notice to the Contractor reduce the amount of such authorized overtime or extra pay shift work on a prospective only basis. If such action requires a change in the time for performance of the contract or in other contract terms, adjustment of the affected contract terms shall be accomplished in accordance with the procedures set forth in the clause of this contract entitled "Changes."

(1) In consonance with policy provided in § 12.102-2 of this title and § 1012.102-2, the following guidelines are to be utilized in a manner consistent with program requirements and are applicable under conditions cited in §§ 12.102-4 and 12.102-5 of this title.

(i) The use of multi-shifts, compensable at reasonable shift premium rates should be considered and, if practicable, substituted immediately for any work currently being performed on an excessive overtime basis.

(ii) In the administration of contracts, overtime of 20 hours in any one work week by any employee will be the maximum approvable except under compelling exigencies involving the national interest. This approval will be consistent with need and is at the maximum to be a "ceiling" rather than a "floor."

(iii) Any amount regularly paid by contractors for overtime, at overtime premium rates of pay, and without regard to the government's requirements for such overtime and any costs resulting from unusual shift arrangements, avoidance of multi-shift work, or unreasonable (double time) extra-pay shift differentials, will be considered "unreasonable" within the meaning of the cost principles in Subchapter A, Chapter I of this title and this subchapter.

(b) No implementation.

(c) For procedures see paragraph (a) of this section.

(d) No implementation.

(e) In the absence of the clause specified in paragraph (a) of this section, the Director of Procurement Management, Hq USAF, or his designee (§ 1012.102-4(b)) approves overtime and extra-pay shifts in writing on behalf of the Government according to the requirements of Payment For Overtime and Shift Premiums (Feb. 1962) clause in § 12.102-3(a) of this title.

§ 1012.102-4 Approvals.

(a) No implementation.

(b) (1) The Commander or Vice Commander, AFSC; the Commander or Vice

workload, and manning standards to ascertain that overtime is essentially the most economical method of getting the job done. Contractor should be able to prove to the satisfaction of the ACO that overtime utilization does not result in reduced efficiency, unreasonable costs, and unreasonable restriction of employment opportunities. If the ACO finds that the reasons for the original authorization are no longer valid he may, as provided in the clause, reduce the authorized hours on a prospective basis. ACOs are responsible for utilizing the AF specialist(s) in whose area(s) the subject overtime/extra-pay shift is being evaluated.

Commander, AFLC; Deputy Chief of Staff, Procurement and Materiel, Hq AFSC; the Director or Deputy Director of Procurement, Hq AFSC; the Director or Deputy Director of Procurement and Production, Hq AFLC; the Commander or Deputy Commander, Office of Aerospace Research; the Commander or Deputy Commanders of AFSC Divisions and Centers; the Commander or Deputy Commanders of each AFLC Air Materiel Area; and the Commander or Deputy Commander, 2709th Air Vehicle Control Group are designated to approve overtime premiums and shift premiums at government expense in procurement contracts under the circumstances in § 12.102-4(a) (1), (2), and (3) of this title. The respective Deputy Commanders and Deputy Directors will exercise this authority only during the temporary absences of the respective Commanders or Directors.

(2) In addition to subparagraph (1) of this paragraph, the following are designated to approve overtime premiums at government expense in procurement contracts under the circumstances in § 12.102-4(a) (1), (2), and (3) of this title within the limitations stated: SATAF Commanders under Atlas, Titan, and Minuteman Ballistic Missile Programs to the extent applicable to contractor performance of the site activation phase at the site of the respective Commander; the Director, Special Projects Office, OSAF, for those special projects which are under personal supervision of the Secretary of the Air Force (Program Book—Red Apple).

(c) Contractors performing overtime and extra-pay shift work prior to receipt of the approval do so at their own risk since retroactive approval will be considered only for cases of extreme urgency for which retroactive action can be fully justified.

(d) Each approving authority is responsible for maintaining statistical data showing the separate amount of overtime and extra-pay shifts requested and approved against a program or item and the involved contractor. The contracting officer is responsible for maintaining adequate justification documentation for contract files.

(e) No additional prior authorization by the ACO is required after the overtime and extra-pay shifts have been approved according to § 12.102-4(a) (1), (2), and (3) of this title.

§ 1012.102-5 Exceptions.

The ACO will assure in post review that the overtime and or shift premium was reasonable and is a proper charge allocable to the work involved within the scope of § 12.102-4(a) (1), (2), and (3) of this title, and the exceptions listed in § 12.102-5 (a) to (d) of this title.

(a) When applicable, the procedures and guidelines in § 1012.102-3(a) will be used to determine reasonableness.

(b) When a contractor elects to use § 12.102-5(d) of this title as justification for overtime or extra-pay shifts, the burden of proof is on the contractor to show a commensurate reduction in overall cost to the government resulting from use of overtime or extra-pay shifts.

§ 1012.103 Federal and State labor requirements.

§ 1012.103-50 State labor standards.

(a) *General.* State labor standards governing maximum hours of employment, meal and rest periods, night work, and other similar conditions of employment will be observed to the maximum extent possible in performing AF contracts.

(b) *Administration.* (1) The Air Force will not initiate applications to State agencies or officials for the suspension or relaxation of State labor standards.

(2) Contracting officers may support application of contractor or suppliers when relaxation of State labor standards do not conflict with applicable Federal Labor Laws, such as Fair Labor Standards Act, Walsh-Healey Act, Davis-Bacon Act, Eight-Hour Law, and when all of the following circumstances are present. In case of doubt the matter will be submitted through the local staff judge advocate to the Staff Judge Advocate (MCJ), Hq AMC, for advice.

(i) If the required products or services are in short supply and failure to meet production schedules for critically needed end-items will result unless the suspension or relaxation of State labor standards is approved.

(ii) If there are no alternative sources of supply for such products or services available within the required delivery schedule.

(iii) If remedial action (such as recruitment, training and more effective utilization of manpower) is not practicable.

(iv) If the granting of the application will not result in impairment of working conditions to the extent that productivity at the facility will be adversely affected.

(3) Air Force letters of support will be addressed to the appropriate State agency and will include:

(i) The facilities and services affected.

(ii) The extent of relaxation of the particular State labor standard required to complete the specific work in conformity with the procurement schedule.

§ 1012.104 Meeting manpower requirements.

Contracting officers administering contracts are responsible for carrying out the policy stated in § 12.104 of this title.

Subpart B-C—[Reserved]

Subpart D—Labor Standards in Construction Contracts

§ 1012.401 Statutes and regulations.

§ 1012.401-50 Definitions.

For purposes of the acts cited in § 12.401 of this title.

(a) The terms "building" or "work" refer to construction activity, including without limitation buildings, structures, and improvements of all types, such as bridges, dams, plants, highways, streets, tunnels, sewers, mains, power lines, pumping stations, railways, airports, terminals, docks, piers, levees, canals, dredging, shoring, rehabilitation and reactivation of plants, scaffolding, drilling,

blasting, excavating, clearing, and landscaping.

(b) The terms "public building" or "public work" include building or work of which the construction, alteration, or repair is carried on directly by authority of or with funds of a Federal agency to serve the interest of the general public, regardless of whether title thereto is in the United States.

(c) The terms "construction," "alteration," or "repair" include all types of work done on a particular building or work at the site thereof, including without limitation, altering, remodeling, painting, and decorating, transporting materials and supplies to or from the building, or work by the employees of the construction contractor, or construction subcontractor, and manufacturing or furnishing of materials, articles, supplies or equipment on the site of the building or work by persons employed by the contractor or subcontractor. Demolition of existing structures not related to new construction is not included.

(d) A construction contract for purposes of §§ 12.403-1 and 12.403-2 of this title is in excess of \$2,000 if the contract is in an actual amount (not merely an estimated amount) in excess of \$2,000. A contract initially \$2,000 or less which is later increased over that amount by supplemental agreement or change order is in excess of \$2,000 from the effective date of such a change in the contract amount, and the requirements of the Davis-Bacon Act, if otherwise applicable, are applicable to that part of the contract which has not been performed on such date.

§ 1012.402 Applicability.

The contracting officer will determine the applicability of the acts cited in § 12.401 of this title. In case of doubt, AFSC contracting officers will submit the matter to the appropriate AFSC staff judge advocate for advice. Major air command contracting officers may seek the advice of their own staff judge advocate or, alternately, of the Staff Judge Advocate, Hq AFSC, using normal command channels. Requests for opinions by the Secretary of Labor concerning the applicability of interpretation of the acts and regulations cited in § 12.401 of this title, supported by full information and documentation, will, after coordination with the Staff Judge Advocate, Hq AFSC, be submitted by Hq AFSC to Hq USAF (AFSPM-CM-2).

§ 1012.404 Administration and enforcement.

An agreement has been reached between the Department of the Air Force and Department of Labor placing into effect the decentralized labor law enforcement on AF contracts. The detailed procedures are contained in §§ 1012.404-7 through 1012.404-10. For the purpose of brevity and ready identification in these instructions, the AF liaison officer will be referred to as AFLO and the Department of Labor Regional Attorney as RADL. See § 1012.404-51 for locations and areas of jurisdiction.

§ 1012.404-1 General.

(a) *Administration of labor standards.* Special labor problems encountered by contracting officers, in connection with administration of contract provisions, may be referred to the appropriate AFLO, or AFSC (SCMKM), at the discretion of the command involved.

(b) *Examination of records.* Prior to the approval of payment on any construction contract, the contracting officer administering the contract will initiate such examinations into the records and activities of the contractor and his subcontractor(s) necessary to insure full compliance with the applicable labor statutes and labor standards provisions in this subpart.

§ 1012.404-2 Wage determinations.

(a) The wage determination, issued by the Department of Labor pursuant to the Davis-Bacon Act, is a schedule of the minimum hourly rates of wages to be paid laborers and mechanics employed by the contractor and his subcontractors performing work called for by the contract. It normally includes all the classifications of laborers and mechanics expected to be employed on the work. There are three types of determinations; area, limited area, and individual.

(1) *Area (54A) Determinations* provide wage rates for all kinds of construction contracts which may be awarded at an installation or within a given geographical area (usually a county) during the 90-day life of the determination. This type of determination is issued only for installations where continuing construction activity is anticipated. Area determinations must be renewed on a continuous basis by submission of Department of Labor Form DB-11 "Request for Determination," to the Department of Labor approximately 30 days prior to the expiration of each current area determination.

(2) *Limited Area (54A) Determinations:* In some States, the Department of Labor does not include in the area type determination wage rates applicable to heavy or highway construction. In these States, the wage rates issued are for all classifications for either "Building Construction" only, or "Building, Utilities, and Incidental Paving." This type of determination is known as a limited area determination, and where the Department of Labor issues this type of determinations, the requesting office must submit requests for individual determinations for heavy and highway construction projects as described below. This type of determination is applicable in the following States:

Alabama.	Nebraska.
Arkansas.	New Mexico.
Florida.	North Carolina.
Georgia.	North Dakota.
Iowa.	Oklahoma.
Kansas.	South Carolina.
Louisiana.	South Dakota.
Maryland.	Texas.
Mississippi.	Virginia.
Maine.	

(3) *Individual determinations* are provided by the Department of Labor for

a single contract. Such a determination must be obtained for each contract subject to the Davis-Bacon Act unless an Area (54A) Determination is applicable. The classifications of laborers and mechanics in these determinations are limited to those classes employed in the type of work required by the contract, as indicated in the request.

(b) *Processing requests:* (1) Requests for area (54A) determinations, limited area (54A) determinations, and individual determinations will be submitted directly to the Davis-Bacon Section, Department of Labor, 815 Mount Vernon Place NW., Washington 25, D.C. The wage determinations will be returned direct to the requesting office by Hq USAF (AFSPDPDB). However, this provision does not apply to requests for wage determinations to be used for family housing projects to be constructed under Title VIII of the National Housing Act (Capehart Housing), as amended. All requests and inquiries pertaining to wage determinations applicable to Title VIII housing will be made directly to the Deputy/Director for Facilities Support, Director of Civil Engineering, Hq USAF, Washington 25, D.C. Detailed procedures covering these wage determinations are covered in Installations Engineer Family Housing Memorandum T VIII (11-56) 15 May 1957.

(2) Requests will be initiated by the civil engineering officer in cases where he is responsible for preparing the construction specifications or by the contracting officer administering the contract in cases of subcontracts and facilities contracts.

(3) *Preparation of requests:* Requests for area and individual determinations will be made on Department of Labor Form DB-11, "Request for Determinations." No requests by wire or telephone will be made by field personnel to the Department of Labor. In preparing the request, one original and two copies of the Form DB-11 will be made. The original copy of the Form DB-11 will be typed on one side only and a carbon will be used to make an impression on the back of the form. Only the original will be submitted to the Department of Labor. One copy of each Form DB-11 will be mailed to Hq USAF (AFSPDPDB) with a self-addressed gummed label. One copy of the Form DB-11 will be retained by the requesting office. All spaces above the list of classifications will be filled out with the exception of those blocks designated "For Department of Labor Use." The blocks entitled "Name and Title of Requesting Officer" and "Department, Agency, or Bureau" will bear the typed full name, rank, and proper mailing address of the requesting officer. The written signature will be that of the commander or his duly appointed representative.

(i) For area determinations, the description of the work will be: Area (54A) Determination—Building, Heavy and Highway Construction. Do not check any classifications as all classifications are contained in the area type determinations.

(ii) In those states where the Department of Labor issues a limited 54A Area

Determination, the description will be: Area (54A) Determination—For Building Construction Only or Building, Utilities and Incidental Paving. In these cases, a Form DB-11 must be submitted on an individual basis for determinations for Heavy and Highway Construction Projects, with the work to be accomplished in detail.

(iii) For individual determinations, the description of the work will be sufficiently detailed to enable the Department of Labor to determine that the work is one of the three categories: namely, Building, Heavy, or Highway Construction.

(a) In the block entitled "Location" the name of the base or municipality nearest to the work site will be inserted. The State and county in which the work is to take place will be inserted in the spaces provided. Classifications of laborers and mechanics needed to perform the work will be checked by typed X. Needed classifications which are generally used in the area but do not appear on the Form DB-11 will be typed in the blank spaces. The Apprentice Schedule will be left blank as the Department of Labor will furnish these rates.

(iv) After major construction is completed, including the installation and check out phase, and the missile site is turned over to the using command, any follow-on construction, alteration and/or repair work at Atlas and Titan missile sites will require an individual determination for each project, giving a complete description of the work to be performed under the wage determination. 54-A type requests will not be used for this purpose.

(4) If problems regarding wage rates arise after requests have been submitted to the Department of Labor or after determinations have been received from Hq USAF, followup inquiries or other communications relating thereto will be sent to Hq USAF (AFSPDB) except as provided in § 1012.404-3. This office is the liaison between the Air Force and the Department of Labor.

(c) Use of wage rate determinations:

(1) The specifications of every contract subject to the Davis-Bacon Act will include a copy of a current wage rate determination. Contracting officers will not accept purchase requests covering projects subject to the Act until the required determination has been incorporated in the specifications. Contracts may be advertised up to the expiration date of the determination; however, bids will not be opened after expiration until a new determination has been received and all bidders have been advised of the new determination and given a reasonable opportunity to resubmit their bids on the basis of the contract specifications as revised by the new determination.

(2) Wage rates properly included in the contract at the time of award are applicable to the contract for its duration, except as specified in subdivision (ii) (b) of this subparagraph. These same rates may be used for additional or new work not included in the specifications if an area determination was used in the IFB or RFP and that deter-

mination is still in effect at the time of change. If the area determination has expired or if an individual determination was used, a new wage determination must be used for such new or additional work unless it is clear that the new or additional work is so much a part of the work originally contracted for that it is reasonably impossible of performance by other than the original contractor. (An apparent probability that the new work may be done more conveniently or even at less expense by the original contractor does not in itself justify use of the original determination for such work; the original determination should be used for the new work only if the new work (i) does not reflect a change or modification which materially alters the scope or character of the original contract requirements and (ii) is so closely related to the original work both in nature and in timing that it cannot reasonably be regarded as a separate and distinct undertaking.)

(a) Fixed-Price Contracts (formally advertised or negotiated): Once a contract is awarded, the wage rates contained in the specifications are the minimum rates that can be paid by the contractor or his subcontractors during the life of the contract.

(b) Cost-reimbursement type, time and material, and labor-hour contracts and subcontracts: The wage rates established for and included in cost-reimbursement type prime or subcontracts (including time and materials contracts and labor-hour contracts) according to the Davis-Bacon Act are the minimum rates that can be paid during the life of the contract. Reimbursement to contractors and subcontractors holding the foregoing types of contracts for wages paid to laborers and mechanics are based on this wage schedule; all applications of prime and subcontractors to use higher wage rates require specific approval by the contracting officer. The contracting officer's approval will be based upon substantiating data in support of the contractor's request, such as: proposed wage rates were established as a result of bona fide collective bargaining in which the contractor participated or to which he adheres as a general practice or as a result of membership in contractors' organizations; proposed wage rates have approval of any existing wage stabilizing body established pursuant to Federal law; or payment of wages at higher rates is required to man the job. Subcontracts awarded pursuant to a cost-reimbursement type, time and material, or labor-hour contract will include wage rates prevailing at the time of the subcontract award, except where the subcontracted work is included in the specifications of the prime contract and is a part of the particular work for which the wage rate determination attached to the prime contract was obtained.

(d) Modifications: During the 90-day life of a determination, the Department of Labor may issue a modification thereto, changing the wage rate for one or more classifications or adding or deleting a classification; or the Department of Labor may issue a new determination

which entirely supersedes the original determination for the duration of the 90-day period. Neither modifications nor superseding determinations change the expiration date of the original determination. The date that modifications, superseding determinations, or new determinations are received by the requesting AF activity from the Department of Labor will be the date used in determining whether the rates are effective. Therefore, all copies of modifications and determinations will be time-date stamped to show when they were first received by the requesting AF activity.

(1) If the date stamped is 5 days or more before bid opening, the new rates are effective and must be included in the contract. Accordingly, bidders should be advised of the new rates by an amendment to the Invitation for Bids and the date of bid opening extended if necessary.

(2) If an effective modification or new determination is not received within sufficient time to notify all bidders prior to bid opening, or is received after bid opening, the following procedure will be used:

(i) If there are no changes to applicable wage rates, or if there are increases which the low responsible bidder will accept without change in its bid price, award will be made to the low responsible bidder, provided that written acceptance of the new rates is obtained from the low responsible bidder and attached to the bid and that the new rates are included in the contract.

(ii) If any applicable wage rate is decreased, or if there is any increase which the low responsible bidder will not accept without change in its bid price, award will not be made until the procurement has been readvertised using the new or modified determination.

(3) The word "modification" as used in § 12.404-2(c) of this title includes new or superseding determinations.

(e) Posting: (1) Upon making the award, the contracting officer will supply the contractor with one or more copies (depending upon size of construction site) of the Wage Rate Information Poster (SOL-155). The name and address of the AF office responsible for the administration of the contract will be inserted in the blank box in the middle of the poster to inform workers where complaints or questions concerning labor law violations may be made.

(2) The contractor will be required to post, in at least one conspicuous place, a copy of the Wage Rate Information Poster together with the applicable wage determination.

§ 1012.404-3 Additional classifications.

(a) If a classification is omitted in the determination, or the need for an additional classification in the determination arises prior to award of contract, an emergency request for a supplementary determination, will be sent to the Davis-Bacon Section, Department of Labor, Washington 25, D.C. The request will be made by wire and include the following information: the applicable wage rate determination, date issued, name and address of the activity, state and county where the work is to be per-

formed, additional classification required, date of bid opening, and briefly, why required.

(b) If the need for additional classification arises after award of contract, the contracting officer may authorize the contractor to employ such classifications on the work providing the contractor has furnished a signed request justifying the use of additional classifications and the wage rates proposed to be paid. The contractor will submit the request to the contracting officer in triplicate. If the request is approved, one copy will be returned to the contractor, one copy will be made part of the contract records, and one copy will be sent immediately to the Davis-Bacon Section, Department of Labor, Washington 25, D.C.

§ 1012.404-5 Subcontracts.

The prime contractor will submit to the contracting officer a list of all subcontracts and subcontractors to be engaged on work at the site. He will indicate names, addresses, nature of work involved and will certify that all applicable labor laws have been brought to the attention of all listed subcontractors. This list will include all subcontractors, not merely first tier subcontractors, and will be kept up to date during the performance of the contract.

§ 1012.404-7 Investigations.

The contracting officer administering the contract is responsible for accomplishing routine checks and field checks in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section. The required checks will be made by the contracting officer or his representative.

(a) *Routine checking for compliance.* Routine checks for compliance with the labor standards provisions in this subpart will be made, particularly as to: (1) Whether practice respecting additional classifications and rates conforms to § 1012.404-3, (2) whether apprenticeship registration certificates are retained for each employee who is classified as an apprentice, and (3) whether required payrolls and statements are received from all subcontractors on all tiers as well as from all prime contractors. Routine checking for compliance requires examination of payroll records. Payrolls will be initialed and dated by the person making the check to indicate compliance with the labor laws. Routine checks will also ascertain whether or not:

(i) Required payrolls and statements have been filed.

(ii) Hourly wage rates shown on the payrolls are not less than the minimum wage rates prescribed in the contract.

(iii) Any time worked in excess of 8 hours in any one day is being paid for at the rate of one and one-half times the hourly rate.

(iv) All classifications listed on the payrolls conform to the classifications listed in the wage determinations, or to additional classifications authorized by the contracting officer. If the payrolls show a disproportionate number of laborers or apprentices employed in relation to journeymen, a specific check will be made at the work site to deter-

mine that such classifications are not being used to perform journeymen's work. A proportionate number of laborers or apprentices will be determined by the prevailing practice in the area. In the case of apprentices, the ratio is specified in the Apprenticeship Agreement which is registered with the State Apprenticeship Council; or if no such council exists, with the Bureau of Apprenticeship, U.S. Department of Labor. The work to be performed by journeymen will also be governed by general practice in the area. In some cases it may be advisable to consult employers, employer organizations, unions, State or Federal apprentice officers, and others in the area for helpful information as to the permissible ratios and work classifications.

(v) Records contain a certificate of registration, or a certificate from the contractor, showing that the apprentices listed on the payrolls are registered in an approved apprenticeship program, and that the number of persons employed in the classification of apprentice does not exceed the ratio approved for the program.

(vi) The work being performed is being accomplished by properly classified workers.

(vii) If irregularities or violations are indicated, a field check as discussed in paragraph (b) of this section, is necessary and should be made.

(b) *Field checking.* Field checks are conducted at the work site. AFPI Form 7, "Labor Law Compliance Check Sheet, Air Force Construction Contract" will be used in accomplishing field checks. The first field check should be conducted within 14 days after work begins. The frequency of subsequent field checks will be determined by the degree of contractor and subcontractors compliance which is anticipated on the basis of the first field check and the preconstruction conference or in the light of experience with the contractors or subcontractors.

(c) *Special investigation.* Special investigations of suspected criminal or other violations of applicable labor laws must be full scale investigations to determine all pertinent facts, not merely an inquiry as to the truth of allegations. The cognizant command will insure that qualified personnel are assigned to the investigations and that investigations are conducted properly and expeditiously.

(1) Special investigations will be made when the contracting officer learns of a possible violation of labor laws. Violations include all complaints of alleged violations, extensive violations noted during field checks or reviews, suspected criminal or willful violations of applicable labor laws, reports of violations referred by the AFLO or higher headquarters, or any other source providing sufficient information to indicate need for investigation.

(d) *Conduct of investigation.* (1) Preliminary steps: To the extent that information is available within the Air Force, the investigator should gather as much of the information indicated in subparagraphs (3) through (7) of this paragraph as practicable prior to the initial interview.

(2) Initial employer interview or conference: (i) *Credentials:* Upon arriving at the project site, the investigator should present his credentials to the contractors, subcontractors, or their representative. (Credentials should also be presented to employees when being interviewed.) Initial conferences should be held with the prime contractor or his representative, additional conferences will be held with subcontractors, as necessary.

(ii) The contracting officer will insure that the prime contractor and the subcontractor allegedly in violation are informed that the investigator is a representative of the Air Force authorized to inspect the employment records of the contractor and interview the employees on the job pursuant to the Payroll Records and Payroll clause (see § 12.403-1(4) of this title). The investigator will:

(a) Inform the employer that the purpose of his visit is to investigate compliance with the pertinent labor statutes and regulations; and outline in general terms the scope of the investigation, including examination of pertinent records, employee interview, and physical inspection of the project.

(b) Obtain the exact legal name of the corporation or firm and trade names, the full address, full names of owners and addresses of subcontractors, and similar information necessary to the investigation.

(3) Examination of the payrolls: (i) An examination of the contractor's and subcontractor's payroll will be made for accuracy, completeness, and true representation of the facts. The examination will cover the current or most recent payrolls as well as those for selected periods which reflect the practice of the contractor or subcontractor during the life of the contract.

(ii) Check for completeness and accuracy of payrolls as to names, addresses, job classifications, hourly wage rates, daily and weekly hours worked during the payroll period, gross weekly wages earned, deductions made from wages, and net weekly wages paid.

(iii) Check the wage rates shown in the payroll against the applicable wage determination.

(iv) Check the number of employees in each job classification to determine whether there is a disproportionate employment of laborers, helpers, or apprentices. For example, existence of any of the following circumstances may indicate a disproportionate employment, depending upon the status and type of project.

(a) Several laborers and only one journeyman electrician on a payroll submitted by an electrical subcontractor would normally indicate that laborers are performing electrical work.

(b) A greater number of helpers or apprentices than mechanics may indicate that the ratio of apprentices to mechanics is being disregarded, apprentices or helpers are performing the work of mechanics, and all of the apprentices are not properly registered in an approved program or the contractor or subcontractor is not conforming to approved apprenticeship standards.

(v) Progress reports: If a progress report has been received, it should be care-

fully checked for information as to the kind of work performed during a certain period, which may be compared with the classification listed on the payrolls for corresponding periods. For example, if sheetmetal work was done during a particular month and the payroll for that month fails to show any sheetmetal workers, the contractor may be in violation of the labor standards provisions.

(vi) Eight-Hour Laws: If the Eight-Hour Laws are applicable and the daily hours worked by an employee exceeded 8, determine whether time and a half the employee's basic rate was paid for such excess hours.

(4) Examination of basic time and work records: (i) A sufficient number of checks of the basic time cards, foreman's work records, time books, sheets, or other work or personnel records of a representative number of employees on each classification should be made against the payroll record to disclose any possible discrepancies, or to give reasonable assurance that none exist. Pertinent excerpts, or copies of such records will be included in the case file.

(ii) The records of particular employees should be included in the check whenever there appears to be any doubt or question with respect to such employees.

(5) Check on conformity with apprenticeship requirements. (i) Apprentices may not be employed on the project unless they are registered under a bona fide apprenticeship program registered with a State Apprenticeship Council which is recognized by the Federal Committee on Apprenticeship, U.S. Department of Labor, or if no such recognized Council exists in a State, under a program registered with the Bureau of Apprenticeship, U.S. Department of Labor.

(ii) If it is found that so-called "apprentices" are being employed who are not registered under a bona fide program, ascertain their correct names, addresses, type of work each is performing, their classification, age, and rates of pay each is receiving. Also, it may be advisable in some cases to consult with employer or employee organizations, State or Federal apprenticeship offices, and others in the area for helpful information as to wage rates and the permissible ratio of journeymen to apprentices, etc. Permissible ratios of apprentices to mechanics may be found in the set of standards contained in the program to which the contractor has subscribed.

(iii) In the case of employment of apprentices in excess of the approved ratio, or erroneous classification of mechanics as apprentices, the investigator should ascertain from interviews and available data all pertinent information such as work experience in the trade or related trades, age, type of work performed, rate of pay each is receiving, supervision received, and any previous classifications of such employees as apprentices.

(6) Classifications not listed in wage determination: Determine whether the contractor has been employing any laborers or mechanics whose classification is not listed in the wage determination. If so, describe the work they are performing, tools used, and report wage rates being paid and whether or not, as

the case may be, they have been reclassified or a request made for a supplemental determination according to § 12.404-3 of this title and § 1012.404-3. The investigator should make recommendations as to proper classification.

(7) Transcribing payrolls: Transcription will be made of payroll information for those employees selected for interview, thus enabling the investigator to clarify questionable matters and permit the investigator to discuss the situation, with respect to each employee, in a logical and factual manner.

(8) Employee interviews: AFPI Form 30B should generally be used when conducting personal interviews, however, they should never be used for mail interviews, subdivision (iii) of this subparagraph.

(i) Objectives of employee interviews: Employee interviews should be sufficient in number to establish the degree of adequacy and accuracy of records and the nature and extent of any violations, and should be representative of all classifications of employees on the project. The investigator should prepare for the interview in such a manner that pertinent facts will be elicited clearly and accurately. Transcripts of records of employees selected for interview should be coordinated with the employees, to enable each employee to discuss the situation more adequately and to enable the investigator to tie up the interview with the transcripts and clarify matters about which there may be doubt or question. Each employee to be interviewed should be informed that he is not required to make a statement, that it is voluntary, that the information, if given, is confidential, and that his identity will not be disclosed to the employer without the employee's written permission.

(ii) Place and time of interview: Employees currently employed may be interviewed during working hours on the job, according to § 12.403-1(4)(a) of this title, if such an interview can be conducted properly and privately. It should be arranged to cause the least inconvenience to the employer and employee. In some cases it may be preferable to conduct the interview elsewhere, such as the employee's home, at the investigator's office, or other suitable place. In such instances, efforts should be made to make it at the convenience of the employee and held outside the employee's working hours.

(iii) Mail interviews: Employees formerly employed on the job may be interviewed at their homes, at the investigator's office, or by mail. Ordinarily, an interview should be made by mail only if a personal interview is impracticable. Mail interviews may also be used for employees not on duty at the time of the investigation, but the use of mail interviews will be kept to a minimum. AFPI Form 30B will not be used for mail interviews.

(iv) Privacy of interviews: Employees should not be interviewed in the presence of the employer, another employee, or any other person, unless the employee requests the presence of another person. In the latter event, questions and answers may be influenced and it may be necessary to re-interview the employ-

ee at his home or at some other place away from the work site to obtain additional information.

(v) Oral interview statements: An employee interview need not be recorded in a signed statement if it serves merely to confirm what the records reveal, or if the employee is unwilling to reduce his statement to writing. A record of such oral interviews will be made, however, for the case file, showing date, name, classification, and digest of the employee's remarks.

(vi) Signed interview statements: A signed employee statement will not be recorded on the same sheet of paper as another signed employee statement. The principal situations which require the preparation of a signed statement arise when the interview:

(a) Involves information as to hours, wages, classification, or other essential facts which are in question or missing from the records.

(b) Bears on the question of falsification of records or other criteria of willingness.

(c) Indicates that the employee was intimidated or forced to "kick back" any part of his compensation.

(d) Yields factual evidence pertinent to any actual or possible controversy with the employer as to whether a particular violation has occurred.

(vii) Preparation of interview statements; general principles: When a written statement is taken, it should be recorded as stated by the employee as nearly as possible in his own language, will be read by him, and contain a statement that it has been read and that it is correct. The investigator may restate or summarize the employee's remarks, but when he does so the statement will indicate this fact. The statement will be signed by the employee and the signature, except in mail interviews, will be witnessed by the investigator. When this statement is not signed, the investigator will show, either in the statement or in his report, the employee's reason for not signing. Any changes in a signed employee statement will be initialed by the employee. The interview will cover all the allegations of violations which the employee could be expected to be in a position to furnish information. The employee should be questioned as to whether he has ever been intimidated, threatened with dismissal, or forced to give up any part of his compensation by either his employer or any other group or agency. The interview will also cover any other details necessary to indicate accuracy of the employer's records, statements, or certifications to determine whether there has been compliance.

(viii) Employee interviews where records are inadequate or inaccurate: When records are inadequate or inaccurate, the employee interviews will contain as much information as possible regarding the inadequacies or inaccuracies and hours of work. The workday, workweek, and the periods covered by the active and less active periods should be established in order that a formula or pattern can be formulated for computation of any back wages that may be due. Holiday weeks, if any, should be identified.

(ix) Complainant interviews: All complaint investigations must include, except under extraordinary circumstances, an interview with the complainant. When the complaint was made in person, the complaint itself may constitute an interview and further interview or notice by letter may be postponed until the complainant can be informed that the investigation has been made and of the results insofar as the complainant is concerned. When a complainant interview is impracticable or impossible, the omission of the interview will be justified in the report of the investigation.

(9) Disclosure of information to employees: During an investigation, the investigator may receive oral inquiries from employees as to the provisions of pertinent statutes, compliance with those provisions by the employer, and whether the employees may expect to receive back wages as a result of the investigation. An inquiring employee has a right to be informed of the provisions of such statutes and of his rights. He should, however, be given no information by the investigator which was obtained from an examination of the employer's records, except that the investigator may check with the employee on transcripts from the employer's records of pertinent matters. Under no circumstances may the employee be given, or allowed to make, copies of data obtained from the employer's records. The investigator will not give his opinion as to whether any back wages are due an employee because of the violations by the employer, but he may instruct the employee as to how his wages or overtime pay, if applicable, should be computed. The investigator may advise inquirers that his sole function as an investigator is to ascertain and to report all of the facts concerning the employer's compliance with the provisions of the statutes, that the investigation is not completed until Hq USAF, or their designated representative, has received and acted upon the facts.

§ 1012.404-9 Suspensions and deductions of contract payments.

(a) Regarding any alleged failure or refusal to pay wages due workers under the labor standards provisions of this subpart, the contracting officer will inform the prime contractor of such allegation and, upon mutual agreement that the allegations are correct, request that the contractor provide for restitution according to § 1012.404-10. If restitution is not made, and violation of the Davis-Bacon Act is alleged, action will be taken to withhold sufficient money to cover the alleged unpaid wages. If the violation involves a subcontractor, he should, if possible, be included in conferences with the prime contractor regarding particular violations in which he is involved.

(b) If it is finally determined that restitution is due any worker because of violation of the Davis-Bacon Act and the contractor refuses to make restitution or is unable to locate any of the workers involved, the withheld funds will be transmitted to the General Accounting Office. Notice should be given to any workers whose address is

known to file claim with the General Accounting Office. Employee applications for payment may be forwarded with the forms required by § 12.404-9 of this title; such applications should include the employee's name, address, and classification, the name of the employer, and a statement identifying the contract involved. Withholding must be accomplished even though an employee cannot be located to complete restitution. Where underpayments have been determined under the Eight-Hour Laws, the pertinent contract clause provides for withholding of such amounts. However, unlike the Davis-Bacon Act, the Eight-Hour Laws do not provide for payment of such sums by the United States Government.

(c) Regarding alleged violations involving liability for penalties under the Eight-Hour Laws, the contracting officer should withhold from payments due to the contractor a sum sufficient to cover the estimated penalties possibly due the United States. Such an amount should continue to be withheld until action as set forth in paragraph (d) of this section is taken, or the contractor voluntarily pays the full amount of the penalties allegedly due, or the contracting officer is informed through channels that the Department of Labor has concurred in the nonassessment of penalties.

(d) When investigation discloses that penalties are due the United States, the contracting officer will forward to the disbursing officer who normally makes disbursement to the contractor, a voucher indicating the amount due the contractor, the amount to be paid to the United States as penalties, and sufficient information to explain the withholding. The voucher should be separate from that used to withhold any restitution that may be due the contractor's employees. The contracting officer should retain complete information concerning the penalties, including justification therefor and method of calculation, to be furnished the disbursing officer, if requested.

(e) In appropriate cases where an employer acting in good faith has inadvertently failed to pay the daily overtime compensation required by the Eight-Hour Laws and subsequently makes delayed payment of the extra amount due his employees, a recommendation for nonassessment of penalties may be made, on the basis of the restitution constituting delayed compliance. In this connection, if any employees due daily overtime restitution cannot be located for direct payment by the contractor, the contracting officer may transmit to the General Accounting Office these moneys together with a statement of contractor acquiescence to the payment for deposit and proper disbursement to the unlocated workers as described under § 1012.404-10(c). Recommendations, together with the report of investigation will be sent to the AFLO for further processing. Factual justification for the recommendations will be included.

(f) If the contractor does not make voluntary restitution or if any of the underpaid employees cannot be located, the funds withheld for payments due em-

ployees under the Davis-Bacon Act will be transferred to the General Accounting Office, Washington 25, D.C., on Standard Form 1093, "Schedule of Withholdings under the Davis-Bacon Act." If the Standard Form 1093 is transmitted to the GAO on a case in which a labor standards investigative report is forwarded to the AFLO, a note will be included on the Standard Form 1093 stating, "Investigative Report is being forwarded to the Department of Labor pursuant to 29 CFR Subchapter A, Part 5." In those cases not requiring report to AFLO, a notation will be made on the back of the Standard Form 1093 indicating the number of employees underpaid by the contractor, the number of employees paid with the amounts paid each; and the number of employees who could not be located for payment and the amounts due each. Each such statement should include a recommendation against imposition of ineligibility sanctions together with a brief explanation of such recommendations.

§ 1012.404-10 Restitution.

(a) Regarding labor standards violations determined to have involved underpayment of wages, the contracting officer will make demand on the contractor for prompt restitution. Computation of restitution as claimed by the contractor shall be fully considered by the contracting officer. Upon completion of computation, a summary sheet listing names, addresses, and the unpaid amounts payable to the employees involved should be prepared by the contractor, a copy of which will be furnished to the contracting officer for his approval. The contracting officer will advise the contractor of the procedure which will satisfy him conclusively that proper restitution has been made, such as the witnessing of cash payments, the furnishing of canceled checks or a certified copy of a supplemental payroll. In apparently serious cases of aggravated, or willful violation or possible criminal action, if the contracting officer has any question that the consequences of such payments of restitution may prejudice the case, he shall refer the matter to the AFLO for recommendations for appropriate action.

(b) When a contractor wishes to make voluntary restitution but the workers involved cannot be located, the contracting officer will effect collection and send the collected money to the disbursing officer on Standard Form 1093. This form should reflect the nature of the violations, the names and last known home addresses of the workers.

(c) There has been established in the General Accounting Office the Account No. 05X6022 into which collections for wage underpayments are deposited. A check drawn on the Treasurer of the United States together with Standard Form 1093 (Revised) is the method by which these collections are deposited to the Account. GAO will make appropriate disbursements to the underpaid employees to whom restitution is due, upon proper request. Inquiries from underpaid employees and/or their applications for payment should be referred to the Claims Division, General Accounting Office, Washington 25, D.C.

§ 1012.404-51 Air Force Liaison Offices, Department of Labor Regional Attorneys and areas of jurisdiction.

Following are the addresses and office symbols of AF Liaison Offices and De-

partment of Labor Regional Attorneys and their areas of jurisdiction over AF bases and installations for the decentralized labor law enforcement procedures.

Air Force liaison office	Department of Labor regional attorney	Area of jurisdiction
Boston CMD, Boston Army Terminal, Boston 10, Mass. Attn: AFLO-I.	18 Oliver St., Boston 10, Mass...	Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, and Connecticut.
New York CMD, 111 East 16th St., New York 3, N.Y. Attn: AFLO-II.	Parcel Post Bldg., 341 9th Ave., New York 1, N.Y.	New York and New Jersey.
Philadelphia CMD, 1411 Walnut St., Philadelphia 2, Pa. Attn: AFLO-III.	Wolf Ave. and Commerce St., Chambersburg, Pa.	Pennsylvania, Maryland, Delaware, and District of Columbia.
AF Plant Representative, Hayes Intl. Corp., P.O. Box 2287, Birmingham, Ala. Attn: AFLO-IV.	American Liberty Insurance Co. Bldg., 1401 South 20th St., Birmingham 5, Ala.	Mississippi, Alabama, Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, and South Carolina.
Cleveland CMD, 113 St. Clair Ave., Cleveland 14, Ohio. Attn: AFLO-V.	248 Engineers Bldg., 1365 Ontario Ave., Cleveland 14, Ohio.	Ohio and Michigan.
Chicago CMD, O'Hare Intl. Apartment, P.O. Box 8758, Chicago, Ill. Attn: AFLO-VI.	Bankers Bldg., 105 West Adams St., Chicago 3, Ill.	Illinois, Wisconsin, Indiana, and Minnesota.
St. Louis CMD, 1114 Market St., St. Louis 1, Mo. Attn: AFLO-VII.	1503 Federal Office Bldg., 911 Walnut St., Kansas City 6, Mo.	Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska, South Dakota, North Dakota, and Iowa.
Denver Contract Management Office, 3800 York St., Denver 5, Colo. Attn: AFLO-VIII.	317 Equitable Bldg., 17 and Stout Sts., Denver 2, Colo.	Colorado and Wyoming.
Dallas CMD, 500 South Ervay, Dallas 1, Tex. Attn: AFLO-VIII.	Room 217, 114 Commerce St., Dallas 2, Tex.	Texas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, New Mexico, and Arkansas.
San Francisco CMD, Peters Bldg., 1069 East Meadow Circle, Palo Alto, Calif.	307 Appraisers Bldg., 630 Sansome St., San Francisco 11, Calif.	California, Arizona, Oregon, Nevada, Hawaii, Utah, Idaho, Washington, Montana, and Alaska.
Dayton CMD, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio. Attn: AFLO-X.	U.S. Courthouse, 801 Broad St., Nashville 3, Tenn.	Kentucky, Tennessee, Virginia, and West Virginia.

Subpart E-F—[Reserved]

Subpart G—Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938

§ 1012.702 Suits against Government contractors.

Contractors' requests for approval of private counsel for the defense of Fair Labor Standards Act suits under Cost or Cost-Plus-a-Fixed-Fee contracts will be processed for approval action according to §§ 837.1 to 837.5, Subchapter C of this chapter.

Subpart H—Nondiscrimination in Employment

§ 1012.804 Requests for exemptions.

The Nondiscrimination Clause represents government policy regarding equal employment opportunity (EEO) and every effort will be made through conference, conciliation, mediation, and persuasion to include said clause in all AF contracts. Requests to omit or modify the clause will be submitted only when it can be clearly established that such omission or modification is in the national interest.

(a) When in negotiated procurement, an offeror who would otherwise be qualified for award refuses to accept the clause or requests a modification, and an award omitting the clause or granting such modification is considered necessary or clearly in the national interest, a request for such deviation will be forwarded through the CMR in which the firm is located to AFSC (SCMKM-2). The deputy contracts compliance officer (DCCO) or the intergroup relations specialist (IRS) at Hq AFSC will in appropriate cases, personally or designate a qualified representative to discuss with the head of the company concerned the inclusion of the clause in the pending contract, and, if after such discussion, the firm still refuses to accept the clause,

the request for deviation will be forwarded to Hq USAF (AFSPM-CP-1) according to § 1001.109 of this subchapter. In addition to the information required by § 1001.109-51 of this subchapter, the contracting officer will include in the request for deviation the following:

- (1) Name and location of the officer requesting the deviation.
- (2) The RFP number and the contract number if one is assigned.
- (3) Supplies or services to be furnished.
- (4) Estimated or actual delivery schedule.
- (5) Type of contract involved and location where it will be performed.
- (6) Circumstances surrounding the impracticability of including the Nondiscrimination Clause.
- (7) Availability of the supplies or services.
- (8) Urgency of the requirement.
- (9) Any other pertinent information available.
- (b) Name and title of the official contacted at the offeror's plant or office along with other pertinent details.
- (c) In cases processed under paragraph (a) of this section which involve purchase of utilities services falling within § 1016.501-2 of this subchapter, payment may be made without a written contract pending a final determination provided:

- (1) The matter has been placed in processing channels according to paragraph (a) of this section.
- (2) Services currently are being received.
- (3) No connection charge is involved.
- (4) The utility service supplier's rates are fixed or adjusted by a Federal, State, or other regulatory body.
- (5) Invoices are certified as to reasonableness of rates and services performed.
- (6) The utility service supplier does not require execution of a contract.

(7) A contract is not deemed necessary to serve the best interests of the Government.

(d) In those cases involving a connection charge or otherwise requiring a contract before payment may be made, payment must be withheld pending the action of the President's Committee on Equal Employment Opportunity.

(e) Notwithstanding the inclusion in any contract of the provisions in section 301 of the order, the contractor will be exempt from compliance if the contract containing such provision of the order is exempt under any exemption contained in the rules, regulations, relevant orders issued by the Committee and § 12.803 of this title.

§ 1012.806 Administration.

§ 1012.806-1 General.

(a) The Assistant Secretary of the Air Force (Materiel) is the AF Contracts Compliance Officer and is responsible to the Secretary for overall policy and general direction to the AF Equal Employment Opportunity Program involving government contractors. He is authorized to designate DCCOs.

(b) The Deputy Chief of Staff, Systems and Logistics, is responsible for the direction, planning, guidance, and supervision of the AF Equal Employment Opportunity Program.

(c) The Commander, AFSC, is responsible for obtaining contractor compliance with the provisions of the Nondiscrimination Clause throughout the Air Force through implementation, administration, and support of the AF Equal Employment Opportunity Program.

(d) The AF Equal Employment Opportunity Program functions through the contract administration structure within the AFSC Directorate of Procurement and utilizes the CMR and the CMD to accomplish the EEO requirement.

(e) The CMR commanders and the CMD chiefs as well as the Director of Procurement, Hq AFSC, have been designated as DCCOs.

(f) Civilian IRSs are authorized and assigned to advise and assist the DCCOs in carrying out their responsibilities under the EEO involving AF contractors.

§ 1012.806-4 Compliance reports.

(a) No implementation.

(b) The contracting officer will furnish the contractors a sufficient number of report forms (Standard Forms 40 and 40a) to satisfy the requirements of § 12.806-4(a) of this title. Contracting officers may requisition required forms through normal GSA supply channels.

(c) Requests by contractors or subcontractors for extension of submission date of Standard Forms 40 and 40a will be directed to the contracting officer for forwarding through AFSC (SCMKM-2) to the Executive Vice Chairman according to AF administrative procedures.

(d) Compliance reports required by § 12.806-4(d) of this title and requested by the contracting officer will be submitted to Hq USAF (AFSPM-CP-1) through AFSC (SCMKM-2).

(e) Statements required by § 12.806-4(e) of this title will be submitted to Hq

USAF (AFSPM-CP-1) through AFSC (SCMKM-2).

(f) Compliance reports and union statements as required in §12.806-4 (d) and (e) of this title upon direction of the Executive Vice Chairman will be forwarded by the contracting officer through AFSC (SCMKM-2) to Hq USAF (AFSPM-CP-1).

§ 1012.806-5 Compliance reviews.

(a) No implementation.

(b) To assist the DCCO and the ACO, the IRS will visit each contractor facility, review with top policy officials the nature and intent of company employment policy and the extent to which it has been transmitted and implemented. Compliance with the seven specific contract provisions will be ascertained and required. These include verification of the requirements for: (1) Advertising, (2) poster display, (3) notice to unions, (4) posting union notice, (5) nondiscrimination clause in subcontracts, (6) Standard Form 40 distributed to first-tier subcontractors, and (7) application forms free of minority designations.

(c) Current employment practices will be determined by investigations, including checking records to see if stated policy is carried out and to determine whether these practices adversely affect the opportunities of minority group individuals. Practices in the following areas are included in this check: (1) Recruitment, (2) training, (3) transfers, (4) promotion and upgrading, (5) terminations, layoff, rehire, (6) rates of pay and working conditions, and (7) facilities and working areas.

(d) In addition to discussions with management, when appropriate, the IRS will discuss the contractor's or subcontractor's employment practices with employment sources, minority groups, and interested civic groups.

(e) Deficiencies will be pointed out and adjustments undertaken on a mutually agreed upon time schedule until such time as the contractor is in full compliance with the Nondiscrimination Clause in his contract which requires such affirmative action.

(f) The IRS will keep the ACO and other members of the contract management team fully informed and all actions will be taken in conformance with AF procedures.

(g) Reports to the Executive Vice Chairman will be made as required by AF procedures.

§ 1012.806-6 Complaints.

(a) to (b) No implementation.

(c) When complaint is received at Hq AFSC and it is determined that the complaint contains incomplete information (§ 12.806-6(b) of this title) the needed information will be promptly requested from the complainant. If such information is not furnished (Hq AFSC) within 60 days of date of request, the case will be closed and the file forwarded to Hd USAF (AFSPM-CM-2) for appropriate action.

(d) When a complaint is filed directly with a contract officer or other responsible AF representative it will be forwarded to the DCCO at the nearest CMR, who in turn will forward a copy to AFSC (SCMKM-2) within 5 days of receipt. Provided AF contractor cognizance and be determined at the CMR and the complaint is in compliance with § 12.806-6(a) of this title, the investigation will be immediately instigated. When it is determined at the CMR that the complaint contains incomplete information (§ 12.806-6(b) of this title), the needed information will be requested from the complainant by the CMR IRS. If required information is not furnished within 60 days of the date of such request, the case will be closed with a summary of action taken and the file forwarded to AFSC (SCMKM-2) for appropriate action. If the complaint does not comply with § 12.806-6(a) of this title and just cause for the delay in filing cannot be established by the CMR IRS the case will be closed with a summary of action taken and the file forwarded to AFSC (SCMKM-2) for appropriate action.

(Sec. 8012, 70A Stat. 488; 10 U.S.C. 8012. Interpret or apply secs. 2301-2314, 70A Stat. 127-133; 10 U.S.C. 2301-2314)

By order of the Secretary of the Air Force.

WILLIAM L. KOCH,
Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Air
Force, Chief, Special Activities
Group, Office of The
Judge Advocate General.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4467; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963;
8:48 a.m.]

Title 50—WILDLIFE AND FISHERIES

Chapter I—Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior

PART 32—HUNTING

Kentucky Woodlands National Wildlife Refuge, Kentucky

The following special regulation is issued and is effective on date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

§ 32.22 Special regulations: upland game; for individual wildlife refuge areas.

KENTUCKY

KENTUCKY WOODLANDS NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

Public hunting of upland game on the Kentucky Woodlands National Wildlife Refuge is permitted only on the area designated by signs as open to hunting. This open area, comprising 25,000 acres or 38 percent of the total area of the refuge, is delineated on a map available at the refuge headquarters and from the Regional Director, Bureau of Sport

Fisheries and Wildlife, 809 Peachtree-Seventh Building, Atlanta 23, Georgia. Hunting shall be subject to the following conditions:

(a) Species permitted to be taken: Gray and fox squirrels.

(b) Open season: September 16 through 21 and September 23 through 28, 1963. Daylight hours only.

(c) Daily bag limits: Squirrels—6

(d) Methods of hunting:

(1) Shotgun—any gauge but must be plugged to reduce capacity to three shells in magazine and chamber combined. No slugs or buckshot permitted.

(2) Rifles—.22 caliber rimfire.

(3) No dogs permitted.

(e) Other provisions:

(1) The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern hunting on wildlife refuge areas generally which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 32.

(2) Under the provisions of this special regulation, bobcats, woodchucks, gray foxes, crows, and feral cats, which are not protected by State regulations, may be taken without limit on the area designated as open to hunting.

(3) A Federal permit is required to enter the public hunting area. A permit may be obtained during the open season at the Refuge Office during regular office hours.

(4) The provisions of this special regulation are effective to September 29, 1963.

WALTER A. GRESH,
Regional Director, Bureau of
Sport Fisheries and Wildlife.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4470; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963;
8:48 a.m.]

PART 33—SPORT FISHING

Sabine National Wildlife Refuge, Louisiana

The following special regulation is issued and is effective on date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

§ 33.5 Special regulations; sport fishing; for individual wildlife refuge areas.

SABINE NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

The special regulation permitting sport fishing on the Sabine National Wildlife Refuge, Louisiana, in 33.5, published March 16, 1963 in the FEDERAL REGISTER, Volume 28, No. 53, Page 2585, is amended as follows:

(c) Daily creel limits: Black bass—15, white bass—25, yellow bass—25, crappie—50, sunfish—100. Other creel limits for minor species are as prescribed by State regulations.

The provisions of this amended special regulation are effective to October 16, 1963.

WALTER A. GRESH,
Regional Director, Bureau of
Sport Fisheries and Wildlife.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4471; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963;
8:48 a.m.]

Proposed Rule Making

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

[7 CFR Part 944]

AVOCADOS

Proposed Import Regulations

Notice is hereby given that the Department is giving consideration to the quality and maturity requirements that should be made applicable to the importation of avocados into the United States, pursuant to the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and to requiring inspection and certification of each such import by the Federal or Federal-State Inspection Service.

The requirements under consideration are as follows and are designed to impose grade and maturity restrictions on imports of avocados that are comparable to those proposed by the Avocado Administrative Committee to be made applicable to the handling of Florida avocados pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 915, as amended (7 CFR Part 915), regulating the handling of avocados grown in south Florida.

(a) All avocados imported shall meet the requirements of the U.S. No. 2 grade.

(b) Avocados of the Pollock variety shall not be imported (1) prior to 12:01 a.m., e.s.t., July 15, 1963, and (2) during the period beginning at 12:01 a.m., e.s.t., July 15, 1963, and ending at 12:01 a.m., e.s.t., August 12, 1963, unless the individual fruit in each lot of such avocados weigh at least 18 ounces or measure at least $3\frac{1}{16}$ inches in diameter.

(c) Avocados of the Catalina variety shall not be imported (1) prior to 12:01 a.m., e.s.t., August 19, 1963; and (2) during the period beginning at 12:01 a.m., e.s.t., August 19, 1963, and ending at 12:01 a.m., e.s.t., September 30, 1963, unless the individual fruit in each lot of such avocados weigh at least 18 ounces.

(d) Avocados of the Trapp variety shall not be imported (1) prior to 12:01 a.m., e.s.t., August 12, 1963; and (2) during the period beginning at 12:01 a.m., e.s.t., August 12, 1963, and ending at 12:01 a.m., e.s.t., September 9, 1963, unless the individual fruit in each lot of such avocados weigh at least 12 ounces or measure at least $3\frac{3}{16}$ inches in diameter.

(e) Avocados of any variety not specified in paragraphs (b) through (d) hereof shall not be imported (1) prior to June 24, 1963; and (2) during the period beginning at 12:01 a.m., e.s.t., June 24, 1963, and ending at 12:01 a.m., e.s.t., July 8, 1963, unless the individual fruit in each lot of such avocados weigh at least 16 ounces; (3) during the period beginning at 12:01 a.m., e.s.t., July 8, 1963, and ending at 12:01 a.m., e.s.t.,

July 29, 1963, unless the individual fruit in each lot of such avocados weigh at least 14 ounces; and (4) during the period beginning at 12:01 a.m., e.s.t., July 29, 1963, and ending at 12:01 a.m., e.s.t., September 16, 1963, unless the individual fruit in each lot of such avocados weigh at least 12 ounces: *Provided*, That the provisions of paragraphs (b) through (e) shall not apply to any variety, except the Linda variety, of avocados which, when mature, normally change color to any shade of red or purple and any portion of the skin of the individual fruit has changed to the color for that fruit when mature.

(f) Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraphs (b) through (e) hereof regarding the minimum weight or diameter for individual fruit, not to exceed 10 percent, by count, of the individual fruit contained in each lot may weigh less than the minimum specified weight and be less than the minimum specified diameter: *Provided*, That such avocados weigh not more than 2 ounces less than the applicable specified weight for the particular variety prescribed in such paragraphs. Such tolerances shall be on a lot basis, but not to exceed double such tolerances shall be permitted for an individual container in a lot.

(g) As used herein, the term "U.S. No. 2 grade" shall have the same meaning as set forth in the United States Standards for Florida Avocados (7 CFR 51.3050-51.3069).

All persons who desire to submit written data, views, or arguments for consideration in connection with the foregoing should do so by forwarding the same to the Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service, United States Department of Agriculture, Room 2077, South Building, Washington 25, D.C., not later than the tenth day after publication of this notice in the *FEDERAL REGISTER*.

Dated: April 22, 1963.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,
Deputy Director, Fruit and
Vegetable Division, Agricultural
Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4474; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963;
8:48 a.m.]

FEDERAL AVIATION AGENCY

[14 CFR Part 71 [New]]

[Airspace Docket No. 63-CE-8]

CONTROLLED AIRSPACE

Proposed Alteration of Control Zone and Designation of Transition Area

Notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Agency is considering amendments to Part 71 [New] of the Federal Aviation Regulations, the substance of which is stated below.

The Flint, Mich., control zone is presently designated within a 5-mile radius of Bishop Airport, Flint, Mich. (latitude 42°57'55" N., longitude 83°44'30" W.); within 2 miles either side of the 268° True bearing from the airport extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 10 miles west of the Flint ILS OM and within 2 miles either side of the Flint VORTAC 186° and 280° True radials extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 12 miles south and west of the VORTAC. The Flint control area extension is presently designated as that airspace bounded on the north by V-216, on the east by V-84 between the Peck, Mich., VORTAC and the Flint VORTAC, and V-133 between the Flint VORTAC and the Salem, Mich., VORTAC, on the south by V-2, and on the west by longitude 84°18'00" W. and V-45, excluding the portion that coincides with the Saginaw, Mich., control area extension.

To implement the provisions of CAR Amendments 60-21/60-29 in the Flint terminal area, the Federal Aviation Agency has under consideration the following airspace actions:

1. Redesignate the Flint control zone to comprise that airspace within a 5-mile radius of Bishop Airport, Flint, Mich. (latitude 42°57'55" N., longitude 83°44'30" W.); within 2 miles each side of the Flint VOR 280° True radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 8 miles west of the VOR; within 2 miles each side of the Flint VOR 351° True radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 8 miles north of the VOR; within 2 miles each side of the Flint VOR 219° True radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 8 miles southwest of the VOR; within 2 miles each side of the Flint VOR 075° True radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 8 miles east of the VOR; and within 2 miles each side of the Flint VOR 052° True radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 8 miles northeast of the VOR.

2. Designate the Flint transition area as that airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 12-mile radius of the Flint VOR, and within 5 miles north and 8 miles south of the Flint ILS localizer west course extending from the 12-mile radius area to 12 miles west of the ILS outer marker; that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface bounded on the south by latitude 42°46'00" N., on the east by the east boundary of V-42 east alternate and longitude 83°30'00" W., on the north by latitude 43°16'00" N., and on the west by longitude 84°05'00" W.

The floors of the airways that traverse the proposed transition area and the floor of the portion of the Flint control area extension which falls within the lateral limits of the proposed transition area will automatically coincide with that of the transition area. Revocation of the Flint control area extension will be processed at a later date as a part

of the Saginaw, Mich., terminal area CAR Amendment 60-21/60-29 implementation study.

The actions proposed herein would increase the over-all size of the presently designated control zone at Flint, by the addition of control zone extensions north, east and southwest of the basic 5-mile radius zone for the protection of aircraft executing prescribed instrument approach and departure procedures. The portion of the existing west control zone extension beyond 8 miles would no longer be required. The floor of controlled airspace beyond the portion of the proposed transition area having a floor of 700 feet would be raised from 700 to 1,200 feet. The portions of airspace released by these actions would become available for other aeronautical purposes. The portions of controlled airspace retained would provide protection for aircraft executing prescribed holding, arrival and departure procedures within the Flint terminal area. Certain minor revisions to prescribed instrument procedures would accompany the actions proposed herein, but operational complexities would not be introduced nor would aircraft performance characteristics or established landing minimums be adversely affected.

Specific details of the changes to procedures and minimum instrument flight rules altitudes that would be required may be examined by contacting the Chief, Airspace Utilization Branch, Air Traffic Division, Central Region, Federal Aviation Agency, 4825 Troost Avenue, Kansas City 10, Mo.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Assistant Administrator, Central Region, Attn: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Agency, 4825 Troost Avenue, Kansas City 10, Mo. All communications received within forty-five days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief, or the Chief, Airspace Utilization Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Washington 25, D.C. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official Docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Docket Section, Federal Aviation Agency, Room A-103, 1711 New York Avenue NW., Washington 25, D.C. An informal Docket will also be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief.

This amendment is proposed under section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 19, 1963.

W. THOMAS DEASON,
Assistant Chief,
Airspace Utilization Division.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4436; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963;
8:45 a.m.]

[14 CFR Part 71 [New]]

[Airspace Docket No. 63-CE-9]

CONTROLLED AIRSPACE

Proposed Alteration of Control Zone, Designation of Transition Area and Revocation of Control Area Extension

Notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Agency is considering amendments to Part 71 [New] of the Federal Aviation Regulations, the substance of which is stated below.

The Lansing, Mich., control zone is presently designated within a 5-mile radius of Capital City Airport, Lansing, Mich. (latitude 42°46'40" N., longitude 84°35'20" W.); within 2 miles either side of the Lansing VOR 232° True radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 12 miles southwest of the VOR; within 2 miles either side of the Lansing VOR 052° True radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 21.5 miles northeast of the VOR; within 2 miles either side of the Lansing ILS localizer east course extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 12 miles east of the OM, and within 2 miles either side of the ILS localizer west course extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 10 miles west of the intersection of the Lansing VOR 358° True radial. The Lansing control area extension is presently designated within a 15-mile radius of the Lansing VOR, including the airspace west, north and east of Lansing bounded on the east by longitude 84°18'00" W., on the southwest by V-2, on the west by V-193, and on the north by latitude 43°30'00" N., excluding the portion that coincides with the Saginaw, Mich., control area extension.

To implement the provisions of Amendments 60-21 (26 F.R. 570) and 60-29 (27 F.R. 4012) to the Civil Air Regulations, Part 60, Air Traffic Rules, in the Lansing terminal area, the Federal Aviation Agency has under consideration the following airspace actions:

1. Alter the Lansing control zone by redesignating it to comprise that airspace within a 5-mile radius of Capital City Airport, Lansing, Mich. (latitude 42°46'40" N., longitude 84°35'20" W.).
2. Revoke the Lansing, Mich., control area extension.
3. Designate the Lansing transition area to comprise that airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 7-mile radius of Capital City Airport, Lansing, Mich. (latitude 42°46'40" N., longitude 84°35'20" W.), within 2 miles each side of the Lansing ILS localizer west course, extending from the 7-mile radius area to 8 miles west of the intersection of the Lansing ILS localizer west course and the Lansing VOR 358° True radial (Grand Ledge,

Mich., Intersection), and within 2 miles each side of the Lansing ILS localizer east course extending from the 7-mile radius area to 14 miles east of the OM; that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface bounded on the north by latitude 43°16'00" N., on the east by longitude 84°05'00" W., and on the south by a line beginning at latitude 42°30'00" N., longitude 84°05'00" W., to latitude 42°30'00" N., longitude 84°50'00" W., to latitude 42°38'00" N., longitude 84°50'00" W., to latitude 42°38'00" N., longitude 85°15'00" W., and on the west by longitude 85°15'00" W.

The actions proposed herein would, in part, reduce the over-all size of the presently designated control zone at the Capital City Airport by eliminating the existing control zone extensions north-east, east, southwest and west of the airport. Designation of the proposed transition area surrounding the Lansing terminal area would raise the floor of controlled airspace, beyond the immediate vicinity of the Capital City Airport, from 700 to 1,200 feet. The designation of the proposed transition area with the airspace actions proposed in Airspace Docket No. 63-CE-8 would permit the revocation of the Lansing control area extension.

The portions of controlled airspace released by the actions proposed herein would become available for other aeronautical purposes. The portions of controlled airspace retained, together with the additional portions proposed for designation herein, would provide protection for aircraft executing prescribed instrument holding, arrival, departure and missed approach procedures within the Lansing terminal area.

The floors of the airways which traverse the transition area proposed herein would automatically assume a floor coincident with the floor of the transition area.

Certain minor revisions to prescribed instrument procedures would accompany the actions proposed herein, but operational complexities would not be introduced nor would aircraft performance characteristics or established landing minimums be adversely affected. Specific details of the changes to procedures and minimum instrument flight rules altitudes that would be required may be examined by contacting the Chief, Airspace Utilization Branch, Air Traffic Division, Central Region, Federal Aviation Agency, 4825 Troost Avenue, Kansas City 10, Mo.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Assistant Administrator, Central Region, Attn: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Agency, 4825 Troost Avenue, Kansas City 10, Mo. All communications received within forty-five days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contact-

ing the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief, or the Chief, Airspace Utilization Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Washington 25, D.C. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official Docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Docket Section, Federal Aviation Agency, Room A-103, 1711 New York Avenue NW., Washington 25, D.C. An informal Docket will also be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief.

This amendment is proposed under section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348).

Issued in Washington, D.C. on April 19, 1963.

W. THOMAS DEASON,
Assistant Chief,
Airspace Utilization Division.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4437; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963;
8:46 a.m.]

[14 CFR Part 71 [New]]

[Airspace Docket No. 63-CE-19]

CONTROLLED AIRSPACE

Proposed Alteration of Control Zone; Designation of Transition Area; and Revocation of Control Area Extension

Notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Agency is considering amendments to Part 71 [New] of the Federal Aviation Regulations, the substance of which is stated below.

The following controlled airspace is presently designated in the Rockford, Ill.,/Janesville, Wis., terminal area.

1. The Rockford control zone is designated within a 5-mile radius of the Greater Rockford Airport; within 2 miles either side of a line extending from the Greater Rockford Airport through the Rockford RBN to 12 miles south of the RBN, and within 2 miles either side of the Rockford VORTAC 112° and 292° radials extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 12 miles northwest of the VORTAC.

2. The Janesville control zone is designated within a 5-mile radius of the Rock County Airport from 0500 to 2300 hours, local time, daily.

3. The Rockford control area extension is designated as that airspace bounded on the northeast and southeast by V-177, on the south by V-172, and on the southwest and northwest by V-63.

4. The Janesville control area extension is designated within a 15-mile radius of the Janesville VOR.

5. Portions of the presently designated Madison, Wis., and Milwaukee, Wis., control area extensions north and east of Janesville.

6. The control areas associated with the airways which traverse these airspace designations are presently designated to extend upward from 700 feet above the surface.

To implement the provisions of CAR Amendments 60-21/60-29 in the Rockford/Janesville terminal area, the Federal Aviation Agency has under consideration the following airspace actions:

1. Alter the Rockford control zone by redesignating it as that airspace within a 5-mile radius of the Greater Rockford Airport (latitude 42°11'50" N., longitude 89°05'45" W.), within 2 miles each side of the Rockford ILS localizer south course extending from the 5-mile radius zone to the outer marker, and within 2 miles each side of the Rockford VORTAC 117° True radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to the VORTAC.

2. Designate the Rockford transition area as that airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within an 8-mile radius of the Greater Rockford Airport, within 8 miles east and 5 miles west of the Rockford ILS localizer south course extending from the Greater Rockford Airport to 12 miles south of the outer marker; and that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface bounded on the north by latitude 42°45'00" N., on the east by longitude 88°30'00" W., on the south by latitude 41°55'00" N., and on the west by longitude 89°55'00" W.

3. Designate the Janesville transition area as that airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within an 8-mile radius of the Rock County Airport, Janesville (latitude 42°37'12" N., longitude 89°02'25" W.), and within 5 miles southeast and 8 miles northwest of the Janesville VOR 239° True radial extending from the 8-mile radius area to 12 miles southwest of the VOR.

4. Revoke the Rockford, Janesville and Madison control area extensions.

5. The floors of the airways that traverse the transition areas proposed herein would automatically coincide with the floors of the transition areas.

The actions proposed herein would raise the floor of controlled airspace beyond the immediate vicinity of Rockford and Janesville from 700 to 1,200 feet above the surface and, as a result, would make such airspace available for other uses, yet sufficient controlled airspace would be retained to provide adequate protection to aircraft executing prescribed holding, arrival and departure procedures. In addition, it would provide protection for aircraft utilizing the Chicago, Ill., Air Route Traffic Control Center's long range radar vectoring service within the Rockford/Janesville area.

The portions of the Rockford control zone northwest and south extensions beyond the VORTAC and outer marker, respectively, would no longer be required. No change would be required in the configuration of the Janesville control zone. The portion of the proposed Rockford transition area extending upward from 700 feet above the surface would provide protection for departing aircraft climbing over slightly rising terrain and for aircraft executing the portions of the prescribed ILS instrument approach procedure conducted beyond the lateral limits of the Rockford control zone. In addition, the extension to the 8-mile radius area would provide controlled air-

space for holding aircraft at minimum altitude to permit retention of the existing timed approach capability at Rockford. Similarly, the Janesville transition area would provide protection for aircraft executing the portions of the prescribed VOR instrument approach procedure and four special instrument approach procedures conducted beyond the control zone and for departures and arrivals during the hours that the Janesville control zone is not effective.

The Rockford and Janesville control area extensions would no longer be required with the actions proposed herein. These actions, along with those proposed in Airspace Docket No. 62-CE-85, (23 F.R. 1558) would eliminate the requirement for retention of the Madison control area extension. The portion of the Milwaukee control area extension which would be within the lateral limits of the proposed Rockford transition area would automatically assume a floor coincident with that of the transition area. Revocation of the Milwaukee control area extension will be processed at a later date as a part of the Chicago, Ill., terminal area CAR Amendments 60-21/60-29 implementation study.

Certain minor revisions to prescribed instrument procedures would accompany the actions proposed herein, but operational complexities would not be introduced nor would aircraft performance characteristics or established landing minimums be adversely affected. Specific details of the changes in procedures and minimum instrument flight rules altitudes that would be required may be examined by contacting the Chief, Airspace Utilization Branch, Air Traffic Division, Central Region, Federal Aviation Agency, 4825 Troost Avenue, Kansas City 10, Mo.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Assistant Administrator, Central Region, Attn: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Agency, 4825 Troost Avenue, Kansas City 10, Mo. All communications received within forty-five days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief, or the Chief, Airspace Utilization Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Washington 25, D.C. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official Docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Docket Section, Federal Aviation Agency, Room A-103, 1711 New York Avenue NW., Washington 25, D.C. An informal Docket will also be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief.

This amendment is proposed under section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 19, 1963.

W. THOMAS DEASON,
Assistant Chief,
Airspace Utilization Division.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4438; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963;
8:47 a.m.]

[14 CFR Part 71 [New]]

[Airspace Docket No. 62-EA-85]

CONTROLLED AIRSPACE

Proposed Alteration and Designation of Control Zones; Designation of Transition Areas

Notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Agency is considering amendments to Part 71 [New] of the Federal Aviation Regulations, the substance of which is stated below.

The following controlled airspace is presently designated in the area comprising the greater Washington, D.C., terminal area:

1. Effective April 4, 1963 (Airspace Docket No. 62-EA-84) the Washington, D.C., control zone was designated as that airspace within a 5-mile radius of Washington National Airport (latitude 38°-51'05" N., longitude 77°02'20" W.), excluding the portion within P-56; within 2 miles northwest and 2.6 miles southeast of the Washington VOR 060° True radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 5 miles northeast of the VOR; within 2 miles either side of the Washington VOR 179° True radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 14.3 miles south of the VOR and within 2 miles either side of the 174° and 354° True bearings from the Washington ILS LOM extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 8 miles south of the LOM.

2. The Camp Springs, Md., control zone is designated within a 5-mile radius of Andrews AFB, Camp Springs, Md., and within 2.5 miles either side of the Andrews AFB RR north course extending from the 5-mile radius zone to the RR, excluding the portion bounded on the northeast by a line 1.25 miles northeast of and parallel to the northwest/southeast runway of Hyde Field, Clinton, Md., and bounded on the northwest and southeast by lines 1.25 miles northwest of and southeast of and parallel to the runway ends, and excluding the portion within the Washington National Airport control zone, Washington, D.C.

3. The Chantilly, Va., control zone is designated within a 5-mile radius of Dulles International Airport, Chantilly, Va. (latitude 38°56'40" N., longitude 77°27'24" W.); within 2 miles either side of the Dulles runway 1-R ILS localizer south course extending from the 5-mile radius zone to the runway 1-R ILS OM; within 2 miles either side of the Dulles runway 19-R ILS localizer north course extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 2 miles north of the runway 19-R ILS OM; within 2 miles either side of the Herndon, Va., VORTAC 161° True radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to the VORTAC; and within 2 miles

either side of the extended centerline of runway 30 extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 4 miles northwest of the lift-off end of runway 30.

4. The Quantico, Va., control zone is designated within a 5-mile radius of MCAS Quantico (latitude 38°30'10" N., longitude 77°18'20" W.).

5. The Washington, D.C., control area extension is designated within an 85-mile radius of the Washington VOR, extending clockwise from the Washington VOR 165° to the 245° True radials; within an 88-mile radius extending clockwise from the Washington VOR 245° to the 250° True radial; within an 85-mile radius extending clockwise from the Washington VOR 250° to the 310° True radial; within an 80-mile radius extending clockwise from the Washington VOR 310° to the 355° True radial; within a 70-mile radius extending clockwise from the Washington VOR 355° to the 165° True radial; within 10 miles southeast and 7 miles northwest of the Casanova, Va., VORTAC 233° True radial extending from the 85-mile radius area to 9 miles southwest of the intersection of the Gordonsville, Va., VORTAC 329° and the Casanova VORTAC 233° True radials; and within 10 miles northwest and 7 miles southeast of the Kenton, Del., VORTAC 217° True radial extending from the 70-mile radius area to 9 miles northeast of the intersection of the Kenton VORTAC 217° and the Salisbury, Md., VOR 340° True radials; excluding the portions within the Wilmington, Del., control area extension, the Washington Prohibited Area (P-56), the Norfolk, Va., control area extension, and the Richmond, Va., control area extension and excluding the portions within R-4002, R-4005, R-4006, R-4007 and R-4008. The portions within R-6601, R-6608, R-6611, R-6612, R-6613, R-4001 and R-4003 shall be used only after obtaining prior approval from the appropriate authority.

To implement the provisions of Amendments 60-21 (26 F.R. 570) and 60-29 (27 F.R. 4012) to the Civil Air Regulations, Part 60, Air Traffic Rules in the greater Washington, D.C., terminal area, the Federal Aviation Agency has under consideration the following airspace actions:

1. Alter the Washington, D.C., control zone by redesignating it to comprise that airspace within a 5-mile radius of the Washington National Airport (latitude 38°51'05" N., longitude 77°02'20" W.); within 2 miles each side of the Washington National Airport ILS localizer south course, extending from the 5-mile radius zone to the OM; within 2 miles each side of the 137° True bearing from the Georgetown, D.C., RBN, extending from the 5-mile radius zone to the RBN; within 2 miles each side of the Washington, D.C., VOR 324° True radial, extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 6 miles northwest of the VOR, and within 2 miles each side of the Washington, D.C., VOR 060° True radial, extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 5 miles northeast of the VOR, excluding the portion within P-56 and the portion subtended by a chord drawn between the points of intersection of the 5-mile radius zone with the Camp Springs, Md., control

zone as proposed for redesignation herein.

2. Alter the Camp Springs, Md., control zone by redesignating it to comprise that airspace within a 5-mile radius of Andrews AFB, Camp Springs, Md. (latitude 38°48'40" N., longitude 76°52'05" W.); within 2 miles east of the extended centerline of the Andrews AFB runway 19-L and 2 miles west of the Andrews AFB ILS localizer south course, extending from the 5-mile radius zone to the OM; within 2 miles each side of the Andrews AFB ILS localizer north course extending from the 5-mile radius zone to the OM, excluding the portion within a 1-mile radius of Hyde Field, Clinton, Md. (latitude 38°45'00" N., longitude 76°-56'00" W.), and the portion subtended by a chord drawn between the points of intersection of the 5-mile radius zone with the Washington, D.C., control zone as proposed for redesignation herein.

3. Designate a control zone at Fort Belvoir, Va., to comprise that airspace within a 3-mile radius of Davison AAF, Fort Belvoir, Va. (latitude 38°42'55" N., longitude 77°10'50" W.) and within 2 miles each side of the 132° True bearing from Davison AAF, extending from the 3-mile radius zone to 4.8 miles southeast of the airport.

4. The Chantilly and Quantico, Va., control zone descriptions would be retained as currently designated.

5. Designate a transition area at Washington, D.C., to comprise that airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface bounded on the west by a line beginning at latitude 38°37'20" N., longitude 77°35'30" W. extending north to latitude 38°50'30" N., longitude 77°35'20" W., thence clockwise along the arc of a 10-mile radius circle centered at Dulles International Airport, Chantilly, Va. (latitude 38°56'40" N., longitude 77°27'24" W.), to and clockwise along the arc of a 22-mile radius circle centered at Davison AAF, Fort Belvoir Va. (latitude 38°42'55" N., longitude 77°10'50" W.) to, and clockwise along, the arc of a 10-mile radius circle centered at Andrews AFB, Camp Springs, Md. (latitude 38°48'40" N., longitude 76°-52'05" W.) to latitude 38°40'15" N., longitude 76°55'10" W. to latitude 38°-35'00" N., longitude 76°54'20" W. to latitude 38°35'00" N., longitude 77°-11'40" W., thence counterclockwise along the arc of an 8-mile radius circle centered at MCAS Quantico, Va. (latitude 38°30'10" N., longitude 77°18'20" W.) to latitude 38°35'10" N., longitude 77°24'20" W., thence to the point of beginning; and within 2 miles each side of the Dulles International Airport runway 19-R ILS localizer north course, extending from the 10-mile radius area centered at the Dulles International Airport to the Poolesville, Md., radio beacon; that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface bounded by a line beginning at latitude 39°05'26" N., longitude 78°12'02" W. to latitude 38°51'15" N., longitude 78°12'-21" W. to latitude 38°30'00" N., longitude 77°44'00" W. to latitude 38°24'00" N., longitude 77°29'00" W. to latitude 38°05'00" N., longitude 77°35'00" W. to latitude 38°01'00" N., longitude 77°15'-00" W. to latitude 38°14'00" N., longi-

tude 77°04'00" W. to latitude 38°14'00" N., longitude 76°46'00" W. to latitude 38°47'00" N., longitude 76°16'00" W. to latitude 38°57'00" N., longitude 76°22'00" W. to latitude 39°09'00" N., longitude 77°12'00" W. to latitude 39°18'00" N., longitude 77°22'00" W. to the point of beginning. The portions of this transition area within R-4003, R-6601, R-6608, R-6611, R-6612 and R-6613 would be used only after obtaining prior approval from appropriate authority. The portion within P-56 would be excluded.

6. Designate a transition area at Quantico, Va., to comprise that airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within an 8-mile radius of MCAS Quantico, Va. (latitude 38°30'10" N., longitude 77°18'20" W.), and within 3 miles west and 2 miles east of the Brooke, Va., VORTAC 011° and 191° True radials, extending from the 8-mile radius area to 2 miles south of the VORTAC. The portion of this transition area within R-6608 would be used only after obtaining prior approval from appropriate authority.

The actions proposed herein would, in part, reduce the over-all size of the presently designated control zone at Washington National Airport by abbreviating the extent of the control zone extensions located northeast and south of the airport. Two new overlapping extensions would be designated northwest of the airport to provide protection for aircraft executing prescribed instrument approach procedures. The control zone proposed for designation at Fort Belvoir would provide protection for aircraft executing prescribed instrument approach and departure procedures at Davison AAF. Communications and weather reporting service within the proposed control zone would be provided by existing Davison AAF military facilities and personnel. The proposed addition of a control zone extension north of Andrews AFB and the proposed change in the description of the existing south extension would provide protection for aircraft executing instrument approach procedures prescribed at this location. The proposed designation of transition areas surrounding the greater Washington, D.C., terminal area and Quantico, Va., would raise the floor of controlled airspace beyond an irregularly configured 10- to 20-mile radius of Washington National Airport, a 10- to 25-mile radius of Dulles International Airport, and an 8- to 13-mile radius of MCAS Quantico from 700 to 1,200 feet. Slightly less reduction than that permitted by criteria is being proposed in the extent of controlled airspace with a floor of 700 feet above the surface in the area midway between the Washington National and Dulles International Airports extending south towards the Quantico area. This area consists of a narrow corridor which varies from 1 mile to 6 miles in width. The designation of a continuous transition area surrounding the Washington National and Dulles International Airports, Andrews AFB, Davison AAF and MCAS Quantico has been proposed to provide for controlled airspace floor continuity and to present a more meaningful and less com-

plex configuration for the protection of aircraft operating within a congested air traffic complex. The portions of controlled airspace released by the actions proposed would become available for other aeronautical purposes. The portions of controlled airspace retained would provide protection for aircraft executing prescribed instrument holding, arrival, departure and radar vectoring procedures within the greater Washington, D.C. terminal area.

Certain minor revisions to prescribed instrument procedures would accompany the actions proposed herein, but operational complexities would not be introduced nor would aircraft performance characteristics or established landing minimums be adversely affected.

The revocation of the Washington, D.C., control area extension which coincides with portions of the transition areas proposed for designation herein will be processed at a later date as a part of the CAR Amendments 60-21/60-29 programs proposed for the terminal areas which adjoin the Washington, D.C. terminal area.

The floors of the airways which traverse the transition areas proposed herein and the floor of the portion of the Washington, D.C., control area extension which coincides with the proposed transition areas would automatically assume a floor coincident with the floor of the transition areas.

Specific details of the changes to procedures and minimum instrument flight rules altitudes that would be required may be examined by contacting the Chief, Airspace Utilization Branch, Air Traffic Division, Eastern Region, Federal Aviation Agency, Federal Building, New York International Airport, Jamaica, N.Y.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Assistant Administrator, Eastern Region, Attn: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Federal Building, New York International Airport, Jamaica 30, N.Y. All communications received within forty-five days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief, or the Chief, Airspace Utilization Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Washington 25, D.C. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official Docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Docket Section, Federal Aviation Agency, Room A-103, 1711 New York Avenue NW., Washington 25, D.C. An informal Docket will also be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief.

This amendment is proposed under section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 19, 1963.

W. THOMAS DEASON,
Assistant Chief,
Airspace Utilization Division.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4439; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963; 8:47 a.m.]

[14 CFR Part 507]

[Reg. Docket No. 1715]

LOCKHEED AIRCRAFT

Proposed Airworthiness Directives

Notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Agency has under consideration a proposal to amend Part 507 of the regulations of the Administrator. Several fuselage stringers on Lockheed Model 188A and 188C aircraft have been found cracked at the forward rivet through the "tee" clips attaching these stringers to the forward side of the pressure bulkhead at Station 1117.0. Since this condition is likely to exist or develop in other Lockheed Model 188A and 188C aircraft an airworthiness directive requiring inspection of the fuselage stringers and repair of any which are found cracked is hereby proposed.

Interested persons may participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in duplicate to the Docket Section of the Federal Aviation Agency, Room A-103, 1711 New York Avenue, NW., Washington 25, D.C. All communications received on or before May 28, 1963, will be considered by the Administrator before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of comments received. All comments submitted will be available in the Docket Section for examination by interested persons at any time. This proposal will not be given further distribution as a draft release.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of sections 313(a), 601, and 603 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 752, 775, 776; 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423).

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed to amend § 507.10(a) of Part 507 (14 CFR Part 507), by adding the following airworthiness directive:

LOCKHEED. Applies to all Model 188A and 188C aircraft.

Compliance required as indicated.

Several fuselage stringers have been found cracked at the forward rivet through the "tee" clips attaching these stringers to the forward side of the pressure bulkhead at Station 1117.0. The following inspections and corrective action shall be accomplished:

(a) Within the next 350 hours' time in service after the effective date of this AD, unless accomplished within the 650 hours' time in service before the effective date of this AD, inspect for cracks visually or by x-ray or FAA approved equivalent, fuselage stringers Nos. 1 through 72 at the front rivet through the "tee" clips attaching these stringers to the forward side of the pressure bulkhead at fuselage Station 1117.0, and the 4 fuselage longerons at their attachment to

the forward side of the pressure bulkhead at fuselage Station 1117.0.

(b) The inspection of paragraph (a) shall be repeated for stringers Nos. 19 through 54 (below windows) at intervals not to exceed 1,000 hours' time in service and for stringers Nos. 1 through 13 and Nos. 60 through 72 (above windows) at intervals not to exceed 4,000 hours' time in service. These repetitive inspections may be discontinued for those stringers repaired or reinforced in accordance with paragraphs (c) or (d).

(c) If cracks are detected in the stringers during the inspection specified by (a) or (b), the stringer shall be repaired before further flight. Cracks are to be repaired by the following method or by an equivalent method approved by FAA Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, Western Region: Remove approximately 16 inches of the affected stringer, extending from the "tee" clip forward, and replace with a new section made from the same material, with the same heat treat as the original affected stringer, but 1 or 2 gages thicker. Splice this section in accordance with Lockheed Structural Repair Manual, section 53-2-2, pages 5 and 7. Particular care shall be taken to assure correct alignment of the replacement section to the "tee" clip. Use shims as necessary. Shims 0.050-inch thick or thicker must extend at least 5 inches forward of the "tee" clip. Shims less than 0.050-inch thick are to be terminated at the end of the "tee" clip. Shims extending forward of the "tee" clip shall be attached to the stringer with AD5 rivets, Hi-Lock fasteners, or equivalent. The assembly of shims and stringers shall be attached to the "tee" clips with DD6 rivets, Hi-Lock fasteners, or equivalent. Reference Lockheed Structural Repair Manual, section 51-2-12, page 9, for fastener installation data.

(d) If no cracks are detected in the stringers during the inspection specified by (a) or (b) the stringers may be reinforced as indicated on Lockheed California Company Drawing 841110, "Service Mod. Inst.-Str. Attach. Reinforcement, FS.1117", or by an equivalent method approved by FAA Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, Western Region.

(e) If cracks are detected in the longerons during the inspection specified by paragraph (a), the longeron shall be repaired before further flight in a manner acceptable to the FAA Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, Western Region.

(f) Upon request of the operator, an FAA maintenance inspector, subject to prior approval of the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, FAA Western Region, may adjust the repetitive inspection intervals specified in this Airworthiness Directive to permit compliance at an established inspection period of the operator if the request contains substantiating data to justify the increase for such operator.

(Lockheed telegrams to operators, FS/260650W, dated September 17, 1962, and FS/260672-W, dated October 19, 1962, cover the same subject.)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 19, 1963.

G. S. MOORE,
Director,
Flight Standards Service.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4424; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963;
8:45 a.m.]

Notices

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Comptroller of the Currency

FARMERS AND MECHANICS—CITIZENS NATIONAL BANK OF FREDERICK AND WALKERSVILLE BANK

Notice of Decision Granting Application To Merge

On February 27, 1963, The Farmers and Mechanics—Citizens National Bank of Frederick, Maryland, and the Walkersville Bank, Walkersville, Maryland, applied to the Comptroller of the Currency for permission to merge under the charter and title of the former.

On April 18, 1963, the Comptroller of the Currency granted this application, effective on or after April 25, 1963.

Copies of this decision are available on request to the Comptroller of the Currency, Washington 25, D.C.

Dated: April 22, 1963.

[SEAL] A. J. FAULSTICH,
*Administrative Assistant to the
Comptroller of the Currency.*

[F.R. Doc. 63-4477; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963;
8:49 a.m.]

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF PORTLAND AND COMMUNITY TRUST CO.

Notice of Decision Granting Application To Merge

On February 12, 1963, the \$101.2 million First National Bank of Portland, Portland, Maine, and \$10 million Community Trust Company, York, Maine, applied to the Comptroller of the Currency for permission to merge under the charter and title of the former.

On April 18, 1963, the Comptroller of the Currency granted this application, effective on or after April 25, 1963.

Copies of this decision are available on request to the Comptroller of the Currency, Washington 25, D.C.

Dated: April 22, 1963.

[SEAL] A. J. FAULSTICH,
*Administrative Assistant to the
Comptroller of the Currency.*

[F.R. Doc. 63-4478; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963;
8:49 a.m.]

ST. LAWRENCE COUNTY NATIONAL BANK AND FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF HEUVELTON

Notice of Decision Granting Application To Merge

On March 11, 1963, the St. Lawrence County National Bank, Canton, New York, and First National Bank of Heuvelton, Heuvelton, New York, applied to the Comptroller of the Currency for permission to merge under the charter and with the title of the former.

On April 18, 1963, the Comptroller of the Currency granted this application, effective on or after April 25, 1963.

Copies of this decision are available on request to the Comptroller of the Currency, Washington 25, D.C.

Dated: April 22, 1963.

[SEAL] A. J. FAULSTICH,
*Administrative Assistant to the
Comptroller of the Currency.*

[F.R. Doc. 63-4479; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963;
8:49 a.m.]

Office of the Secretary

[T.D. Order 167-51]

COMMANDANT, U.S. COAST GUARD

Delegation of Functions

By virtue of the authority vested in me by Reorganization Plan No. 26 of 1950 and 14 U.S.C. 631, there are transferred to the Commandant, United States Coast Guard, the functions of 14 U.S.C. 186, as amended by Public Law 86-474, concerning the appointment and control of the civilian teaching staff of the Coast Guard Academy. This delegation of authority supersedes that contained in Treasury Department Order No. 167-17, dated June 29, 1955, on this subject.

The Commandant is authorized to redelegate these functions to the Superintendent, United States Coast Guard Academy.

Dated: April 19, 1963.

[SEAL] DOUGLAS DILLON,
Secretary of the Treasury.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4481; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963;
8:49 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bonneville Power Administration ASSISTANT ADMINISTRATOR

Redelegations of Authority

The Redelegations of Authority published in the FEDERAL REGISTER May 3, 1961 (26 F.R. 3823), as amended May 24, 1961 (26 F.R. 4460), August 4, 1961 (26 F.R. 7019), October 7, 1961 (26 F.R. 9520), March 27, 1962 (27 F.R. 2811), May 12, 1962 (27 F.R. 4565) and February 2, 1963 (28 F.R. 1071) are hereby amended as follows:

Section 5, *Assistant Administrator*, is amended to read as follows:

SEC. 5. Assistant Administrator.

a. The Assistant Administrator may perform all duties and exercise the powers of the Administrator except those which the Administrator cannot redelegate to him.

b. He is designated the Administration's Inspection Officer with responsi-

bility for carrying out its activities as part of the Departmental inspection program.

(200 DM; 351 DM 2)

Dated: April 18, 1963.

CHARLES F. LUCE,
Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4441; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963;
8:47 a.m.]

Bureau of Land Management

[Classification 623]

CALIFORNIA

Small Tract Classification; Revocation

APRIL 18, 1963.

1. Effective immediately, Federal Register Document 60-2188, appearing in the issue of March 10, 1960, is hereby revoked as to the following lands:

SAN BERNARDINO MERIDIAN

T. 9 N., R. 1 E.,
Sec. 26, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 27, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

2. The public lands affected by this order are hereby restored as of 10:00 a.m. on May 24, 1963 to the operation of the public land laws, subject to any valid and existing rights, the provisions of existing withdrawals, and the requirements of applicable law, rules, and regulations.

VIRGIL L. BOTTINI,
District Manager.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4442; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963;
8:47 a.m.]

CALIFORNIA

Amendment and Partial Termination of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of lands

APRIL 19, 1963.

Notice of an application, Serial No. Sacramento 056152, for withdrawal and reservation of lands was published as Federal Register Document No. 59-1521 on pages 1319 and 1320 of the issue for February 20, 1959.

The applicant agency has added the following lands to the application:

MOUNT DIABLO MERIDIAN, CALIFORNIA

T. 32 S., R. 20 E.,
Sec. 31, Northeast 10 acres of Lot 6, North 20 acres of Lot 7.

The afore-described areas aggregate approximately 30.00 acres.

For a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the lands added to the proposed withdrawal may present their views in writing to the undersigned officer of the Bureau of Land Management, United States Department of the Interior, Room 4201, U.S. Courthouse and Federal Build-

ing, 650 Capitol Avenue, Sacramento 14, California.

If circumstances warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

The applicant agency has cancelled its application insofar as it involved the lands described below. Therefore, pursuant to the regulations contained in 43 CFR Part 295, such lands will be at 10:00 a.m., on May 24, 1963 relieved of the segregative effect of the above-mentioned application.

The lands terminated are:

MOUNT DIABLO MERIDIAN, CALIFORNIA

T. 32 S., R. 20 E.,

Sec. 31, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$,
W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.

WALTER E. BECK,
Manager, Land Office,
Sacramento.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4443; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963;
8:47 a.m.]

MICHIGAN

Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Land

APRIL 22, 1963.

The United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Region, 710 N. Sixth Street, Milwaukee 3, Wisconsin, filed application BLM 069563 for the withdrawal of public domain lands, hereafter described, from all forms of appropriation, entry and sale under the public land laws, subject to valid existing rights.

The applicant desires that the land be added to the Hiawatha National Forest, to promote the efficient management of lands and national resource conservation.

For a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal may present their views in writing to the undersigned officer of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, Washington 25, D.C.

If circumstances warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

MICHIGAN MERIDIAN, MICHIGAN

T. 44 N., R. 17 W.,

Sec. 18, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 36, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 45 N., R. 17 W.,

Sec. 29, Lot 1.

T. 46 N., R. 18 W.,

Sec. 21, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 31, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 44 N., R. 19 W.,

Sec. 13, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 44 N., R. 20 W.,

Sec. 28, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 46 N., R. 20 W.,

Sec. 20, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 44 N., R. 18 W.,

Sec. 2, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 4, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 11, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$,
E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;

No. 82—4

Sec. 12, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 13, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 23, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 24, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 27, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 34, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 36, Lot 7.
T. 46 N., R. 21 W.,
Sec. 2, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 12, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

The area above described contains
1,508.55 acres.

DORIS A. KOIVULA,
Manager, Land Office.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4444; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963;
8:47 a.m.]

National Park Service

[Order 1]

ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT

Delegation of Authority Regarding Execution of Contracts for Supplies, Equipment or Services

SECTION 1. *Administrative Assistant.* The Administrative Assistant may execute and approve contracts not in excess of \$500 for supplies, equipment or services in conformity with applicable regulations and statutory authority and subject to availability of appropriations.

(National Park Service Order No. 14 (19 F.R. 8824); 39 Stat. 535, 16 U.S.C., sec. 2, Southwest Region Order No. 3 (21 F.R. 1494))

Dated: March 27, 1963.

BATES E. WILSON,
Superintendent,
Arches National Monument.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4445; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963;
8:47 a.m.]

[Order 1]

ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT

Delegation of Authority Regarding Execution of Contracts for Supplies, Equipment or Services

SECTION 1. *Administrative Assistant.* The Administrative Assistant may execute and approve contracts not in excess of \$500 for supplies, equipment or services in conformity with applicable regulations and statutory authority and subject to availability of appropriations.

(National Park Service Order No. 14 (19 F.R. 8824); 39 Stat. 535, 16 U.S.C., sec. 2, Southwest Region Order No. 3 (21 F.R. 1494))

Dated: March 27, 1963.

JAMES M. EDEN,
Superintendent, Glen Canyon
National Recreation Area.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4446; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963;
8:47 a.m.]

[Order 2, Amdt. 1]

PROCUREMENT AND PROPERTY MANAGEMENT OFFICER ET AL.

Delegation of Authority Regarding Execution and Approval of Contracts for Construction, Supplies, Equipment or Services

Order No. 2, issued May 18, 1956 (21 F.R. 3294), is amended by changing the

position title in section 3 from Procurement and Property Assistant to Procurement and Property Management Officer and raising the contract authority for this position from \$2,000 to \$10,000, and adding sections 5 and 6. Amendments are as follows:

SEC. 3. *Procurement and Property Management Officer.* The Procurement and Property Management Officer may execute and approve contracts not in excess of \$10,000 for construction, supplies, equipment or services in conformity with applicable regulations and statutory authority and subject to availability of appropriations. This authority may be exercised by the Procurement and Property Management Officer in behalf of any area under the supervision of the Superintendent of Grand Canyon National Park.

SEC. 5. *Administrative Assistant (North Rim).* The Administrative Assistant may execute and approve contracts not in excess of \$2,500 for construction, supplies, equipment or services in conformity with applicable regulations and statutory authority and subject to availability of appropriations. This authority may be exercised by the Administrative Assistant in behalf of any area under the supervision of the Superintendent of Grand Canyon National Park.

SEC. 6. *Chief Park Ranger, Assistant Chief Park Ranger, Forester, Supervisory Park Ranger (North Rim), Supervisory Park Ranger (Monument), Park Engineer, Foreman IV—Maintenance.* The incumbents of the positions listed under section 6 may execute and approve contracts not in excess of \$300 for supplies, equipment or services in conformity with applicable regulations and statutory authority and subject to availability of appropriations. This authority may be exercised by the incumbents of the positions listed under section 6 in behalf of any area under the supervision of the Superintendent of Grand Canyon National Park.

(National Park Service Order No. 14 (19 F.R. 8824); 39 Stat. 535, 16 U.S.C., sec. 2, Southwest Region Order No. 3 (21 F.R. 1494))

Dated: March 29, 1963.

JOHN S. McLAUGHLIN,
Superintendent,
Grand Canyon National Park.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4447; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963;
8:47 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

ALICEVILLE SALE BARN ET AL.

Notice of Changes in Names of Posted Stockyards

It has been ascertained, and notice is hereby given, that the names of the livestock markets referred to herein, which were posted on the respective dates specified below as being subject to the provisions of the Packers and Stockyards Act, 1921, as amended (7 U.S.C. 181 et seq.), have been changed as indicated below.

<i>Original name of stockyard, location, and date of posting</i>	<i>Current name of stockyard and date of change in name</i>
ALABAMA	
Aliceville Sale Barn, Aliceville, June 19, 1962-----	Aliceville Sales Barn, Mar. 12, 1963.
Capital Stock Yards, Montgomery, Sept. 30, 1946-----	Hodges' Capital Stockyards, Apr. 15, 1963.
COLORADO	
Sunset Sales Yard, Greeley, May 23, 1957-----	Sunset Livestock Commission Co., Mar. 12, 1963.
IDAHO	
Sandpoint Livestock Auction Co., Sandpoint, May 15, 1962.	Sandpoint Livestock Auction, Inc., Feb. 19, 1963.
IOWA	
LaPorte City Livestock Auction, LaPorte, May 22, 1959.	LaPorte City Sales Co., Apr. 11, 1963.
Waukon Sales Commission, Waukon, May 25, 1959-----	FS Service, Inc.—Waukon Livestock Marketing Center, Mar. 16, 1963.
KANSAS	
Colby Sale Barn, Inc., Colby, Dec. 6, 1950-----	Colby Livestock Auction, Inc., Mar. 14, 1963.
KENTUCKY	
Tompkinsville Livestock Market, Inc., Tompkinsville, Dec. 10, 1959.	Farmers Commission Co., Inc., Feb. 17, 1963.
MISSOURI	
Palmyra Livestock Auction Market, Inc. Palmyra, Mar. 14, 1963.	Palmyra Livestock Auction Market, Mar. 14, 1963.
NEBRASKA	
Oshkosh Livestock Commission Co., Oshkosh, June 14, 1941.	Oshkosh Livestock Sales, Inc., Mar. 19, 1963.
Sidney Livestock Sales Pavilion, Sidney, Jan. 29, 1963.	The Sidney Livestock Sales Pavilion, Inc., Jan. 29, 1963.
NORTH CAROLINA	
Warrenton Livestock Market, Warrenton, Sept. 3, 1960.	Warrenton Stock Yards, Feb. 14, 1963.
SOUTH CAROLINA	
Henry Livestock, Conway, Apr. 5, 1961-----	Homewood Livestock Auction, Feb. 20, 1963.
Tri-County Farmer's Livestock Market, Leesville, Mar. 1, 1963.	Herndon Stockyards, Inc., Mar. 1, 1963.
TENNESSEE	
Scotts Hill Auction Co., Inc., Scotts Hill, May 7, 1959--	Scotts Hill Auction Co., Apr. 5, 1963.
TEXAS	
Valley Stock Yard, Mercedes, Sept. 20, 1957-----	Valley Livestock Commission Barn, Feb. 13, 1963.
VERMONT	
Chickering Commission Sales, Westminster, Dec. 31, 1961.	Chickering Commission Sales, Inc., Mar. 20, 1963.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 22d day of April 1963.

H. L. JONES,

*Chief, Rates and Registrations Branch,
Packers and Stockyards Division,
Agricultural Marketing Service.*

[F.R. Doc. 63-4454; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963; 8:47 a.m.]

Agricultural Research Service CLARINDA AUCTION CO. ET AL.

Notice of Specific Approval and of Withdrawal of Specific Approval of Certain Stockyards and Slaughter- ing Establishments

On September 19, 1962, February 1, 1963, and March 19, 1963, notices were published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (27 F.R. 9266, 28 F.R. 990, 2690), which contained lists of all stockyards and slaughtering establishments approved under §§ 78.14(b), 78.15(b), and 78.16(b) of the regulations in Part 78, as amended, Title 9, Code of Federal Regulations, containing restrictions on the interstate movement of certain animals because of brucellosis, under the Acts of May 29, 1884, as amended, February 2, 1903, as amended, and March 3, 1905, as amended (21

U.S.C. 111-113, 114a-1, 115, 117, 120, 121, 125).

I. Pursuant to such authority, notice is hereby given that the following additional stockyards and slaughtering establishments are specifically approved under said regulations as indicated below:

SPECIFICALLY APPROVED STOCKYARDS

The following additional stockyards preceded by an asterisk are specifically approved for the purposes of § 78.5, Title 9, Code of Federal Regulations, concerning brucellosis reactors and of paragraphs (b) and (c) of § 78.12 of said Title 9, concerning cattle not known to be affected with brucellosis. The following stockyards not preceded by an asterisk are specifically approved for the purposes of paragraphs (b) and (c) of § 78.12 only.

IOWA

Clarinda Auction Company—Clarinda.

LOUISIANA

*Town and Country Auction—Ville Platte.

MISSOURI

Golden Valley Auction Company—Clinton.

NORTH DAKOTA

*Dobler Livestock Sales—Ellendale.

OREGON

*The Dalles Auction Yard—The Dalles.

SOUTH DAKOTA

*Stockmen's Livestock Auction Company—Yankton.

TEXAS

*Nacogdoches Commission Company—Nacogdoches.

*Pilot Point Livestock Exchange—Pilot Point.

*Waco Livestock Commission Company—Waco.

VIRGINIA

*Fauquier Livestock Exchange, Inc.—Marshall.

SPECIFICALLY APPROVED SLAUGHTERING ESTABLISHMENTS

The following additional slaughtering establishments preceded by an asterisk are specifically approved for the purposes of § 78.5 of Title 9, Code of Federal Regulations, concerning brucellosis reactors and of paragraphs (b) and (c) of § 78.12 of said Title 9, concerning cattle not known to be affected with brucellosis, and those not preceded by an asterisk are specifically approved for the purposes of paragraphs (b) and (c) of § 78.12 only.

ARIZONA

*Western Meat Packing Company—Tucson.

ILLINOIS

Ducey Packing Company—Jerseyville.
Enright Locker Service—Bushnell.

INDIANA

Steinker Meat Market—Seymour.

KENTUCKY

*S & M Meat Packers, Inc.—Louisville.

NEW YORK

Schreiber, Frank J., & Sons—Webster.

OHIO

Fairview Packing—Champion.

RHODE ISLAND

Carter Beef Company, Inc.—Johnston.
Starlite Packing Company—Pawtucket.

VERMONT

Quinn Slaughter House—Poultney.

II. Notice is hereby given also that the following stockyards and slaughtering establishments have been deleted from the list of specifically approved stockyards and slaughtering establishments, respectively, as follows:

Stockyards

ARKANSAS

Farmers Auction Company—Mariana.

KANSAS

Lenexa Community Sale—Lenexa.

MARYLAND

Eyler's Live Stock Market—Thurmont.

NEBRASKA

Kimball Livestock Auction—Kimball.
O & O Commission Company—Kearney.

NORTH DAKOTA

Dobler & Smith Livestock Auction—Ellendale.

OREGON

The Dalles Livestock Commission—The Dalles.

Slaughtering Establishments

ARIZONA

Beverly Meat Company—Tucson.

ILLINOIS

Cowperthwaite's Super Market—Bushnell.
Ducey, Mike, and Sons—Pittsfield.
Hauffe's Meat Market—Lincoln.

IOWA

Otranto Locker—Otranto.

LOUISIANA

Northside Packing House—Lake Charles.
Up-to-Date Market & Slaughter House—
Sulphur.

MICHIGAN

Costello Packing Company—Detroit.

NEW HAMPSHIRE

Hoffman, Fred—Hooksett.

OKLAHOMA

Montgomery Brothers Slaughtering Establishment—Hugo.
Thomas, Earl C., Slaughtering Establishment—Moore.

Effective date. The foregoing notice shall become effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Certain additional stockyards and slaughtering establishments have been added to the list of those heretofore specifically approved under the regulations in 9 CFR Part 78. It has been determined that the inspections and handling of livestock or carcasses or products thereof at such stockyards or establishments are adequate to effectuate the purposes of such regulations. Certain stockyards and slaughtering establishments have been removed from the list of those heretofore specifically approved under said regulations, because it has been determined that such stockyards and establishments no longer qualify for specific approval under the regulations. This action, therefore, imposes certain restrictions necessary to prevent the spread of brucellosis and relieves certain restrictions presently imposed. It should become effective promptly in order to accomplish its purpose in the public interest and to be of maximum benefit to persons subject to the restrictions which are relieved thereby. Accordingly, under section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 1003), it is found upon good cause that notice and other public procedure with respect to this action are impracticable and, good cause is found for making this notice effective less than 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 23d day of April 1963.

E. E. SAULMON,
Acting Director, Animal Disease
Eradication Division, Agricultural
Research Service.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4475; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963;
8:48 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Maritime Administration

List of Free World and Polish Flag
Vessels Arriving in Cuba Since Jan-
uary 1, 1963

Pursuant to the National Security Action Memorandum No. 220, dated February 5, 1963, addressed to The Secretary of State; The Secretary of Defense; The Secretary of Agriculture; The Secretary of Commerce; The Administrator, Agency for International Development; and The Administrator, General Services Administration, concerning United States Government shipment by foreign-flag vessels in the Cuban trade, the Maritime Administration is making available to the appropriate Departments the following list of vessels which have arrived in Cuba since January 1, 1963, based on information received through April 19, 1963:

FLAG OF REGISTRY AND NAME OF SHIP

	Gross tonnage
Total—All flags (60 ships) ---	543,738
British: (22 ships) -----	244,239
Ardmore -----	4,664
Arlington Court -----	9,662
Athelcrown (Tanker) -----	11,149
Athelduke (Tanker) -----	9,089
Athelmonarch (Tanker) ¹ -----	11,182
Athelsultan (Tanker) -----	9,149
Avisfaith ¹ -----	7,868
Hazelmoor ¹ -----	7,907
Ho Fung ¹ -----	7,121
Linkmoor -----	8,236
London Confidence (Tanker) -----	21,699
London Independence (Tanker) -----	22,643
London Majesty (Tanker) -----	12,132
London Pride (Tanker) -----	10,776
London Splendour (Tanker) -----	16,195
Lord Gladstone -----	11,299
Overseas Explorer (Tanker) -----	16,267
Overseas Pioneer (Tanker) -----	16,267
Pampas -----	7,589
Shienfoo -----	7,127
Tulse Hill -----	7,120
Wendover ¹ -----	9,098
Greek: (15 ships) -----	128,649
Apollon -----	9,744
Capetan Petros -----	7,291
Gloria -----	7,128
King Theseus -----	9,153
Kyra Hariklia -----	6,888
Mastro-Stelios II -----	7,282
North Empress -----	10,904
Pamit -----	3,929
Pantanassa -----	7,131
Penelope -----	6,712
Perseus (Tanker) -----	15,852
Redestos -----	5,911
Seiros -----	7,239
Sirius (Tanker) -----	16,241
Stylianios N Vlassopoulos -----	7,244
Norwegian: (5 ships) -----	45,595
Benny Viking -----	3,857
Kongsgaard (Tanker) -----	19,999
Ole Bratt -----	5,252
Polyclipper (Tanker) -----	11,737
Tine -----	4,750
Polish: (4 ships) -----	23,287
Baltyk -----	6,963
Bialystok -----	7,173
Bytom -----	5,967
Piast -----	3,184

¹ Added to list since Report No. 5.

FLAG OF REGISTRY AND NAME OF SHIP—Con.

	Gross tonnage
Italian: (3 ships) -----	29,630
Cannaregio -----	7,184
Linda Giovanna (Tanker) -----	9,985
San Nicola (Tanker) -----	12,461
Yugoslav: (3 ships) -----	21,496
Bar -----	7,233
Cavtat -----	7,266
Dugi Otok -----	6,997
Lebanese: (3 ships) -----	20,108
Ilena ¹ -----	5,925
Mousse -----	7,199
Olga -----	7,199
Spanish: (2 ships) -----	4,565
Castillo Ampudia -----	3,566
Sierra Madre -----	999
Danish: (1 ship) Himmerland -----	8,774
Japanese: (1 ship) Meishun Maru -----	8,647
Moroccan: (1 ship) Toubkal -----	8,748

¹ Added to list since Report No. 5.

J. W. GULICK,
Acting Maritime Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4501; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963;
8:49 a.m.]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. RI63-401—RI63-412]

BELCO PETROLEUM CORP. ET AL.

Order Providing for Hearings on and
Suspension of Proposed Changes in
Rates;¹ and Allowing Rate Changes
To Become Effective Subject to Re-
fund

APRIL 19, 1963.

Belco Petroleum Corporation, Docket No. RI63-401; Wolfson Oil Company (Operator), et al., Docket No. RI63-402; Shell Oil Company, Docket No. RI63-403; Texaco Inc. (Operator), et al., Docket No. RI63-404; The Bradley Producing Corporation, Docket No. RI63-405; Humble Oil & Refining Company, Docket No. RI63-406; Texaco, Inc., Docket No. RI63-407; Edwin L. Cox, Docket No. RI63-408; Union Oil Company of California, Docket No. RI63-409; M. D. Abel, et al. d/b/a, Abel & Bancroft (Operator), et al., Docket No. RI63-410; Shell Oil Company (Operator), et al., Docket No. RI63-411; Socony Mobil Oil Company, Inc., Docket No. RI63-412.

The above-named Respondents have tendered for filing proposed changes in presently effective rate schedules for sales of natural gas subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission. All of the sales are made at a pressure base of 14.65 psia with the exception of the sales made by Wolfson Oil Company (Operator), et al. and Socony Mobil Oil Company, Inc., which are made at a pressure base of 15.025 psia. The proposed changes, which constitute increased rates and charges, are designated as follows:

¹ This order does not provide for the consolidation for hearing or disposition of the several matters covered herein, nor should it be so construed.

Docket No.	Respondent	Rate scheduled No.	Supplement No.	Purchaser and producing area	Amount of annual increase	Date filing tendered	Effective date unless suspended	Date suspended until—	Cents per Mcf		Rate in effect subject to refund in docket Nos.
									Rate in effect	Proposed increased rate	
RI63-401...	Belco Petroleum Corp., 630 Third Avenue, New York 17, N.Y.	5	5	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Big Piney Area, Sublette County, Wyo.).	\$5,428	3-21-63	1-4-21-63	9-21-63	² 15.0	³ 16.0	-----
	do.....	6	8	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Big Piney Area, Sublette and Lincoln Counties, Wyo.).	96,096	3-21-63	1-4-21-63	9-21-63	⁴ 15.0	⁵ 16.0	-----
RI63-402...	Wolfson Oil Co. (operator), et al., c/o Turpin, Kerr, Smith, and Dyer, P.O. Box 913, Midland, Tex. Attn: Mr. Newton J. Steele.	5	4	Northern Natural Gas Co. (Tubb and Blinberry (Dual) Field, Lea County, N. Mex.) (Permian Basin Area).	1,230	4-1-63	⁶ 5-3-63	10-3-63	10.7688	⁷ 11.7943	-----
RI63-403...	Shell Oil Co., 50 West 50th Street, New York 20, N.Y. Attn: Mr. F. C. Sweat.	156	1	Phillips Petroleum Co. (Fuhrman-Mascho Field, Andrews County, Tex.) (R.R. District No. 8).	579	4-2-63	⁸ 5-3-63	10-3-63	⁹ 11.5	¹⁰ 12.5	-----
RI63-404...	Texaco, Inc. (operator), et al., P.O. Box 2332, Houston 1, Tex.	133	36	Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America (Camrick Southeast Field, Texas and Beaver Counties, Okla.) (Oklahoma-Panhandle Area).	306	3-29-63	¹¹ 5-10-63	10-10-63	¹² 17.2	¹³ 17.4	RI62-434
RI63-405...	The Bradley Producing Corp., 313 North Main Street, Wellsville, N.Y.	2	7	Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America (Camrick Field, Beaver County, Okla.) (Oklahoma-Panhandle Area).	33	3-29-63	¹⁴ 4-29-63	9-29-63	¹⁵ 17.2	¹⁶ 17.4	RI61-372
	do.....	3	7	do.....	14	3-29-63	¹⁷ 4-29-63	9-29-63	¹⁸ 17.2	¹⁹ 17.4	RI62-372
	do.....	1	7	do.....	280	3-28-63	²⁰ 5-10-63	10-10-63	²¹ 17.2	²² 17.4	RI62-372
RI63-406...	Humble Oil and Refining Co., P.O. Box 2180, Houston 1, Tex.	200	6	Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America (Camrick Field, Beaver County Okla.) (Oklahoma-Panhandle Area).	40	3-28-63	²³ 5-10-63	10-10-63	²⁴ 17.2	²⁵ 17.4	RI62-401
RI63-407...	Texaco, Inc., P.O. Box 2332, Houston 1, Tex.	180	3	Northern Natural Gas Co. (North Hutchinson and East Spearman Fields, Hansford and Hutchinson Counties, Tex.) (R.R. District No. 10).	14,566	4-1-63	²⁶ 5-2-63	10-2-63	²⁷ 16.5	²⁸ 17.5	-----
RI63-408...	Edwin L. Cox, 2100 Adolphus Tower, Dallas 2, Tex.	10	10	Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America (Beaver County, Okla.) (Oklahoma-Panhandle Area).	169	4-3-63	²⁹ 5-10-63	10-10-63	³⁰ 17.2	³¹ 17.4	RI62-413
	do.....	22	8	Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America (Texas County, Okla.) (Oklahoma-Panhandle Area).	71	4-3-63	³² 5-10-63	10-10-63	³³ 17.2	³⁴ 17.4	RI62-413
	do.....	21	7	do.....	309	4-3-63	³⁵ 5-10-63	10-10-63	³⁶ 17.2	³⁷ 17.4	RI62-413
RI63-409...	Union Oil Co. of California, P.O. Box 7600, Los Angeles 54, Calif.	16	11	Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America (Camrick Southeast Field, Beaver County, Okla.) (Oklahoma-Panhandle Area).	100	4-3-63	³⁸ 5-10-63	10-10-63	³⁹ 17.2	⁴⁰ 17.4	RI62-407
	do.....	23	7	do.....	320	4-3-63	⁴¹ 5-8-63	10-8-63	⁴² 16.8	⁴³ 17.0	RI62-407
RI63-410...	M. D. Abel, et al., d/b/a Abel and Bancroft (operator), et al., P.O. Box 865, Midland, Tex.	8	7	West Lake Natural Gasoline Co. (Nena Lucia Field, Nolan County, Tex.) (R.R. District No. 7-b).	2,880	3-25-63	⁴⁴ 4-25-63	⁴⁵ 4-26-63	5.5	⁴⁶ 8.5	-----
	do.....	13	4	do.....	540	3-25-63	⁴⁷ 4-25-63	⁴⁸ 4-26-63	5.5	⁴⁹ 8.5	-----
RI63-411...	Shell Oil Co. (operator), et al., 50 West 50th Street, New York 20, N.Y.	271	5	Florida Gas Transmission Co. (Yzaguirre Field, Starr County, Tex.) (R.R. District No. 4).	7,334	4-5-63	⁵⁰ 5-6-63	10-6-63	16.0	⁵¹ 17.0	-----
RI63-412...	Socony Mobil Oil Co., Inc., 150 East 42d Street, New York 17, N.Y.	229	6	United Gas Pipe Line Co. (Northwest Oberlin Field, Allen Parish, La.).	3,840	4-4-63	⁵² 5-6-63	10-6-63	20.375	⁵³ 22.375	-----

¹ The stated effective date is the first day after expiration of the required statutory notice.

² The sale was authorized by a conditioned temporary certificate issued Oct. 20, 1960, in Docket No. G-20104. The sale was conditioned to an initial rate of 15.0 cents per Mcf.

³ Periodic rate increase.

⁴ The sale was authorized by a permanent certificate issued in Docket No. CI60-475. The sale was conditioned to an initial rate of 15.0 cents per Mcf.

⁵ The stated effective date is the effective date proposed by Respondent.

⁶ Price for gas at pressures of 750 psig, or greater.

⁷ Subject to downward Btu adjustment.

⁸ The date buyer's 17.0 cents per Mcf rate became effective subject to refund in Docket No. RI60-30.

⁹ The suspension period is limited to one day.

¹⁰ Revenue-sharing rate increase.

The revenue-sharing rate increases of M. D. Abel, et al. d/b/a Abel and Bancroft (Operator), et al. (Abel) fall below the ceiling for increased rates in Texas Railroad District No. 7-b but should be suspended because they are based on the buyer's (West Lake Natural Gasoline Company) resale rate which is currently effective subject to refund in Docket No. RI60-30. The suspension period for each of Abel's revenue-sharing increases may be shortened to one day from April 25, 1963, the date of expiration of the required statutory notice. Abel requests a retroactive effective date of June 23, 1960, for their proposed rate increases. Good cause has not been shown for the granting of Abel's request

for the June 23, 1960, effective date and such request is denied.

Belco Petroleum Corporation requests a retroactive effective date of January 1, 1963, for its proposed periodic rate increases. Good cause has not been shown for the granting of an earlier effective date and such request is denied.

With the exception of the proposed increases of Abel which are based on the buyer's resale rate, the other producers' rate changes herein are periodic increases and exceed the applicable area price levels set forth in the Commission's Statement of General Policy No. 61-1, as amended (18 CFR Ch. I, Part 2, § 2.56).

The proposed changed rates and charges may be unjust, unreasonable,

unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds: It is necessary and proper in the public interest and to aid in the enforcement of the provisions of the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon hearings concerning the lawfulness of the several proposed changes and that the above-designated supplements be suspended and the use thereof deferred as hereinafter ordered.

The Commission orders:

(A) Pursuant to the authority of the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15 thereof, the Commission's rules of practice and procedure and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR Ch. I), public hearings shall be

held upon dates to be fixed by notices from the Secretary concerning the lawfulness of the proposed changed rates and charges contained in the above-designated supplements.

(B) Pending hearings and decisions thereon, the above-designated rate supplements are hereby suspended and the use thereof deferred until the dates indicated in the above "Date Suspended Until" column, and thereafter until such further time as they are made effective in the manner prescribed by the Natural Gas Act: *Provided, however*, That Supplements Nos. 7 and 4 to Abel's FPC Gas Rate Schedules Nos. 8 and 13, respectively, suspended for one day in Docket No. RI63-410, as set forth above, shall become effective subject to refund on the date and in the manner prescribed if within 20 days from the date of issuance of this order Abel shall execute and file under Docket No. RI63-410 with the Secretary of the Commission its agreement and undertaking to comply with the refunding and reporting procedure required by the Natural Gas Act and section 154.102 of the regulations thereunder, accompanied by a certificate showing service of copies upon all purchasers under the rate schedules involved. Unless Abel is advised to the contrary within 15 days after the filing of its agreement and undertaking, such agreement and undertaking shall be deemed to have been accepted.

(C) Neither the supplements hereby suspended, nor the rate schedules sought to be altered thereby, shall be changed until these proceedings have been disposed of or until the periods of suspension have expired unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

(D) Notices of intervention or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 and 1.37(f)) on or before June 5, 1963.

By the Commission.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4409; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963;
8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. G-17771 etc.]

ATLANTIC REFINING CO. ET AL.

Findings and Order Issuing Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity, Amending Certificate Authorizations, Cancelling Docket Number and Accepting Related FPC Gas Rate Filings and Severing Docket Number

MARCH 11, 1963.

The Atlantic Refining Company, Docket No. G-17771; Stekolil Petroleum Corporation,¹ Docket No. G-19065; Compass

¹ Application to change name to Sunac Petroleum Corporation pending.

Exploration, Inc. (Operator), et al., Docket No. CI61-299; Ambassador Oil Corporation (Operator), et al., Docket No. CI61-569; The British American Oil Producing Company, Docket No. CI61-607; The Superior Oil Company, Docket No. CI61-807; Cecil Meadows, et al., Docket No. CI61-1144; Pioneer Oil Investment Company, Docket No. CI61-1209; The Atlantic Refining Company, Docket No. CI62-105; John W. Henry, Docket No. CI62-434; R. C. Ernst Natural Gas Company, Docket No. CI62-633; Socony Mobil Oil Company, Inc., Docket No. CI62-725; Standard Oil Company of Texas, a Division of California Oil Company, Docket No. CI62-833; H. L. Ice, et al. (formerly Kincaid Oil and Gas Company d.b.a. Kincaid Oil & Gas Mossor No. 1), Docket No. CI62-915; Ralph W. Mace d.b.a. Mace Oil & Gas Company, Docket No. CI62-916; John R. Robinson, et al. d.b.a. Rosa Langford No. 1, Docket No. CI62-917; B. E. Talkington, et al., Docket No. CI62-918; Marshall A. Hayes, Jr., et al., Docket No. CI62-920; Southern Triangle Oil Company, Inc., et al., Docket No. CI62-933; Hays and Company d.b.a. Brookside Oil and Gas Company, Docket No. CI62-934; Cecil Meadows, et al., Docket No. CI62-956; McDowell & Murvin d.b.a. McDowell & Murvin No. 1 Ayers Lease, Docket No. CI62-964; Cities Service Petroleum Company, Docket No. CI62-1077; Northern Pump Company (Operator), et al., Docket No. CI62-1124; John Douglas Pitman, et al., Docket No. CI62-1125; W. G. Sampson, Agent for W. M. Taylor Gas Company, Docket No. CI62-1128; Walter J. Minehart, Agent for McCoy No. 1, Docket No. CI62-1129; Roy G. Hildreth, et al., d.b.a. Everson Gas Company, Docket No. CI62-1133; Tenneco Corporation, Docket No. CI62-1135; Ainslie Perrault (Operator), et al., Docket No. CI62-1136.

A. A. Kelley, et al., Docket No. CI62-1143; Maude Mace Oil & Gas Company, Docket No. CI62-1144; E. C. Wilson, et al., Docket No. CI62-1145; C. C. Dodd, et al., Docket No. CI62-1146; Walter P. Purcell (Operator), et al., Docket No. CI62-1242; Gus Canales, Docket No. CI62-1252; Santiago Oil and Gas Company, et al., Docket No. CI62-1258; Panhandle Development Company, Inc., et al., Docket No. CI62-1309; Lab Oil Company, Docket No. CI62-1314; The Atlantic Refining Company, Docket No. CI62-1331; BTA Oil Producers (Operator), Agent for Carlton Beal, et al., Docket No. CI62-1375; John A. Egan (Operator), et al., Docket No. CI62-1434; Interstate Royalties Company, Docket No. CI62-1492; The Atlantic Refining Company, Docket No. CI62-1497; Aylward Drilling Company (Operator), et al., Docket No. CI62-1498; Holly Nester, Agent, McCune Oil and Gas Company, Docket No. CI62-1499; Gulf Oil Corporation, Docket No. CI62-1501; Samedan Oil Corporation, et al., Docket No. CI62-1503; Westland Oil Develop-

ment Corporation, Docket No. CI62-1510; The Atlantic Refining Company, Docket No. CI62-1511; Shell Oil Company, Docket No. CI62-1512; Delmer E. Hicks, et al., d.b.a. HLW Gas Company, Docket No. CI62-1517; Apache Corporation, Docket No. CI62-1518; W. C. Wilson, et al., d.b.a. Normantown Gas Company, Docket No. CI62-1519; Socony Mobil Oil Company, Inc. (Operator), et al., Docket No. CI62-1520; Socony Mobil Oil Company, Inc., Docket No. CI62-1521; Pan American Petroleum Corporation, Docket No. CI62-1522; Cooperative Refinery Association, Docket No. CI62-1525; Cooperative Refinery Association, Docket No. CI62-1526; Cooperative Refinery Association, Docket No. CI62-1527; Sid Lanier, Docket No. CI62-1528; Francis E. Cain d.b.a. Miles Miller Oil & Gas Company, Docket No. CI62-1529; Keener Oil Company, Docket No. CI62-1532; E. C. Hartman, et al., Docket No. CI62-1533; R. M. Kuhn, Agent, Mertz Gas Company, Docket No. CI62-1542; Wayne Scott d.b.a. Doco Five Oil & Gas Company, Docket No. CI62-1543; Katex Oil Company (Operator), et al., Docket No. CI62-1545; Tex-Star Oil & Gas Corp., Docket No. CI62-1553; Frank Storm Associates (Operator), et al., Docket No. CI62-1554; Cleary Petroleum, Inc. (Operator), et al., Docket No. CI62-1555; Allen Oldham (Operator), et al., Docket No. CI62-1561; Morris Cannan (Operator), et al., Docket No. CI62-1564; Phillips Petroleum Company, Docket No. CI63-50; J. C. Man, Jr., Docket No. CI63-66; Vinson Trust, Robert E. Vinson, Trustee, Docket No. CI63-68; Bridwell Oil Company, Docket No. CI63-72; James M. Forgotson, Operator for Gulf Coast Venture, Docket No. CI63-77; Skelly Oil Company, Docket No. CI63-79; BTA Oil Producers (Operator), et al., Docket No. CI63-84; Hays and Company, Agent, John W. Stone, Docket No. CI63-90; Anadarko Production Company, Docket No. CI63-93; N. G. Busch, Docket No. CI63-97; Hays and Company, Agent, Brookside Oil and Gas Company, Docket No. CI63-98; Global Oils, Inc. (Operator), et al., Docket No. CI63-218; El Paso Natural Gas Products Company, Docket No. G-17206.

Each of the above Applicants has filed an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the sale and delivery of natural gas in interstate commerce, or for the amendment of an outstanding certificate authorization, or for the amendment of a pending application for certificate authorization, all as more fully described in the respective applications (and any supplements or amendments thereto, as indicated).

The Applicants herein produce and propose to sell natural gas for transportation in interstate commerce for resale as indicated below:

NOTICES

Docket No. and filing date	Field and location	Purchaser	Related rate schedule		Docket No. and filing date	Field and location	Purchaser	Related rate schedule	
			Number	Supplement				Number	Supplement
G-17771 (C-6/18/62)	Justis Field, Lea County, N. Mex.	El Paso Natural Gas Co.	193	7	C162-1242 (A-4/23/62)	South Independence Field, Duval County, Tex.	Valley Gas Transmission, Inc.	1	1
G-19065 (C-7/15/62)	Hansford and Ochiltree Counties, Tex.	Northern Natural Gas Co.	6	2	C162-1252 (A-4/26/62)	do.	do.	1	1
C161-296 (C-7/20/62)	Acreage in San Juan County, N. Mex.	El Paso Natural Gas Co.	3	6	C162-1258 (A-4/27/62)	Hunt-Baggett Area, Crockett County, Tex.	Northern Natural Gas Co.	2	1-4
C161-569 (A-10/12/60)	Schoolfield Unit, Cimarron County, Okla.	Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co.	12	1	C162-1308 (A-5/7/62)	Greenough Field, Beaver County, Okla.	Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co.	13	1-4
C161-607 (C-7/16/62)	West Kutz Canyon Field, San Juan County, N. Mex.	El Paso Natural Gas Co.	48	1-4	C162-1314 (A-5/8/62)	Alice Field Area, Jim Wells County, Tex.	Valley Gas Transmission, Inc.	8	8
C161-807 (C-3/19/62)	Oreones Field, Duval County, Tex.	Valley Gas Transmission, Inc.	100	1	C162-1315 (B-5/21/62)	Hunt-Baggett Area, Crockett County, Tex.	Northern Natural Gas Co.	265	265
C161-1144 (C-2/16/62)	Troy District, Gilmer County, W. Va.	Equitable Gas Co.	3	2	C162-1375 (B-9/4/62)	Spradley Trend Area, Reagan County, Tex.	El Paso Natural Gas Co.	8	1
C161-1209 (A-2/13/61)	East Durant Field, Bryan County, Okla.	Lone Star Gas Co.	1	1	C162-1434 (A-5/31/62)	San Juan Basin, Rio Arriba County, N. Mex.	do.	4	1
C162-105 (C-6/7/62)	Sitka Field, Clark County, Kans.	Northern Natural Gas Co.	294	1	C162-1492 (A-6/13/62)	Carthage Field, Texas County, Okla.	Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co.	1	1
C162-484 (A-10/25/61)	Mokane Field, Beaver County, Okla.	Colorado Interstate Gas Co.	1	1-6	C162-1497 (A-6/13/62)	Moene-Laverne, Beaver County, Okla.	Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co.	263	6
C162-583 (A-12/28/61)	Commencement Township, Erie County, Pa.	Lake Shore Pipe Line Co.	1	1	C162-1498 (A-6/13/62)	Barber County, Kans.	Cities Service Gas Co.	6	21
C162-724 (A-12/28/61)	Hunt-Baggett Area, Crockett County, Tex.	Northern Natural Gas Co.	315	1-2	C162-1499 (A-6/13/62)	Washington District, Calhoun County, W. Va.	Cabot Corp.	239	14
C162-833 (A-1/25/62)	Durant East Field, Bryan County, Okla.	Lone Star Gas Co.	48	1	C162-1501 (A-6/14/62)	Milroy and Velma Pools, Stephens County, Okla.	Lone Star Gas Co.	14	1
C162-915 (A-2/19/62)	Murphy District, Ritchie County, W. Va.	Hope Natural Gas Co.	7	7	C162-1503 (A-6/18/62)	Putnam Field, Dewey County, Okla.	Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America	14	1
C162-916 (A-2/19/62)	Sherman District, Calhoun County, W. Va.	do.	7	7	C162-1510 (A-6/18/62)	Cartersville, Le Flore County, Okla.	Ft. Smith Gas Corp.	4	4
C162-917 (A-2/19/62)	Troy District, Gilmer County, W. Va.	do.	7	7	C162-1511 (A-6/18/62)	Arard and Lenora Areas, Woods and Dewey Counties, Okla.	Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co.	264	1
C162-918 (A-2/19/62)	McClellan District, Doddridge County, W. Va.	do.	17	1	C162-1512 (A-6/19/62)	Yucca Butte Field, Pecos and Terrell Counties, Tex.	El Paso Natural Gas Co.	264	1
C162-920 (A-2/19/62)	Monroe Field, Union Parish, La.	Texas Gas Transmission Corp.	1	1-3	C162-1513 (A-6/20/62)	Right Beaver Creek, Floyd County, Ky.	United Fuel Gas Co.	273	1-2
C162-933 (A-2/12/62)	Core District, Doddridge County, W. Va.	Hope Natural Gas Co.	4	9	C162-1515 (A-6/20/62)	Northwest Elmwood, Beaver County, Okla.	Northern Natural Gas Co.	7	7
C162-934 (A-2/12/62)	Collins Settlement District, Lewis County, W. Va.	do.	9	9	C162-1519 (A-6/20/62)	Glenville District, Gilmer County, W. Va.	Hope Natural Gas Co.	3	3
C162-956 (A-2/12/62)	(See C161-1144)	Hope Natural Gas Co.	2	2	C162-1520 (B-2/26/63)	Ignacio Field, La Plata County, Colo., E/2, NE/4, Sec. 10, T32N, R7W, La Plata County, Colo.	El Paso Natural Gas Co.	313	1
C162-964 (A-2/19/62)	Murphy District, Ritchie County, W. Va.	Colorado Interstate Gas Co.	186	1	C162-1521 (A-6/20/62)	San Juan Basin, San Juan County, N. Mex.	do.	313	5
C162-1077 (A-3/15/62)	Boehm Field, Morton County, Kans.	Texas Eastern Transmission Corp.	35	1	C162-1522 (B-10/31/62)	Mokane Field, Beaver County, Okla.	Northern Natural Gas Co.	314	1
C162-1124 (A-3/26/62)	Section III, West Cosden Field Area, Bee County, Tex.	Northern Natural Gas Co.	1	1	C162-1523 (A-6/21/62)	Rich Valley Field, Grant County, Okla.	Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co.	336	5
C162-1125 (A-3/26/62)	Horizon Field, Ochiltree County, Tex.	Hope Natural Gas Co.	4	4	C162-1525 (A-6/21/62)	East Lamont Field, Grant County, Okla.	do.	5	1-2
C162-1128 (A-3/26/62)	Washington District, Calhoun County, W. Va.	do.	1	1	C162-1526 (A-6/21/62)	Salt Fork Field, Grant and Garfield Counties, Okla.	do.	3	1-2
C162-1129 (A-3/26/62)	do.	do.	17	17	C162-1527 (A-6/21/62)	Jamat Field, Lea County, N. Mex.	El Paso Natural Gas Co.	4	1-2
C162-1133 (A-3/26/62)	Lee District, Calhoun County, W. Va.	El Paso Natural Gas Co.	186	1	C162-1528 (A-6/21/62)	Lee District, Calhoun County, W. Va.	Hope Natural Gas Co.	1	14
C162-1135 (A-3/26/62)	Basin Dakota Field, San Juan County, N. Mex.	do.	1	1	C162-1529 (A-6/21/62)	Harper Ranch Field, Clark County, Kans.	Northern Natural Gas Co.	4	4
C162-1136 (A-3/26/62)	Union District, Ritchie County, W. Va.	Hope Natural Gas Co.	2	2	C162-1532 (A-6/22/62)	Washington District, Calhoun County, W. Va.	Equitable Gas Co.	6	1
C162-1143 (A-3/29/62)	Spencer District, Roane County, W. Va.	do.	1	1	C162-1533 (A-6/22/62)	Court House District, Lewis County, W. Va.	Hope Natural Gas Co.	1	1
C162-1144 (A-3/29/62)	DeKalb District, Gilmer County, W. Va.	do.	2	2	C162-1542 (A-6/22/62)	Grant District, Doddridge County, W. Va.	do.	1	1
C162-1145 (A-3/29/62)	Washington District, Calhoun County, W. Va.	do.	7	7	C162-1543 (A-6/25/62)	Spooney Field, Hansford and Ochiltree Counties, Tex.	Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co.	4	4
C162-1146 (A-3/29/62)	do.	do.	7	7	C162-1545 (A-6/25/62)	Ignacio Mesa-Verde Field, La Plata County, Colo.	El Paso Natural Gas Co.	29	1
					C162-1553 (B-9/4/62)	West Panhandle Field, Gray County, Tex.	do.	1	1
					C162-1554 (A-6/26/62)			1	1

Filing code: A-Initial service certificate application.
B-Application to amend pending certificate application.
C-Application to amend certificate by adding acreage.
D-Application to amend certificate by deleting acreage.

See footnotes at end of table.

Docket No. and filing date	Field and location	Purchaser	Related rate schedule	
			Number	Supplement
CI62-1555 (A-6/27/62)	Como Area and Unnamed Beaver County Field, Beaver County, Okla.	Northern Natural Gas Co.	3	
CI62-1561 (A-6/29/62)	Klenda "B" Unit, Marion County, Kans.	Cities Service Gas Co.	1	
CI62-1564 (A-6/29/62)	South Burnell Field, Bee County, Tex.	Texas Eastern Transmission Corp.	3	1
CI63-30 (A-7/12/62)	Hunt-Baggett Area, Crockett County, Tex.	Northern Natural Gas Co.	387	
CI63-66 (A-7/16/62) ¹	SW 1/4, Sec. 5, T26N, R11W, N.M.P.M., San Juan County, N. Mex.	El Paso Natural Gas Co.	1	1-2
CI63-68 (A-7/16/62) ¹	Acreage in San Juan County, N. Mex.	do.	1	1
YI63-72 (A-7/17/62)	Ben Bolt Field, Jim Wells County, Tex.	Valley Gas Transmission, Inc.	10	
CI63-77 (A-7/18/62)	Southeast Alice Area, Jim Wells County, Tex.	do.	10	1
CI63-79 (A-7/19/62)	Iuka Carini Area, Pratt County, Kans.	Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co.	6	
YI63-84 (A-7/20/62)	Spraberry Trend Area, Reagan County, Tex.	El Paso Natural Gas Co.	177	
CI63-90 (A-7/20/62)	Smithfield District, Roane County, W. Va.	United Fuel Gas Co.	9	
CI63-93 (B-11/2/62)	Como South Field, Beaver County, Okla.	Northern Natural Gas Co.	11	
CI63-97 (A-7/23/62)	Burning Springs and Murphy District, Wirt and Ritchie Counties, W. Va.	Hope Natural Gas Co.	66	1
CI63-98 (A-7/23/62)	Court House District, Lewis County, W. Va.	do.	66	
CI63-218 (A-8/15/62) (B-11/13/62)	San Juan Basin, San Juan County, N. Mex.	El Paso Natural Gas Co.	4	
G-17206	(See CI63-66)		4	2

¹ Filing originally designated as Docket No. CI62-956 but construed as application for amendment in Docket No. CI61-1144.

² Filing of 2-6-63 corrects application by deleting acreage already included under basic contract in Docket No. G-10686.

³ These applications cover acreage acquired by assignment dated 12-1-61 from El Paso Natural Gas Products Co. which later received certificate authorization with respect thereto in Docket No. G-17206. Said acreage will be deleted from the certificate authorization heretofore granted in Docket No. G-17206, as ordered hereinafter.

Each of the Applicants in this consolidated proceeding has filed a rate schedule for the related proposed service as indicated in the preceding tabulation. Applicants have been advised of the acceptance and designation of the related rate filings except in those dockets in which the related rate filings are designated and accepted hereinafter. None of the proposed rates exceed the announced ceiling rates for the respective areas involved.

"Samedan Oil Corporation, et al. Applicant in Docket No. CI62-1503, has advised that it does not agree to accept a permanent certificate at the initial price indicated in the foregoing tabulation. Therefore, Docket No. CI62-1503 should not have been included in this consolidated proceeding and will be severed therefrom."

Pursuant to due notice, a public hearing was held in Washington, D.C., on February 26, 1963, respecting the matters involved in and the issues presented by the respective applications herein. No petition to intervene or protest to the granting of any of said applications has been received. Staff counsel moved orally at the hearing that the intermediate decision procedure be omitted and that the Commission render a decision herein pursuant to § 1.30(c)(1) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

The Commission finds:

(1) Each Applicant herein is a "natural-gas company" within the meaning of the Natural Gas Act as heretofore found by the Commission, or will be engaged in the sale of natural gas in interstate commerce for resale for ultimate public consumption, subject to the

jurisdiction of the Commission, and will, therefore, be a "natural-gas company" within the meaning of said Act upon the commencement of the service under the respective authorizations granted hereinafter.

(2) The sales of natural gas hereinbefore described, as more fully described in the respective applications, amendments and supplements, will be made in interstate commerce, subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, and such sales by the respective Applicants, together with the construction and operation of any facilities subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission necessary therefor, are subject to the requirements of subsections (c) and (e) of section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

(3) The sales of natural gas by the respective Applicants, together with the construction and operation of any facilities subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission necessary therefor, are required by the public convenience and necessity and certificates therefor should be issued as hereinafter ordered and conditioned.

(4) The respective Applicants are able and willing properly to do the acts and to perform the services proposed and to conform to the provisions of the Natural Gas Act and the requirements, rules and regulations of the Commission thereunder.

(5) It is necessary and appropriate in carrying out the provisions of the Natural Gas Act and the public convenience and necessity require that the certificate authorizations heretofore issued by the Commission in the following dockets be amended as hereinafter ordered: Docket Nos. G-17771, G-19065,

CI61-299, CI61-607, CI61-807, CI61-1144, CI62-105, and G-17206.

(6) The docket designation Docket No. CI62-956 should be cancelled as hereinafter ordered.

(7) A request during the public hearing by staff counsel for omission of the intermediate decision procedure herein was unopposed by any party of record and, not having been denied by the Commission, is granted pursuant to § 1.30(c)(1) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

(8) The certificate application of Samedan Oil Corporation, et al. in Docket No. CI62-1503 should be severed from this proceeding for such further disposition as may be appropriate.

The Commission orders:

(A) Certificates of public convenience and necessity be and the same are hereby issued, upon the terms and conditions of this order, authorizing the sales by the respective Applicants herein, of natural gas in interstate commerce for resale, together with the continued operation of any facilities subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission necessary for such sales, all as hereinbefore described and as more fully described in the respective applications, amendments, supplements and exhibits in this proceeding.

(B) The certificates granted in paragraph (A) above are not transferable and shall be effective only so long as Applicants continue the acts or operations hereby authorized in accordance with the provisions of the Natural Gas Act and the applicable rules, regulations and orders of the Commission.

(C) The grant of the certificates issued in paragraph (A) above shall not be construed as a waiver of the requirements of section 4 of the Natural Gas Act or of Parts 154 or 157 of the Commission's regulations thereunder, and is without prejudice to any findings or orders which have been or may hereafter be made by the Commission in any proceeding now pending or hereafter instituted by or against the respective Applicants. Further, our action in this proceeding shall not foreclose nor prejudice any future proceedings or objections relating to the operation of any price or related provisions in the gas purchase contracts herein involved. Nor shall the grant of the certificates aforesaid for service to the particular customers involved imply approval of all of the terms of the respective contracts, particularly as to the cessation of service upon termination of said contracts as provided by section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act. Nor shall the grant of the certificates aforesaid be construed to preclude the imposition of any sanctions pursuant to the provisions of the Natural Gas Act for the unauthorized commencement of any sales of natural gas subject to said certificates.

(D) The certificate authorizations heretofore granted to the respective Applicants in the dockets listed below are hereby amended by adding thereto authorization to sell natural gas from additional acreage to the same purchasers and in the same areas as covered by the

original authorizations, pursuant to the rate schedule amendments as indicated in the tabulation hereinbefore set forth:

Docket No. G-17771 (issued September 20, 1960, Docket Nos. G-13385, et al.),
Docket No. G-19065 (issued July 14, 1960, Docket Nos. G-11495, et al.),
Docket No. CI61-299 (issued October 10, 1962, Docket Nos. G-19975, et al.),
Docket No. CI61-607 (issued September 20, 1961, Docket Nos. G-14986, et al.),
Docket No. CI61-807 (issued June 27, 1961, Docket Nos. G-11789, et al.),
Docket No. CI61-1144 (issued January 29, 1962, Docket Nos. G-14407, et al.),
Docket No. CI62-105 (issued March 23, 1962, Docket Nos. G-17953, et al.).

(E) The certificate authorization heretofore granted to El Paso Natural Gas Products Company in Docket No. G-17206 (issued July 14, 1960, Docket Nos. G-11495, et al.) is hereby amended by deleting therefrom authorization to sell natural gas from that acreage which

was acquired by J. C. Man, Jr. and Vinson Trust, Robert E. Vinson, Trustee, from El Paso Natural Gas Products Company by instrument of assignment dated December 1, 1961, which acreage is subject of the certificate authorizations being issued to Man in Docket No. CI63-66 and Vinson in Docket No. CI63-68, respectively, by paragraph (A) above.

(F) In all other respects, the orders of the Commission referred to in paragraph (D) and (E) above shall remain in full force and effect.

(G) The docket designation Docket No. CI62-956 be and the same is hereby cancelled.

(H) The related rate filings listed below are hereby accepted, subject to the applicable Commission Regulations under the Natural Gas Act, to be effective upon the date of initial delivery thereunder or thirty days after the date of filing, whichever is appropriate:

Docket No.	Rate schedule designation	Description	Date of document
CI62-434	John W. Henry FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 1...	Ratification	9- 1-61
	Supplement No. 1 to foregoing	Basic contract	4-17-57
	Supplement No. 2 to foregoing	Letter agreement	7- 7-58
	Supplement No. 3 to foregoing	Agreement	2- 5-59
	Supplement No. 4 to foregoing	Supplement	6-11-59
	Supplement No. 5 to foregoing	Agreement	7-20-59
	Supplement No. 6 to foregoing	do	7-16-62
CI62-725	Socony Mobil Oil Co. FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 315.	Basic contract	7-31-61
	Supplement No. 1 to foregoing	Supplemental agreement	10- 6-61
	Supplement No. 2 to foregoing	Letter	3-30-62
CI62-833	Standard Oil Co. of Texas, a division of California Oil Co., FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 48.	Basic contract	12- 4-61
	Supplement No. 1 to foregoing	Letter agreement	3-26-62
CI62-1077	Cities Service Petroleum Co. FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 156.	Basic contract	2- 2-62
	Supplement No. 1 to foregoing	Agreement	5-11-62
CI62-1258	Santiago Oil & Gas Co., et al. FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 2.	Basic contract	10-10-61
	Supplement No. 1 to foregoing	Amendment	10-31-61
	Supplement No. 2 to foregoing	do	11-20-61
	Supplement No. 3 to foregoing	Ratification	1- 2-62
	Supplement No. 4 to foregoing	Amendment	2-13-62
CI62-1331	The Atlantic Refining Co. FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 265.	Basic contract	3-23-62
CI62-1375	Supplement No. 1 to BTA Oil Producers (operator), agent for Carlton Beal, et al., FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 8.	Amendatory agreement	(1)
CI62-1492	Interstate Royalties Co. FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 1.	Basic contract	4-13-62
CI62-1520	Supplement No. 5 to Socony Mobil Oil Co., Inc. (operator), et al. FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 313.	Notice of partial cancellation	2- 1-63
CI63-50	Phillips Petroleum Co. FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 387.	Basic contract	2-21-62
	Supplement No. 1 to foregoing	Supplemental agreement	3-23-62
	Supplement No. 2 to foregoing	do	5-21-62

¹ Filed Sept. 4, 1962.

(I) The certificate application of Samedan Oil Corporation, et al. No. CI62-1503 is hereby severed from this proceeding for such further disposition as may be appropriate.

By the Commission.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4370; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963;
8:45 a.m.]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket No. 14383]

WINONA ENFORCEMENT CASE

Notice of Hearing

Complaint of the City of Winona, Minnesota v. North Central Airlines, Inc.

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of

1958, as amended, that a hearing in the above-entitled proceeding will be held on May 7, 1963, at 10:00 a.m., e.d.s.t., in Room 725, Universal Building, Connecticut and Florida Avenues NW., Washington, D.C., before Examiner Edward T. Stodola.

Dated at Washington, D.C., April 23, 1963.

[SEAL]

FRANCIS W. BROWN,
Chief Examiner.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4464; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963;
8:47 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 14428, 14438; Order E-19519]

AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC., AND TRANS WORLD AIRLINES, INC.

Order of Suspension and Investigation

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 23d day of April 1963.

Reduced fares for members of United Nations delegations and employees of the United Nations Secretariat from foreign countries, proposed by American Airlines, Incorporated and Trans World Airlines, Incorporated, Docket Nos. 14428, 14438.

By tariff revisions¹ filed March 27, 1963, to be effective April 26, 1963, for American Airlines, Inc., and filed April 2, 1963, to be effective May 3, 1963, for Trans World Airlines, Inc., the carriers propose stand-by fares for members of United Nations delegations and employees of the United Nations Secretariat from foreign countries. These fares would be equal to 50 percent of the jet day coach one-way fares to be available on a system-wide basis with the exception, in American's tariff proposal, of Akron, Ohio.

The pertinent terms and conditions governing the tariff proposal are as follows: (a) Members of an official delegation to the United Nations from a country other than the United States and employees of the United Nations Secretariat who are citizens of a country other than the United States, will be eligible for the discount when traveling on other than the official business of his delegation or of the United Nations; (b) To obtain a ticket under these proposed tariffs, purchasers must surrender to the carriers a statement with an authorized signature from the Hospitality Committee for the United Nations Delegations, Inc.; (c) Fares will be one-half of jet coach fares and will apply on all jet and propeller flights.²

National Airlines, Inc., and Trans World Airlines, Inc. have filed timely complaints requesting suspension and investigation of the American and TWA tariffs. Both complaints suggest that the Board must decide at what point a limitation must be placed upon reduced fares to special classes of traffic. Also, it is alleged that there are a great many classes or groups for which meritorious reasons could be advanced in support of reduced-rate fares. TWA emphasizes that it has filed a complaint although its tariff was not strictly a defensive filing. TWA contends that the Board's approach to reduced-rate transportation is not directly in line with its decision in the Free and Reduced Rate Transportation Case³ and that if the principles of this case are no longer applicable, then a clear declaration to that effect should be made. TWA points out that no justification nor basis for discrimination was submitted for either American's or its own proposal and that meritorious purpose alone has not, in the past, justified discrimination.

In support of its tariff filing, American stated only that the United States delegation to the United Nations requested

¹ American Airlines, Inc., Local Standby Tariff No. 1, C.A.B. No. 159; Trans World Airlines, Inc., Local and Joint Standby Passenger Tariff No. 2, C.A.B. No. 105.

² This provision and all pertinent rules also applicable to military furlough fare traffic have not been changed from American's stand-by tariff in its original form, C.A.B. No. 159 (supra, note 1).

³ 14 C.A.B. 481 (1951).

the carrier to offer a 50 percent discount, to the persons proposed, for travel on a space-available basis. In an answer to the complaints, filed on April 16, 1963, American alleges, inter alia, that there are public interest considerations which justify the special fares.

While dismissing the complaints against American's proposal for stand-by military fares, the Board emphasized that it was not unmindful of the question of unlawful discrimination raised by the proposal.⁴ In its recent applications of section 404(b) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, the Board has considered the financial stress under which the air carrier industry has been operating. Thus, in allowing the stand-by military fares to become effective, the Board considered many factors, giving particular weight to the fact that there was a large, virtually untapped competitive market and an alleged potential travel market of at least \$200,000,000 annually. American's present proposal, while similar in appearance to stand-by military fares, does not have the several factors present to justify the apparent discrimination involved.

The Board is not unmindful of the national interest considerations presented by these filings. Indeed, recently in specialized instances the Board has granted specific exemptions from the provisions of sections 403 and 404 of the Act in favor of the national interest in relation to United Nations personnel.⁵

The present proposal, while certainly meritorious in purpose, appears to be unjustly discriminatory. While the Board has permitted numerous reduced fares, it has always been in recognition of economic circumstances of reduced costs and/or substantial traffic generation. Neither of these factors is present here insofar as the Board is aware. Nor has sufficient justification been offered by either American or TWA.

Upon consideration of all relevant matters, the Board finds that the present tariff revisions may be unjust and unreasonable, unjustly discriminatory, unduly preferential, unduly prejudicial or otherwise unlawful, and should be investigated. In view of the serious question of discrimination involved, we will suspend the proposed tariff revisions and defer their use pending investigation.

Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, particularly sections 204(a), 403, 404, and 1002 thereof:

It is ordered,

1. That an investigation be instituted to determine whether the provisions described in Appendix A⁶ are, or will be, unjust or unreasonable, unjustly discriminatory, unduly preferential, unduly prejudicial, or otherwise unlawful, and, if found to be unlawful, to determine and prescribe the lawful provisions.

⁴ See Order E-19376, adopted March 14, 1963.

⁵ See Order E-19160, adopted January 2, 1963, which granted American an exemption, pursuant to section 416(b) of the Act, so that the carrier could offer its group fare to six groups of United Nations delegates from foreign countries.

⁶ Filed as part of the original document.

2. That pending investigation, hearing, and decision by the Board, the provisions described in Appendix A are suspended and their use deferred to and including July 24, 1963, unless otherwise ordered by the Board, and that no changes be made therein during the period of suspension, except by order or special permission of the Board.

3. That this investigation be assigned for hearing before an examiner of the Board at a time and place hereafter to be designated.

4. That a copy of this order be filed with the aforesaid tariffs and be served upon American Airlines, Inc., National Airlines, Inc., and Trans World Airlines, Inc. which are made parties to this proceeding.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL] HAROLD R. SANDERSON,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4465; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963;
8:47 a.m.]

[Docket No. 13777; Order E-19506]

TRAFFIC CONFERENCE 1 AND JOINT CONFERENCE 1-2-3 OF INTERNA- TIONAL AIR TRANSPORT ASSOCIA- TION

Order Relating to Specific Commodity Rates

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 18th day of April 1963.

Agreements adopted by Traffic Conference 1 and Joint Conference 1-2-3 of the International Air Transport Association relating to specific commodity rates, Docket No. 13777, Agreement C.A.B. 16947, R-8, R-9 and R-10, Agreement C.A.B. 17022, R-2.

There have been filed with the Board, pursuant to section 412(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (the Act) and Part 261 of the Board's Economic Regulations, agreements between various air carriers, foreign air carriers, and other carriers, embodied in the resolutions of Traffic Conference 1 and Joint Conference 1-2-3 of the International Air Transport Association (IATA), and adopted pursuant to the provisions of Resolution 590 (Commodity Rates Board) and Resolution 590a (Specific Commodity Rates).

The agreements, adopted pursuant to unprotested notices to the carriers and promulgated in IATA memoranda, cancel the specific commodity rate for Item 6400, Drugs, now in effect from Bombay to New York, and name additional rates, as follows:

Item 8426—Motion Picture Film, Including Advertising Material

Rate: 44 cents per kilogram, minimum weight 45 kilograms, from Mexico to Panama City.

Item 0300—Fish and Seafood and Parts Thereof NES

Rate: 30 cents per kilogram, minimum weight 500 kilograms, from Caracas to New York.

Item 0007—Fruits and/or Vegetables

Rates: 22 cents per kilogram, minimum weight, 500 kilograms, from Santo Domingo to New York

and

13 cents per kilogram, minimum weight 500 kilograms, from Santo Domingo to Miami.

The Board, acting pursuant to sections 102, 204(a), and 412 of the Act, does not find the subject agreements to be adverse to the public interest or in violation of the Act, provided that approval thereof is conditioned as herein-after ordered.

Accordingly, it is ordered, That Agreement C.A.B. 16947, R-8, R-9, and R-10 and Agreement C.A.B. 17022, R-2, are approved, provided that such approval shall not constitute approval of the specific commodity descriptions contained therein for purposes of tariff publication.

Any air carrier party to the agreement, or any interested person, may, within 15 days from the date of service of this order, submit statements in writing containing reasons deemed appropriate, together with supporting data, in support of or in opposition to the Board's action herein. An original and nineteen copies of the statements should be filed with the Board's Docket Section. The Board may, upon consideration of any such statements filed, modify or rescind its action herein by subsequent order.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL] HAROLD R. SANDERSON,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4466; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963;
8:48 a.m.]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 14748, 14749; FCC 63M-487]

CHARLES COUNTY BROADCASTING CO., INC., AND DORLEN BROAD- CASTERS, INC.

Order Scheduling Prehearing Conference

In re applications of Charles County Broadcasting Co., Inc., La Plata, Maryland, Docket No. 14748, File No. BP-14748; Dorlen Broadcasters, Inc., Waldorf, Maryland, Docket No. 14749, File No. BP-15287; for construction permits.

The Hearing Examiner has for consideration a letter of April 22, 1963, whereby counsel for Charles County Broadcasting Co., Inc. gives notice to counsel for Dorlen Broadcasters, Inc. of the Dorlen witnesses and documents Charles County wishes produced at the hearing session to commence on April 29, 1963;

It appearing, that counsel for Dorlen has informally advised the Hearing Examiner that he proposes to resist production of certain of the requested witnesses and documents and wishes a prehearing conference to be convened to

dispose of the matter; and has stated to the Hearing Examiner that he has identified for counsel for Charles County those witnesses and documents which will be resisted;

It is ordered, This 23d day of April 1963, that a further prehearing conference herein shall be convened on April 26, 1963, commencing at 9:00 a.m. in the offices of the Commission at Washington, D.C.

Released: April 23, 1963.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4493; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963;
8:49 a.m.]

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Utilization and Disposal Service

[Wildlife Order 67]

DELAWARE AND PHILADELPHIA COUNTIES, PA.

Transfer of Property to Department of Interior

Pursuant to section 2 of Public Law 537, Eightieth Congress, approved May 19, 1948 (16 U.S.C. 667c), notice is hereby given that:

1. By letter from the U.S. Army Engineer District, New York, Corps of Engineers, dated March 15, 1963, the property comprising a 70.76-acre portion of Delaware River, Pennsylvania, New Jersey and Delaware—Philadelphia, Pa., to the Sea; Darby Creek Disposal Area, located in Delaware and Philadelphia Counties, Pennsylvania, and more particularly described in an attachment to said letter, has been transferred, effective March 18, 1963, to the Department of the Interior.

2. The above-described property was transferred to the Department of the Interior for wildlife conservation purposes in accordance with the provisions of section 1 of the said Public Law 537 (16 U.S.C. 667b).

Dated: April 19, 1963.

WALTER C. MORELAND,
Acting Commissioner,
Utilization and Disposal Service.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4468; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963;
8:48 a.m.]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File 811-1106]

MAYFLOWER FUND, INC.

Notice of Filing of Application for Order Declaring That Company Has Ceased To Be an Investment Company

APRIL 22, 1963.

Notice is hereby given that an application has been filed pursuant to section

8(f) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act") for an order of the Commission declaring that Mayflower Fund, Inc. ("Applicant"), 919 Walnut Street, Des Moines, Iowa, a registered open-end investment company, has ceased to be an investment company.

The Applicant registered under the Act on October 5, 1961, but states that it has been inactive since its Registration Statement under the Securities Act of 1933 was withdrawn on July 24, 1962. There is no contemplation that the Applicant will proceed as an investment company and its only asset consists of five dollars in cash for which a share of stock was issued at incorporation.

Section 8(f) of the Act provides, in part, that whenever the Commission upon application finds that an investment company has ceased to be an investment company, it shall so declare by order and that upon the taking effect of such order the registration of such company shall cease to be in effect.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than May 10, 1963 at 5:30 p.m. submit to the Commission in writing a request for a hearing on the matter accompanied by a statement as to the nature of his interest, the reason for such request and the issues of fact or law proposed to be controverted, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission shall order a hearing thereon. Any such communication should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington 25, D.C. A copy of such request shall be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon applicant. Proof of such service (by affidavit or in case of an attorney-at-law by certificate) should be filed contemporaneously with the request. At any time after said date, as provided by Rule O-5 of the rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, an order disposing of the application herein may be issued by the Commission upon the basis of the showing contained in said application, unless an order for hearing upon said application shall be issued upon request or upon the Commission's own motion.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4450; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963;
8:47 a.m.]

[File 24SF-3139]

PACIFIC MINES, INC.

Order Temporarily Suspending Exemption, Statement of Reasons Therefor, and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing

APRIL 22, 1963.

I. Pacific Mines, Inc. (issuer), 1218 North Central Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona, an Arizona corporation, filed with the Commission on March 14, 1963, a notification on Form 1-A and an offering circular relating to an offering of 200,000 shares of its \$1 par value com-

mon stock at \$1.50 per share for an aggregate amount of \$300,000, for the purpose of obtaining an exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, pursuant to the provisions of section 3(b) thereof and Regulation A promulgated thereunder.

II. The Commission has reasonable cause to believe that the issuer failed to comply with the terms and conditions of Regulation A in that:

A. The aggregate offering price of the securities proposed to be offered, computed in accordance with Rule 253 and Rule 254, exceeds the \$300,000 limitation provided in Rule 254(a) of the regulation.

B. The notification and offering circular contain a materially misleading presentation of facts, contain untrue statements of material facts and omit to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they are made, not misleading, in that:

1. The notification fails to disclose the name and address of each predecessor, affiliate and controlling person of the issuer, as required by Item 2(a) of Form 1-A.

2. The notification fails to disclose the issuance of shares of stock to officers, directors, promoters and controlling persons and the exemptions from registration relied upon therefor, as required by Item 9 of Form 1-A.

3. The notification fails to include as an exhibit copies of the provisions of the governing instruments defining the rights of shareholders, as required by Item 11(a) of Form 1-A.

4. The notification fails to include as an exhibit the consent of counsel to being named in the offering circular, as required by Item 11(g) of Form 1-A.

5. The notification fails to include as an exhibit an escrow or other similar arrangement relied upon to meet the requirements of Rule 253(e), as required by Item 11(h) of Form 1-A.

6. The offering circular fails to include financial statements which separately state assets, liabilities and capital shares and cash receipts and disbursements, and which extend dollar amounts only for cash transactions and transactions involving amounts receivable or payable in cash, as required by Item 11 of Schedule 1, nor were the financial statements included in the offering circular prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and practices as required by that item.

7. The description given in the offering circular of the issuer's property and planned business is both inaccurate and misleading in material respects. In particular, this applies to representations in the offering circular that:

(a) The proceeds of the offering are, in part, to complete "the development work, the building of roads and other incidental expenses necessary for entering production and sale of iron ore."

(b) Upgrading of the iron ore by milling and processing is to give "a high grade of quality before shipping."

(c) "The grade of ore is excellent * * *"

(d) "There is indicated ore * * * in excess of 750,000 tons and probable ore in excess of 1,500,000 tons."

(e) "It is the intention of the company at the outset to mine iron ore, deliver it to the railhead at Bouse, Arizona, ship it to San Pedro Harbor, Los Angeles, California, where it will be loaded on a ship for destination of Japan."

(f) The cost of delivering ore to the San Pedro Harbor is, according to bids on file, \$7.60 per ton and "The going rate of the sale of iron ore to Japanese buyers is approximately \$11.00 per ton" and

(g) "The company should be able to deliver to the San Pedro Harbor between 10,000 and 100,000 tons per month."

8. The description given in the offering circular of the issuer's property and planned business is misleading in that it omits material information, as follows:

(a) In referring to drilling done on the property by the U.S. Bureau of Mines, there is failure to state when such work was done and the nature of that Bureau's conclusions.

(b) In referring to the report of the issuer's mining consultant, there is failure to state (I) his conclusion as to "positive or probable ore" reserves, (II) the nature and extent of his recommended work and the significance of the outcome thereof on further operations, and (III) his conclusion as to the nature and extent of basic data as it bears on considerations of production.

(c) In the description of the two properties held, there is failure to show how they are geographically related and the bearing of such locations on any planned production from both.

(d) In referring to "a potential based on the U.S. Bureau of Mines drilling of 1,000,000 tons", there is failure to show that this was not a conclusion shown in the U.S. Bureau of Mines report dealing with such drilling.

(e) In stating that, while no contract has been signed for the sale of iron ore, the issuer is negotiating with buyers for the sale of ore, there is failure to disclose fully the pertinent facts concerning the status of the negotiations.

9. A note to the financial statements contained in the offering circular states that issuer's engineering report recommends a book value of some \$750,000 for the two properties, whereas the engineering report submitted at the time the notification and offering circular were filed neither specifies nor recommends any such valuation for the issuer's properties. Moreover, the offering circular fails to disclose that there is no factual basis for a valuation of the properties in such an amount.

C. The offering would be in violation of section 17 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

III. It appearing to the Commission that it is in the public interest and for the protection of investors that the exemption of the issuer under Regulation A be temporarily suspended:

It is ordered, Pursuant to Rule 261(a) of the general rules and regulations under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, that the exemption under Regulation A be, and it hereby is, temporarily suspended.

Notice is hereby given that any person having any interest in the matter may

file with the Secretary of the Commission a written request for hearing within thirty days after the entry of this order; that within twenty days after receipt of such request the Commission will, or at any time upon its own motion may, set the matter down for hearing at a place to be designated by the Commission for the purpose of determining whether this order of suspension should be vacated or made permanent, without prejudice, however, to the consideration and presentation of additional matters at the hearing; that if no hearing is requested and none is ordered by the Commission, this order shall become permanent on the thirtieth day after its entry and shall remain in effect unless or until it is modified or vacated by the Commission; and that notice of the time and place for any hearing will be promptly given by the Commission.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4451; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963;
8:47 a.m.]

[File 24W-2552]

TRIPOLI CO., INC.

Order Making Suspension Permanent

APRIL 19, 1963.

I. Tripoli Company, Inc. (issuer), a Pennsylvania corporation, incorporated on August 30, 1961, with its principal office located at 1215 Walnut Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, filed with the Commission on October 21, 1961, a notification on Form 1-A and an offering circular and subsequently filed amendments thereto, relating to an offering of 60,000 shares of common stock, par value \$0.05 per share, at a public offering price of \$5.00 per share for an aggregate amount of \$300,000, for the purpose of obtaining an exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, pursuant to the provisions of section 3(b) and Regulation A promulgated thereunder. Donald L. Greenbaum, d/b/a D. L. Greenbaum and Company (underwriter), of Philadelphia, was engaged by the issuer as its agent to sell the offering. The offering commenced on January 30, 1962 and was terminated eleven days later on February 9, 1962. 23,867 shares (39.77 percent of the offering) were sold.

II. The Commission by order dated March 15, 1963, temporarily suspended the Regulation A exemption of Tripoli Company, Inc. pursuant to Rule 261 of the general rules and regulations under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. A written request for a hearing was made by the issuer on March 20, 1963. This request was withdrawn in writing on April 9, 1963 with issuer consenting to the suspension order being made permanent and at the same time requesting that the Commission reconsider the matter and vacate the suspension order.

III. The Commission hereby accepts the consent to a permanent suspension of the Regulation A exemption and de-

nies the request that the suspension order be vacated.

It is ordered, Pursuant to Rule 261(b) of the general rules and regulations under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, that the Regulation A exemption be, and it hereby is, permanently suspended.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4452; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963;
8:47 a.m.]

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Delegation of Authority 30-IV-42]

MANAGER DISASTER FIELD OFFICE, NORTON, VIRGINIA

Delegation Relating to Financial Assistance Functions

Correction

In F.R. Doc. 63-3570 appearing in the issue for Friday, April 5, 1963, at page 3368, the execution in paragraph 2 should read as follows:

JOHN E. HORNE,
Administrator.

By _____
Manager, Norton Disaster Field Office.

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Wage and Hour Division

CERTIFICATES AUTHORIZING EM- PLOYMENT OF LEARNERS AT SPE- CIAL MINIMUM RATES

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to section 14 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (52 Stat. 1060, as amended, 29 U.S.C. 201 et seq.), and Administrative Order No. 561 (27 F.R. 4001) the firms listed in this notice have been issued special certificates authorizing the employment of learners at hourly wage rates lower than the minimum wage rates otherwise applicable under section 6 of the Act. The effective and expiration dates, occupations, wage rates, number or proportion of learners, learning periods, and the principal product manufactured by the employer for certificates issued under general learner regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.9) are as indicated below. Conditions provided in certificates issued under the supplemental industry regulations cited in the captions below are as established in those regulations.

Apparel Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.9, as amended, and 29 CFR 522.20 to 522.25, as amended).

The following learner certificates were issued authorizing the employment of 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes. The effective and expiration dates are indicated.

Angus Garment Manufacturing Co., Newton Bridge Road, Athens, Ga.; effective 4-10-

63 to 4-9-64 (men's work pants, shirts, and jackets).

Barson and Co., 428 South 7th Street, Lehigh, Pa.; effective 4-9-63 to 4-8-64 (women's and children's blouses).

Devil Dog Manufacturing Co., Zebulon, N.C.; effective 4-25-63 to 4-24-64 (children's boxer shorts and longies).

Formflex Foundations, Inc., 2 John Street, Haledon, N.J.; effective 4-10-63 to 4-9-64 (girdles).

Mode O'Day Corp., Plant No. 3, Box 288, 59 South First West, Logan, Utah; effective 4-24-63 to 4-23-64 (women's house dresses).

Salant and Salant, Inc., 408 East Florida Avenue, Union City, Tenn.; effective 4-13-63 to 4-12-64 (men's and boys' work pants).

The Solomon Co., Leeds, Ala.; effective 4-18-63 to 4-17-64 (men's and boys' trousers and shorts).

Spring Hope Manufacturing Co., Inc., Spring Hope, N.C.; effective 4-25-63 to 4-24-64 (boys' and men's sport shirts).

Tompkinsville Garment Co., Tompkinsville, Ky.; effective 4-25-63 to 4-24-64 (men's trousers and dungarees).

Washington Garment Co., Washington, Pa.; effective 4-11-63 to 4-10-64 (women's shorts, slacks, and bermudas).

Russell Williams Co., 418-28 West Mahanoy Avenue, Mahanoy City, Pa.; effective 4-20-63 to 4-19-64 (ladies' dresses).

The following learner certificates were issued for normal labor turnover purposes. The effective and expiration dates and the number of learners authorized are indicated.

Aalfs Manufacturing Co., Spencer, Iowa; effective 4-9-63 to 4-8-64; 10 learners (boys' denim jeans).

Essex Foundations, Inc., 111 Essex Street, Hackensack, N.J.; effective 4-19-63 to 4-18-64; 10 learners (girdles and brassieres).

Irene Garment Co., R. 410 East Diamond Avenue, Hazleton, Pa.; effective 4-11-63 to 4-10-64; 10 learners (women's dresses).

North State Garment Co., Farmville, N.C.; effective 4-13-63 to 4-12-64; 10 learners (boys' and ladies' pants).

Ronox Dress, Inc., Noxen, Pa.; effective 4-19-63 to 4-18-64; 10 learners (ladies' dresses).

Solomon Brothers Co., Toxey, Ala.; effective 4-8-63 to 4-7-64; 10 learners (men's sport shirts).

The following learner certificates were issued for plant expansion purposes. The effective and expiration dates and the number of learners authorized are indicated.

Burgaw Manufacturing Co., Burgaw, N.C.; effective 4-10-63 to 10-9-63; 55 learners (women's cotton dresses).

Collinwood Manufacturing Co., Collinwood, Tenn.; effective 4-10-63 to 10-9-63; 90 learners (women's uniforms).

Federal Corset Co., Airport Hanger No. 1, Douglas, Ga.; effective 4-12-63 to 10-11-63; 9 learners (ladies' girdles and brassieres).

Glenn Clothing Manufacturing Co., Inc., Dickenson County, Clintwood, Va.; effective 4-11-63 to 10-10-63; 10 learners (boys' pants).

John Street Sportswear, Inc., 126-130 John Street, Pittston, Pa.; effective 4-10-63 to 10-9-63; 5 learners (women's and girls' street dresses).

Olney Manufacturing Co., Olney, Tex.; effective 4-15-63 to 10-14-63; 100 learners (men's and boys' dress slacks).

Quad Manufacturing Co., 1040 Vernon Street, Huntington, W. Va.; effective 4-8-63 to 10-7-63; 15 learners (men's trousers).

Tennessee Overall Co., Inc., 401 North Atlantic Street, Tullahoma, Tenn.; effective 4-15-63 to 10-14-63; 50 learners (men's pants).

Washington Garment Co., Washington, Pa.; effective 4-11-63 to 10-10-63; 100 learners (women's shorts, slacks, and bermudas).

Jack Winter Sportswear, 515 West Central, Warren, Ark.; effective 4-10-63 to 10-9-63; 45 learners (ladies' slacks and shirts).

Cigar Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.9, as amended, and 29 CFR 522.80 to 522.85, as amended).

La Primadora, Cigar Corp., East Avenue at Turner Street, Clearwater, Fla.; effective 4-11-63 to 10-10-63; 50 learners for plant expansion purposes.

Glove Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.9, as amended, and 29 CFR 522.60 to 522.65, as amended).

Newton Glove, Inc., North Ashe Avenue, Post Office Box 271, Newton, N.C.; effective 4-21-63 to 4-20-64; 10 percent of the total number of machine stitchers for normal labor turnover purposes (cotton and leather work gloves).

Hosiery Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.9, as amended, and 29 CFR 522.40 to 522.43, as amended).

Lenoir Hosiery Mills, Inc., Lenoir, N.C.; effective 4-17-63 to 4-16-64; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (full fashion and seamless hosiery).

Russell Hosiery Mills, Inc., Drawer 128, Star, N.C.; effective 4-15-63 to 4-14-64; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (seamless hosiery).

Knitted Wear Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.9, as amended, and 29 CFR 522.30 to 522.35, as amended).

Norwich Mills, Inc., Wendell, N.C.; effective 4-15-63 to 4-14-64; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (men's and boys' sweat shirts).

Regulations Applicable to the Employment of Learners (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.9, as amended).

Sel-Bar, Inc., Glen Road, Newport, Vt.; effective 4-15-63 to 10-14-63; 10 learners for plant expansion purposes, in the occupation of hand weaver for a learning period of 240 hours at the rate of not less than \$1 an hour (place mats and neck ties).

Each learner certificate has been issued upon the representations of the employer which, among other things, were that employment of learners at special minimum rates is necessary in order to prevent curtailment of opportunities for employment, and that experienced workers for the learner occupations are not available. Any person aggrieved by the issuance of any of these certificates may seek a review or reconsideration thereof within fifteen days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER pursuant to the provisions of 29 CFR 522.9. The certificates may be annulled or withdrawn, as indicated therein, in the manner provided in 29 CFR Part 528.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 22d day of April 1963.

ROBERT G. GRONEWALD,
Authorized Representative
of the Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4448; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963; 8:47 a.m.]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Notice 791]

MOTOR CARRIER TRANSFER PROCEEDINGS

APRIL 23, 1963.

Synopses of orders entered pursuant to section 212(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act, and rules and regulations prescribed thereunder (49 CFR Part 179), appear below:

As provided in the Commission's special rules of practice any interested person may file a petition seeking reconsideration of the following numbered proceedings within 20 days from the date of publication of this notice. Pursuant to section 17(e) of the Interstate Commerce Act, the filing of such a petition will postpone the effective date of the order in that proceeding pending its disposition. The matters relied upon by petitioners must be specified in their petitions with particularity.

No. MC-FC 65439. By order of April 17, 1963, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to J. R. Moore, doing business as Moore Moving and Storage, Franklin Park, Ill., of portion of Certificate No. MC 101186, issued September 28, 1962, to Arledge Transfer, Inc., Burlington, Iowa, authorizing the transportation of: Household goods, as defined by the Commission, and emigrant movables, between Wever, Iowa, and points within 10 miles of Wever, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Illinois; and household goods, between Burlington, Iowa, and points within 50 miles thereof, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Missouri, Minnesota, Wisconsin, Michigan, and Indiana; and between points in Illinois, Iowa, and Nebraska. James Perkins Parker, c/o Davies, Richberg, Tydings, Landa & Duff, 1000 Vermont Avenue NW, Washington 5, D.C., attorney for applicants.

No. MC-FC 65573. By order of April 17, 1963, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Charles A. Van Zoeren, doing business as Alvan Motor Freight, Kalamazoo, Mich., applicant in No. MC 1395 Sub 5, BOR-99 filed in the name of Albert Van Zoeren, doing business as Alvan Motor Freight, Kalamazoo, Mich., transferor, for certificate of registration to operate in interstate or foreign commerce authorizing operations under the former second proviso of section 206(a) (1) of the Act, supported by Michigan certificate No. C-160, authorizing the transportation of property, from Allegan and Kalamazoo, via M-89 to Plainwell, thence via US-131; Allegan and Holland, via M-40. Between Allegan and Holland via M-89 to junction of M-89 with US-31, thence via US-31 to Holland: *Provided, however,* That applicant shall do no local business between junction of M-89 and US-31 and Holland; also from Holland to Zeeland via M-21: *Provided, however,* That applicant shall do no local business between Holland and Zeeland. Between Kalamazoo and Sturgis via Portage Road to junction with Kalamazoo County Highway No.

632, thence via Kalamazoo County Highway No. 632 to junction with M-60, thence via M-60 to Mendon, thence south on County Road to junction with M-86, thence via M-86 to Centerville, thence return on M-86 to junction with M-78, thence via M-78 to Sturgis. Between the junction of M-78 and M-86 and Mendon via M-78 to Colon, thence north over unnumbered highway to junction of M-60, thence west on M-60 to Mendon, South Haven and Kalamazoo, via county road through Kibbie, Lacota, Grand Junction, Bloomingdale, Gobles, Kendall and Williams; also from Grand Junction north via county road to Pullman, and from Grand Junction south via county road to intersection with M-43, thence via M-43 to Bangor. Between Kalamazoo and Parchment via River-view Drive. Between Kalamazoo and the Atlas Press, Allen Electric Company, Texaco Company and the Upjohn Company via North Pitchard Street. Between Kalamazoo and the U.S. Foundry Company via Lane Boulevard. Between Kalamazoo and the Rudd Manufacturing Company via Factory Street. Between South Haven and the Baun Machine Works via US-31, from Pullman to the junction of M-89 and County Highway 677 via County Highway 677. Between the junction of County Highway 388 and M-40 at Gobles and the junction of M-40 and M-89 via M-40. Between South Haven and Kalamazoo via M-43, serving no intermediate points; between South Haven and the junction of US-31 and M-89 via US-31, serving no intermediate points, from Mendon east over Michigan Highway 60 to Union City, and return; and from the junction of Michigan Highways 60 and 78 north over Michigan Highway 78 to Athens and return. Between Kalamazoo and Centerville via US-131 and M-86; also County Road between Vicksburg and junction with US-131 near Schoolcraft; also M-60 between Three Rivers and junction of permitted route at a point approximately 4 miles west of Mendon, serving no intermediate points; also M-78 between junction of M-60 to Colon, serving Sherwood; also County Roads joining M-78 and M-60. Between Sturgis and Coldwater, via US-112, serving off-route points of Burr Oak and Batavia, and all intermediate points; between Union City and Coldwater, via County Highway, serving all intermediate points. Between Colon and Coldwater, via M-86 to its junction with US-112, thence via US-112 to Coldwater, serving all intermediate points; alternate routes over all passable north-south county roads connecting any of the above points, M-43 from Kalamazoo to South Haven; US-12 between Kalamazoo and Marshall as an alternate route, serving no intermediate points; M-78 between junction US-12 and M-60, serving intermediate points; US-27 between junctions US-12 and US-112, serving intermediate points; M-60 from Union City to junction US-27, serving intermediate points; County roads between present certificated routes and US-12 on the north and M-78 on and east and M-60 on the south, serving Scotts, Climax and Fulton, commodities generally between presently certificated

points and routes and Kalamazoo, Michigan and a radius of 6 miles thereof. Between Three Rivers and Sturgis via US-131 to its junction with US-112 thence via US-112, service is not authorized to any point not now served, alternate route only, Grand Rapids and Allegan, via US-131 and M-118; also via County Road through Bryon Center, Dorr and Hopkins; (1) Between various points on or bounded by the following highways: Commencing at Grand Rapids, Mich.; thence via M-21 to Zeeland, Michigan; thence via unnumbered county road south to junction with M-40; thence via M-89 to Plainwell, Michigan; thence via US-131 to 100th Street, South (Corinth, Mich.), thence east to Eastern Avenue; thence via Eastern Avenue to Grand Rapids, Mich. No service of any kind shall be performed to or from points upon M-21, M-40, M-89 and US-131 except as otherwise authorized; service to be performed over irregular routes therein and/or over said bounding highways, according to operating convenience. (2) Between US-131 and Gun Lake, Orangeville, Hooper, Neeley and Doster via county road; general commodities between South Haven and Kalamazoo, Mich., via M-140 from South Haven to junction US-12 at Watervliet, Mich., thence via US-12, to Kalamazoo, serving no intermediate points, alternate route for operating convenience only, Commercial Zone authority at regular route points as described in D-4317. To the site of Grand Valley State College and points within 2 miles thereof as off-route points in connection with regular route operations, except that no service is authorized to points within 1 mile of the Allendale Post Office. Jack Goodman, 39 South La Salle Street, Chicago 3, Ill., attorney for applicants.

[SEAL]

HAROLD D. MCCOY,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4455; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963;
8:47 a.m.]

FOURTH SECTION APPLICATIONS FOR RELIEF

APRIL 23, 1963.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 1.40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1.40) and filed within 15 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

LONG-AND-SHORT HAUL

FSA No. 38283: *Fertilizer and fertilizer materials from IFA territory to the south.* Filed by Illinois Freight Association, Agent (No. 202), for interested rail carriers. Rates on fertilizer and fertilizer materials, as described in the application, in carloads and tank-car loads, from points in Illinois Freight Association territory to points in southern territory. Grounds for relief: Short-line distance formula and grouping.

Tariff: Supplement 29 to Illinois Freight Association, Agent, tariff I.C.C. 928.

FSA No. 38284: *Liquid caustic soda to Chickamauga, Tenn.* Filed by Traffic

Executive Association-Eastern Railroads, Agent (ER No. 2666), for interested rail carriers. Rates on liquid caustic soda, in tank-car loads, from specified points in Michigan, New York, Ohio and West Virginia to Chickamauga, Tenn.

Grounds for relief: Market competition.

Tariffs: Supplements 105 and 17 to Traffic Executive Association-Eastern Railroads, Agent, tariffs I.C.C. C-102 and C-334, respectively.

FSA No. 38285: *Petroleum products from points in Montana and Wyoming.* Filed by Chicago, Burlington & Quincy Railroad Company (No. 68), for itself and interested rail carriers. Rates on asphalt (asphaltum), natural, byproduct or petroleum (other than paint, stain or varnish), petroleum road oil and petroleum wax tailings, in tank-car loads, from Billings, East Billings and Laurel, Mont., Cheyenne, Cody, Newcastle and Thermopolis, Wyo., to points in Minnesota, North Dakota and South Dakota.

Grounds for relief: Market competition, modified short-line distance formula and grouping.

Tariff: Chicago, Burlington & Quincy Railroad Company tariff I.C.C. 20565.

FSA No. 38286: *Unground grain screenings from points in North Dakota.* Filed by Great Northern Railway Company (No. 1083), for itself and interested rail carriers. Rates on screenings, from oats, rye or wheat, unground, not containing more than 5 percent of flaxseed, in carloads, from East Grand Forks, Minn., Alton, Emerado, Grand Forks, Hamar, Kelso, Manvel, Merrifield, Thompson, University and Warwick, N. Dak., to Duluth, Minneapolis, Minnesota Transfer and St. Paul, Minn., Superior, Wis., and points taking same rates.

Grounds for relief: Unregulated motor-truck competition.

Tariff: Supplement 26 to Great Northern Railway Company tariff I.C.C. A-9048.

FSA No. 38287: *Corn and soybeans from points in Minnesota and North Dakota.* Filed by Great Northern Railway Company (No. 1084), for itself and interested rail carriers. Rates on corn (not pop corn) and soybeans, also direct products of corn, in carloads, from points in Minnesota and North Dakota, to Duluth, Minneapolis, Minnesota Transfer and St. Paul, Minn., Superior, Wisconsin, and points taking same rates.

Grounds for relief: Unregulated motor-truck competition.

Tariff: Supplement 26 to Great Northern Railway Company tariff I.C.C. A-9048.

FSA No. 38288: *Liquid caustic soda from Memphis, Tenn., to Chickamauga, Tenn.* Filed by O. W. South, Jr., Agent (No. A4305), for interested rail carriers. Rates on liquid caustic soda, in tank-car loads, from Memphis, Tenn., to Chickamauga, Tenn.

Grounds for relief: Market competition.

Tariff: Supplement 121 to Southern Freight Association, Agent, tariff I.C.C. S-116.

FSA No. 38289: *Starch or dextrine from points in Iowa.* Filed by Western

Trunk Line Committee, Agent (No. A-2298), for interested rail carriers. Rates on starch or dextrine, as described in the application, in carloads, from points in Iowa, to specified points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, North Carolina and South Carolina.

Grounds for relief: Carrier competition.

Tariff: Supplement 74 to Western Trunk Line Committee, Agent, tariff I.C.C. A-4396.

FSA No. 38290: *Sand to Henderson, Ky.* Filed by Southwestern Freight Bureau, Agent (No. B-8386), for interested rail carriers. Rates on sand, as described in the application, in carloads, from Guion, Ark., Klondike, Ludwig and

Pacific, Mo., Mill Creek and Roff, Okla., and Brady, Tex., to Henderson, Ky.

Grounds for relief: Market and carrier competition.

Tariff: Supplement 200 to Southwestern Freight Bureau, Agent, tariff I.C.C. 4319.

FSA No. 38291: *Bituminous coal from mines in Pennsylvania.* Filed by The New York, New Haven, and Hartford Railroad Company (No. 40), for itself and interested rail carriers. Rates on bituminous coal, blacksmith or cannel, and coal briquettes, as described in the application, in carloads, from mine origins in Pennsylvania, to points in Connecticut and New York.

Grounds for relief: Carrier competition.

FSA No. 38292: *Pig Iron from Keokuk, Iowa to Pittsburgh, Pa., District.* Filed by Western Trunk Line Committee, Agent (No. A2301), for interested rail carriers. Rates on pig iron, in carloads, from Keokuk, Iowa, to points in Pittsburgh, Pa., district.

Grounds for relief: Market competition.

Tariff: Supplement 45 to Western Trunk Line Committee, Agent, Tariff I.C.C. A-4300.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

HAROLD D. McCoy,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-4456; Filed, Apr. 25, 1963; 8:47 a.m.]

CUMULATIVE CODIFICATION GUIDE—APRIL

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during April.

3 CFR	Page	3 CFR—Continued	Page	7 CFR—Continued	Page
PROCLAMATIONS:		EXECUTIVE ORDERS—Continued		1434	3578
2416	3658	11104	3689	1482	4123
3279	4077	11105	3909	PROPOSED RULES:	
3525	3517	11106	3911	46	3266
3526	3569			56	3275
3527	4013			81	3454, 3700
3528	4015	5 CFR		905	3723
3529	4073	6	3151, 3152, 3375, 3437, 3849, 4017	908	3281
3530	4075	25	3584	944	4138
3531	4077	88	3636	959	3207
3532	4079	6 CFR		970	3667
3533	4081	303	3522	1001	3419
EXECUTIVE ORDERS:		304	3251	1002	3419
Feb. 20, 1897	3653	535	3849	1003	3419
Nov. 21, 1902	3653	7 CFR		1004	3419
June 13, 1904	3695	28	3913	1006	3419
Apr. 28, 1917	3656	29	3636	1007	3419
Nov. 5, 1925	3532	52	3573, 3713	1010	3419
Apr. 17, 1926	3856	205	3522	1014	3419
445	3653	301	3523	1015	3419
*570	3653	318	4123	1016	3419
1067	3653	322	3713	1030	3858, 3872
1316	3653	401	3691, 3849	1031	3872
1331	3653	711	3913	1044	3723
2216	3661	717	3437	1047	3178
2727	3661	722	3573	1048	3552
2840	3653	728	3524, 3574	1061	3487
3089	3653	729	4083	1063	4094
3672	3661	751	3314	1133	3454
4131	3653	775	3375	1195	3554
4421	3653	811	3438		
4710	3653	817	3850, 3913	8 CFR	
5784	3653	846	3775	PROPOSED RULES:	
6361	3656	862	4017	103	3700
6822	3664	907	3375, 3646		
7135	3653	908	3376, 3647, 3915, 3969, 4019	9 CFR	
7655	3658	909	3915	51	3714
8216	3855	910	3376, 3439, 3647, 3916, 3969	74	3530, 3775
8685	3695	911	3969	78	4084
9816	3909	912	3376	83	4019
10291	3483	959	3852	117	3313
10657	3909	999	3469	131	3314
11030	3911	1005	3852	201	4086, 4124
11075	3911	1039	3471	PROPOSED RULES:	
11100	3149	1106	3314	201	3179, 3258
11101	3305	1135	3315, 3852		
11102	3373	1137	3322, 3852	10 CFR	
11103	3571	1138	3714	1	3439
		1421	3852	50	3196
		1430	3853	55	3197
				115	3196

*Appears as 571.

10 CFR—Continued

PROPOSED RULES:	Page
2	3207
50	3207
115	3207
140	3918

12 CFR

9	3309
219	3200
334	3201
545	3472
563	3472
PROPOSED RULES:	
545	3670

13 CFR

103	3776
104	3777
108	3258
121	3323
PROPOSED RULES:	
121	3358, 3491, 4028

14 CFR

40	3474, 3648
41	3476, 3648
42	3479, 3648
43	3648
45	3648
46	3648
61 [New]	3715
71 [New]	3202,
3258, 3326, 3443, 3444, 3482, 3483,	
3584, 3585, 3648, 3691, 3716, 3778—	
3780, 3853, 4024, 4086, 4124—4127	
73 [New]	3327,
3483, 3648, 3649, 3691, 3692, 4024	
75 [New]	3202, 3327, 3483, 3717, 4086
201	3585
221	3531
225	3717
302	3484, 3585
377	3586
385	3485, 4025
399	3586
507	3151, 3259, 3444, 3780—3782, 4127
514	3649
609	3328, 3335
610	3445
1501	3783

PROPOSED RULES:

1	3555, 3728
9	3555
9a	3555
18	3988
43	3988
71 [New]	3180,
3181, 3208, 3209, 3283, 3284, 3355,	
3356, 3422, 3456, 3457, 3489, 3490,	
3588, 3702, 3731, 3732, 3872—3874,	
3988, 3989, 4138—4141.	
73 [New]	3181,
3557, 3589, 3702, 3785, 3876	
75 [New]	3703
133 [New]	3703
151 [New]	3733
159 [New]	3210
221	3281
224	3421
234	3700
375	3454
399	3700
411	4095
507	3357, 3358, 3589, 3785, 4142
514	3703

15 CFR

230	3326
399	4086, 4088
PROPOSED RULES:	
6	3986

16 CFR

13	3377,
3447, 3448, 3486, 3531, 3532, 3650,	
3692, 3693, 3853, 3970, 4025, 4090,	
4091, 4123.	

PROPOSED RULES:

302	4098
-----	------

17 CFR**PROPOSED RULES:**

230	3990
240	3936

18 CFR

2	3203
4	4092
34	3533

PROPOSED RULES:

141	4097
-----	------

19 CFR

4	3697
6	3698
23	3699
25	3699
PROPOSED RULES:	
8	3723
9	3723
10	3723

20 CFR

404	3378, 3695
-----	------------

21 CFR

8	3382, 3693
120	3259
121	3152,
3260, 3261, 3448, 3587, 3694, 3695,	
3720, 3854, 3916, 3970, 4127.	
132	3195
141d	3383
305	3152

PROPOSED RULES:

1	3488
8	3421
17	3354
51	3728
141a	3354
141c	3354
141d	3354
141e	3354
146a	3669
147	3822
148e	3822
148k	3823
148n	3834
148p	3827
148r	3830
148s	3830
148t	3832

22 CFR

41	3261
42	3261

24 CFR

200	3262
203	3647

25 CFR

221	4092
252	3721

PROPOSED RULES:

47	4028
----	------

26 CFR

1	3152, 3163, 3519, 3721, 3762
48	3632
251	3164
301	3163, 3384

PROPOSED RULES:

1	3401, 3541, 3588, 3976, 3981
31	3168
44	3487

26 CFR—Continued

PROPOSED RULES—Continued	Page
48	3984
301	3981

29 CFR

1320	4127
1500	3448

PROPOSED RULES:

526	3700
657	3554

31 CFR

203	3262
-----	------

32 CFR

590	3610
593	3621
598	3621
606	3632
1001	3385
1002	3385, 3587
1003	3386, 3587
1004	3763
1005	3763, 4128
1006	3763
1007	3763
1008	3768, 4129
1009	3771
1010	3772
1011	3772
1012	4129
1453	4086

32A CFR**NSA (CH. XVIII):**

INS-1	3203
-------	------

33 CFR

67	4026
146	4027
202	3651
203	3721, 3916
203	3647
204	3394, 3531, 3651
211	3450
401	3754
402	3975

36 CFR

7	3166, 3651
---	------------

PROPOSED RULES:

7	3667
11	3419

38 CFR

3	3721
13	3204

39 CFR

27	3345
35	3345
92	3345
96	3651
112	3652
168	4093

41 CFR

1-1	3917
9-7	3204
9-16	3486
50-202	3350

PROPOSED RULES:

50-202	3784
--------	------

42 CFR**PROPOSED RULES:**

73	3785
----	------

43 CFR

115	3772
244	3695
259	3772

43 CFR—Continued

Page

PROPOSED RULES:

192 3418
 296 3352

PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:

533 3657
 576 3661
 606 3657
 797 3661
 871 3653
 904 3663
 925 3855
 1185 3653
 1274 3656
 1478 3653
 1510 3533
 1973 3856
 2020 3662
 2666 3654
 2807 3654
 2932 3384
 2972 3453
 2994 3532
 2995 3532
 2996 3533
 2997 3652
 2998 3653
 2999 3653
 3000 3654
 3001 3654
 3002 3654
 3003 3654
 3004 3655
 3005 3655
 3006 3655
 3007 3656
 3008 3656
 3009 3656
 3010 3657
 3011 3657
 3012 3657

43 CFR—Continued

Page

PUBLIC LAND ORDERS—Continued

3013 3657
 3014 3657
 3015 3658
 3016 3658
 3017 3658
 3018 3658
 3019 3659
 3020 3660
 3021 3661
 3022 3661
 3023 3661
 3024 3662
 3025 3662
 3026 3662
 3027 3662
 3028 3663
 3029 3663
 3030 3664
 3031 3664
 3032 3695
 3033 3855
 3034 3855
 3035 3855
 3036 3855
 3037 3856
 3038 3856
 3039 3856

45 CFR

12 3262

46 CFR

31 3263
 35 3263
 61 3264
 71 3264
 91 3264
 272 3696
 402 3204
 530 3721

47 CFR

Page

1 3204, 4083
 2 3226, 3696
 3 3205, 3394, 3722, 3773, 3917
 10 3204, 3206
 11 3204, 3696
 12 3696
 16 3204

PROPOSED RULES:

2 3211
 3 3121-3213, 3733
 8 3918

48 CFR

1 3972
 201 3974
 202 3974

49 CFR

1 3399, 3533
 7 3534
 95 3857, 3917
 210 3534

PROPOSED RULES:

71-78 3735, 3876
 73 4098
 77 4098
 78 4098
 123 3217
 206 3217
 301 3217

50 CFR

32 4137
 33 3452, 3535-3540, 3665, 3666, 3773, 3774, 3857, 4093, 4137.

PROPOSED RULES:

32 3985